#### APPENDIX IX TO PART 261—WASTES EXCLUDED UNDER $\S 260.20$ AND 260.22

#### TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES

Facility	Address	Waste description
Aluminum Company of America.	750 Norcold Ave., Sid- ney, Ohio 45365.	Wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) sludges generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) and WWTP sludges generated from electroplating operations (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) and stored in an on-site landfill. This is an exclusion for approximately 16,772 cubic yards of landfilled WWTP filter cake. This exclusion applies only if the waste filter cake remains in place or, if excavated, is disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill which is permitted, licensed, or registered by a state to manage industrial solid waste. This exclusion was published on April 6, 1999.  1. The constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP extract may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Arsenic—5; Barium—100; Chromium—5; Cobalt—210; Copper—130; Nickel—70; Vanadium—30; Zinc—1000; Fluoride—400; Acetone—400; Methylene Chloride—0.5; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate—0.6.  2. (a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Alcoa possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in Condition (1) is at a level in the leachate higher than the delisting level established in Condition (1), or is at a level in the ground water or soil higher than the health based level, then Alcoa must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (b) Based on the information described in paragraph (a) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending or revoking this exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the
Alumnitec, Inc. (formerly Profile Extru- sion Co., for- merly United Technologies Automotive, Inc.).	Jeffersonville, IN.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion of aluminum after April 29, 1986.
American Met- als Corpora- tion.	Westlake, Ohio.	Wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) sludges from the chemical conversion coating (phosphating) of aluminum (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) and other solid wastes previously disposed in an on-site landfill. This is a one-time exclusion for 12,400 cubic yards of landfilled WWTP sludge. This exclusion is effective on January 15, 2002.  1. Delisting Levels:  (A) The constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP extract may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): antimony—1.52; arsenic—0.691; barium—100; beryllium—3.07; cadmium—1; chromium—5; cobalt—166; copper—67,300; lead—5; mercury—0.2; nickel—209; selenium—1; silver—5; thallium—0.65; tin—1,660; vanadium—156; and zinc—2,070.  (B) The total constituent concentrations in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): arsenic—9,280; mercury—94; and polychlorinated biphenyls—0.265.  (C) Concentrations of dioxin and furan congeners cannot exceed values which would result in a cancer risk greater than or equal to 10 <sup>-6</sup> as predicted by the model.  2. Verification Sampling—USG shall collect six additional vertically composited samples of sludge from locations that compliment historical data and shall analyze the samples by TCLP for metals including antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, tin, vanadium, and zinc. If the samples exceed the levels in Condition (1)(a), USG must notify EPA. The corresponding sludge and all sludge yet to be disposed remains hazardous until USG has demonstrated by additional sampling that all constituents of concern are below the levels set forth in condition 1.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility  American Steel Cord.	Address  Scottsburg, IN	3. Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, USG possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in Condition (1) is at a level higher than the delisting level established in Condition (1), or is at a level in the groundwater exceeding maximum allowable point of exposure concentration referenced by the model, then USG must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (b) Based on the information described in paragraph (a) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.  (c) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator of the proposed action and a statement providing USG with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. USG shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information.  (d) If after 10 days USG presents no further information, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.  4. Notifications—USG must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the waste described
		the waste using SW 846 method 9045 and must record all pH measurements performed in
		3. Data Submittals: The data obtained through annual verification testing or compliance with paragraph 2 must be submitted to U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604–3590, within 60 days of sampling. Records of operating conditions and analytical data must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years and must be made available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 260.22(I)(12).
		4. (a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, American Steel Cord possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in Condition (1) is at a level in the leachate higher than the delisting level established in Condition (1), or is at a level in the ground water or soil higher than the health based level, then American Steel Cord must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(b) Based on the information described in paragraph (a) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Ampex Record- ing Media Corporation.	Opelika, Ala- bama.	(c) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present such information.  (d) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (c) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (c) the initial receipt of information described in paragraph (a), the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.  Solvent recovery residues in the powder or pellet form (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F003 and F005) generated from the recovery of spent solvents from the manufacture of tape recording media (generated at a maximum annual rate of 1,000 cubic yards in the powder or pellet form) after August 9, 1993. In order to confirm that the characteristics of the wastes do not change significantly, the facility must, on an annual basis, analyze a representative composite sample of the waste (in its final form) for the constituents listed in 40 CFR 261.24 using the method specified therein. The annual analytical results, including Quality control information, must be compiled, certified according to 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12), maintained on-site for a minimum of five years, and made available for inspection upon request by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Alabama. Failure to mainta
Aptus, Inc	Coffeyville, Kansas.	voke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA.  Kiln residue and spray dryer/baghouse residue (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F027) generated during the treatment of cancelled pesticides containing 2,4,5–T and Silvex and related materials by Aptus' incinerator at Coffeyville, Kansas after December 27, 1991, so long as:  (1) The incinerator is monitored continuously and is in compliance with operating permit conditions. Should the incinerator fail to comply with the permit conditions relevant to the mechanical operation of the incinerator, Aptus must test the residues generated during the run when the failure occurred according to the requirements of Conditions (2) through (4), regardless of whether or not the demonstration in Condition (5) has been made.  (2) A minimum of four grab samples must be taken from each hopper (or other container) of kiln residue generated during each 24-hour run; all grabs collected during a given 24-hour run must then be composited to form one composite sample. A minimum of four grab samples must also be taken from each hopper (or other container) of spray dryer/baghouse residue generated during each 24-hour run; all grabs collected during a given 24-hour run must then be composited to form one composite sample. Prior to the disposal of the residues from each 24-hour run, a TCLP leachate test must be performed on these composite samples and the leachate analyzed for the TC toxic metals, nickel, and cyanide. If arsenic, chromium, lead or silver TC leachate test results exceed 1.6 ppm, barium levels exceed 32 ppm, cadmium or selenium levels exceed 0.3 ppm, mercury levels exceed 0.07 ppm, nickel levels exceed 10 ppm, or cyanide levels exceed 6.5 ppm, the wastes must be retreated to achieve these levels or must be disposed in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA. Analyses must be performed according to appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitu

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Arco Building Products. Arco Chemical Co	Sugarcreek, Ohio. Miami, FL	Aldrin—0.015 ppm, Benzene—9.7 ppm, Benzo(a)pyrene—0.43 ppm, Benzo(b)fluoranthene)— 1.8 ppm, Chlordane—0.37 ppm, Chloroform—5.4 ppm, Chrysene—170 ppm, Dibenz(a,h)anthracene—0.083 ppm, 1.2-Dichloroethane—4.1 ppm, Dichloromethane—2.4 ppm, 2,4-Dichlorophenol—480 ppm, Dichloros—260 ppm, Disulfaton—2.3 ppm, Endosulfan I—310 ppm, Fluorene—120 ppm, Indeno(1,2,3,cd)-pyrene—330 ppm, Methyl parathion—210 ppm, Nitrosodiphenylamine—130 ppm, Phenanthrene—150 ppm, Polychlorinated biphenyls—0.31 ppm, Tetrachlorethylene—59 ppm, 2,4,5-TP (silvex)—110 ppm, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol—3.9 ppm.  (4) Aptus must generate, prior to disposal of residues, verification data from each 24-hour run for each treatment residue (i.e., kiln residue, spray dryer/baghouse residue) to demonstrate that the residues do not contain tetra-, penta-, or hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins or furans at levels of regulatory concern. Samples must be collected as specified in Condition (2). The TCDD equivalent levels for the solid residues must be less than 5 ppt. Any residues with detected dioxins or furans in excess of this level must be retreated or must be disposed of as acutely hazardous. For tetra- and penta-chlorinated dioxin and furan homologs, the maximum practical quantitation limit must not exceed 15 ppt for the solid residues. For hexachlorinated dioxin and furan homologs, the maximum practical quantitation limit must not exceed 37 ppt for the solid residues.  (5) The test data from Conditions (1), (2), (3), and (4) must be kept on file by Aptus for inspection purposes and must be compiled, summarized, and submitted to the Director for the Characterization and Assessment Division, Office of Solid Waste, by certified mail on a monthly basis and when the treatment of the cancelled pesticides and related materials is concluded. The testing requirements for Conditions (2), (3), and (4) will continue until Aptus provides the Director with the results of four consecutive batch analyses for the petitioned wastes, none of which exceed the maximum allowable levels lis
Arkansas De- partment of Pollution Control and Ecology.	Vertac Super- fund site, Jacksonville, Arkansas.	Kiln ash, cyclone ash, and calcium chloride salts from incineration of residues (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F020 and F023) generated from the primary production of 2,4,5—T and 2,4—D after August 24, 1990. This one-time exclusion applies only to the incineration of the waste materials described in the petition, and it is conditional upon the data obtained from ADPC&E's full-scale incineration facility. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, ADPC&E must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Testing: Sample collection and analyses (including quality control (QC) procedures) must be performed according to appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) Initial testing: Representative grab samples must be taken from each drum and kiln ash and cyclone ash generated from each 24 hours of operation, and the gral samples composited to form one composite sample of ash for each 24-hour period. Representative grab samples must also be taken from each drum of calciun chloride salts generated from each 24 hours of operation and composited to form one composite sample of calcium chloride salts for each 24-hour period. The initia testing requirements must be fullfilled for the following wastes: (i) Incineration by products generated prior to and during the incinerator's trial burn; (ii) incineration by-products from the treatment of 2,4–D wastes for one week (or 7 days if incineration by-products from the treatment of blended 2,4–D and 2,4,5–T wastes for two weeks (or 14 days if incineration is not on consecutive days) after completion of the trial burn; and (iv) incineration by-products from the treatment of blended 2,4–D and 2,4,5–T wastes for one week (or 7 days if incineration is not on consecutive days) when the percentage of 2, 4,5–T wastes exceeds the maximum percentage treated under Condition (1)(A)(iii). Prior to disposal of the residues from each 24 hour sampling period, the daily composite must be analyzed for all the constituents listed in Condition (3). ADPC&E must report the analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days
		after the start of the operation.  (B) Subsequent testing: Representative grab samples of each drum of kiln and cyclone ash generated from each week of operation must be composited to form one composite sample of ash for each weekly period. Representative grab samples of each drum of calcium chloride salts generated from each week of operation must also be composited to form one composite sample of calcium chloride salts for each weekly period.
		Prior to disposal of the residues from each weekly sampling period, the weekly com posites must be analyzed for all of the constituents listed in Condition (3). The an alytical data, including quality control information, must be compiled and main tained on site for a minimum of three years. These data must be furnished upor request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA.
		(2) Waste holding: The incineration residues that are generated must be stored as haz ardous until the initial verification analyses or subsequent analyses are completed. If the composite incineration residue samples (from either Condition (1)(A) or Condition (1)(B)) do not exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (3), the incineration residues corresponding to these samples may be managed and disposed of in accord ance with all applicable solid waste regulations.
		If any composite incineration residue sample exceeds any of the delisting levels set in Condition (3), the incineration residues generated during the time period corresponding to this sample must be retreated until they meet these levels (analyses must be re peated) or managed and disposed of in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA. Inciner ation residues which are generated but for which analysis is not complete or valid must be managed and disposed of in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA, until valid analyses demonstrate that the wastes meet the delisting levels.  (3) Delisting levels: If concentrations in one or more of the incineration residues for any of the hazardous constituents listed below exceed their respective maximum allowable.
		concentrations also listed below, the batch of failing waste must either be re-treated until it meets these levels or managed and disposed of in accordance with subtitle C or RCRA.  (A) Inorganics (Leachable): Arsenic, 0.32 ppm; Barium, 6.3 ppm; Cadmium, 0.00 ppm; Chromium, 0.32 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Chromium, 0.32 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Chromium, 0.32 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Cyanide, 4.4 ppm; Lead, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Cyanide, 0.32 ppm; Mercury, 0.00 ppm; Cyanid
		<ul> <li>ppm; Nickel, 4.4 ppm; Selenium, 0.06 ppm; Silver, 0.32 ppm. Metal concentration must be measured in the waste leachate as per 40 CFR 261.24. Cyanide extractions must be conducted using distilled water.</li> <li>(B) Organics: Benzene, 0.87 ppm; Benzo(a)anthracene, 0.10 ppm; Benzo(a)pyrene</li> </ul>
		0.04 ppm; Benzo (b)fluoranthene, 0.16 ppm; Chlorobenzene, 152 ppm; c Chlorophenol, 44 ppm; Chrysene, 15 ppm; 2, 4–D, 107 ppm; DDE, 1.0 ppm Dibenz(a,h)anthracene, 0.007 ppm; 1, 4-Dichlorobenzene, 265 ppm; 1, 1 Dichloroethylene, 1.3 ppm; trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene, 37 ppm; Dichloromethane 0.23 ppm; 2,4-Dichlorophenol, 43 ppm; Hexachlorobenzene, 0.26 ppm; Inden (1,2,3-cd) pyrene, 30 ppm; Polychlorinated biphenyls, 12 ppm; 2,4,5–T, 1 × 10 ppm; 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene, 56 ppm; Tetrachloroethylene, 3.4 ppm; Tr chloroethylene, 1.1 ppm; 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol, 21,000 ppm; 2,4,6 Trichlorophenol, 0.35 ppm.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
AutoAlliance International Inc	Flat Rock, Michigan.	(C) Chlorinsted dioxins and furans: 2.3.7.8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents.  4 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> ppm. The petitioned by-product must be analyzed tor the lettara, pentahexa, and heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins, and the lettara, pentahexa, and heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and the lettara, pentahexa, and heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and the lettara, pentahexa, and heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins equivalent concentration. The analysis must be conducted using a measurement system that achieves practical quantitation limits of 15 parts per trillion (ppt) for the tetra- and pentah-omologs, and 37 ppt for the hexa- and heptah-omologs.  (4) Termination of testing: Due the possible variability of the incinerator feeds, the testing requirements of Condition (1)(B) will continue indefinitely.  (5) Data submitials: Within one week of system start-up, ADPC&E must notify the Section Chief. Variances Section (see address below) when the full-scale incineration system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. The data obtained through Condition (1)(A) must be submitted to PSPD/OSW (5303W), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC: 20460, within the time period specified. At the Section Chief, request, ADPC&E must submit analytical data obtained through Condition (1)(B) within the time period specified by the Section Chief, Failure to submit the required records for the time specified in Condition (1)(B) (or to submit data within the time specified by the Section Chief) will be considered by the Agency, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke ADPC&Es exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by the following certification statement:  "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and comp

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility
BBC Brown Boveri, Inc Bekaert Corp

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) If Bekaert completes the quarterly testing specified in paragraph (3) above and no same contains a constituent with a level which exceeds the limits set forth in paragraph (Bekaert may begin annual testing as follows: Bekaert must test one representative coposite sample of the dewatered WWTP sludge for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) least once per calendar year.  (B) The sample for the annual testing shall be a representative composite sample for all constituents.
		(b) The sample for the annual testing shall be a representative composite sample for all constituents listed in paragraph (1).  (C) The sample for the annual testing taken for the second and subsequent annual t
		events shall be taken within the same calendar month as the first annual sample taken.  (5) Changes in Operating Conditions: If Bekaert significantly changes the process describ in its petition or starts any processes that generate(s) the waste that may or could affer the composition or type of waste generated as established under paragraph (1) (by illust tion, but not limitation, changes in equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process), it must notify the EPA in writing; it may no longer handle the wastes generated from the new process as nonhazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set in par graph (1) and it has received written approval to do so from the EPA.  (6) Data Submittals: Bekaert must submit the information described below. If Bekaert fails submit the required data within the specified time or maintain the required records on-s
		for the specified time, the EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficient basis to reop the exclusion as described in paragraph (7). Bekaert must:  (A) Submit the data obtained through paragraph (3) to the Chief, North Section, RCRA Experimental Research and Campillane Process
		forcement and Compliance Branch, Waste Division, U. S. Environmental Protection Ager Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia, 30303, within the time specified. (B) Compile records of analytical data from paragraph (3), summarized, and maintained of
		site for a minimum of five years.  (C) Furnish these records and data when either the EPA or the State of Tennessee requirements for inspection.
		<ul><li>(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:</li><li>"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudul</li></ul>
		statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Co which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and co plete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsible for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this formation is true, accurate and complete. If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void if it never had effect or to the extent directed by the EPA and that the company will be ble for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
		(7) Reopener: (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste Bekaert possesses or is otherwise ma aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or ground wa monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any credit stituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delist level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate witten (10) days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(B) If either the quarterly or annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requiments in paragraph (1), Bekaert must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Admir trator or his delegate within ten (10) days of first possessing or being made aware of t data.
		(C) If Bekaert fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B) of any other information is received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his degate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requified the EPA action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may inclusive suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to prothuman health and the environment.
		(D) If the Regional Administrator or his delegate determines that the reported information quires action the EPA, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate believes are necessary protect human health and the environment. The notification shall include a statement of proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present inf mation as to why the proposed the EPA action is not necessary. The facility shall have (10) days from the date of the Regional Administrator or his delegate's notice to pres

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), the Regional Administrator or his delegate will issue a final written determination describing the EPA actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.  (8) Notification Requirements: Bekaert must do following before transporting the delisted waste:
		(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which it will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, sixty (60) days before beginning such activities.     (B) Update the one-time written notification if Bekaert ships the delisted waste into a different
		disposal facility.  (C) Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting variance and a
Bethlehem Steel Cor- poration.	Sparrows Point, Mary- land.	possible revocation of the decision.  Stabilized filter cake (at a maximum annual rate of 1100 cubic yards) from the treatment of wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after [insert date of publication in FEDERAL REGISTER]. Bethlehem Steel (BSC) must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:
		(1) Testing: Sample collection and analyses (including quality control (QC) procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. If EPA judges the stabilization process to be effective under the conditions used during the initial verification testing, BSC may replace the testing required in Condition (1)(A) with the testing required in Condition (1)(B). BSC must continue to test as specified in Condition (1)(A) until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing in Condition (1)(A) may be replaced by Condition (1)(B) (to the extent directed by EPA).
		(A) Initial Verification Testing: During at least the first eight weeks of operation of the full-scale treatment system, BSC must collect and analyze weekly composites representative of the stabilized waste. Weekly composites must be composed of representative grab samples collected from every batch during each week of stabilization. The composite samples must be collected and analyzed, prior to the disposal of the stabilized filter cake, for all constituents listed in Condition (3). BSC must report the analytical test data, including a record of the ratios of lime kin dust and fly ash used and quality control information, obtained during this initial period no later than 60 days after the collection of the last com-
		posite of stabilized filter cake.  (B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following written notification by EPA, BSC may substitute the testing condition in (1)(B) for (1)(A). BSC must collect and analyze at least one composite representative of the stabilized filter cake generated each month. Monthly composites must be comprised of representative samples collected from all batches that are stabilized in a one-month period. The monthly samples must be analyzed prior to the disposal of the stabilized filter cake for chromium, lead and nickel. BSC may, at its discretion, analyze composite samples more frequently to demonstrate that smaller batches of waste are non-hazardous.
		(C) Annual Verification Testing: In order to confirm that the characteristics of the treated waste do not change significantly, BSC must, on an annual basis, analyze a representative composite sample of stabilized filter cake for all TC constituents listed in 40 CFR §261.24 using the method specified therein. This composite sample must represent the stabilized filter cake generated over one week.
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: BSC must store, as hazardous, all stabilized filter cake generated until verification testing (as specified in Conditions (1)(A) and (1)(B)) is completed and valid analyses demonstrate that the delisting levels set forth in Condition (3) are met. If the levels of hazardous constituents measured in the samples of stabilized filter cake generated are below all the levels set forth in Condition (3), then the stabilized filter cake is non-hazardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations. If hazardous constituent levels in any weekly or monthly composite sample equal or exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (3), the stabilized filter cake generated during the time period corresponding to this sample must be retreated until it is below these levels or managed and disposed of in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Delisting Levels: All concentrations must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR § 261.24. The leachable concentrations for the constituents must be below the following levels (ppm): arsenic—4.8; barium—100; cadmium—0.48; chromium—5.0; lead—1.4; mercury—0.19; nickel—9.6; selenium—1.0; silver—5.0.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: After completing the initial verification test period in Condition (1)(A), if BSC decides to significantly change the stabilization reagents) developed under Condition (1), then BSC must notify EPA in writing prior to instituting the change. After written approval by EPA, BSC may manage waste generated from the changed process as non-hazardous under this exclusion, provided the other conditions of this exclusion are fulfilled.  (5) Data Submittals: Two weeks prior to system start-up, BSC must notify in writing (see address below) when stabilization of the dewatered filter cake will begin. The data obtained through Condition (1)(A) must be submitted to Waste and Chemicals Management Division (Mail Code 3HW11), U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch St., Philadelphia, PA 19103 within the time period specified. The analytical data, including quality control information and records of ratios of lime kiln dust and fly ash used, must be compiled and maintained on site for a minimum of five years. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by EPA or the State of Maryland. Failure to submit the required data within the specified time period or maintain the required records on site for the specified time will be considered by the Agency, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:  "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and 42 U.S.C. § 6928), I certify
		that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification
		that this information is true, accurate and complete. In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
BMW Manufac- turing Co., LLC.	Greer, South Carolina.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) that BMW Manufacturing Corporation (BMW) generates by treating wastewater from automobile assembly plant located on Highway 101 South in Greer, South Carolina. This is a conditional exclusion for up to 2,850 cubic yards of waste (hereinafter referred to as "BMW Sludge") that will be generated each year and disposed in a Subtitle D landfill after August 31, 2005. With prior approval by the EPA, following a public comment period, BMW may also beneficially reuse the sludge. BMW must demonstrate that the following conditions are met for the exclusion to be valid.
		<ol> <li>(1) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for these metals and cyanide must not exceed the following levels (ppm): Barium-100; Cadmium-1; Chromium-5; Cyanide-33.6, Lead-5; and Nickel-70.3. These metal and cyanide concentrations must be measured in the waste leachate obtained by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24, except that for cyanide, deionized water must be the leaching medium. Cyanide concentrations in waste or leachate must be measured by the method specified in 40 CFR 268.40, Note 7.</li> <li>(2) Annual Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045B, 9060A, 9070A, (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev.), 9071B, and 9095B. Methods must meet Performance Based Measurement System Criteria in which the Data Quality Objectives are to demonstrate that representative samples of the BMW Sludge meet the delisting levels in Condition (1). (A) Annual Verification Testing: BMW must implement an annual testing program to demonstrate that constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP extract do not exceed the delisting levels established in Condition (1).</li> <li>(3) Waste Holding and Handling: BMW must hold sludge containers utilized for verification</li> </ol>
		(3) Waste Holding and Handling: BMW must hold sludge containers utilized for verification sampling until composite sample results are obtained. If the levels of constituents meas- ured in the composite samples of BMW Sludge do not exceed the levels set forth in Condi- tion (1), then the BMW Sludge is non-hazardous and must be managed in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations. If constituent levels in a composite sample exceed any of the delisting levels set forth in Condition (1), the batch of BMW Sludge generated during the time period corresponding to this sample must be managed and disposed of in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility		Waste description
Facility	Address	Waste description
		(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: BMW must notify EPA in writing when significant changes in the manufacturing or wastewater treatment processes are implemented. EPA will determine whether these changes will result in additional constituents of concern. If so, EPA will notify BMW in writing that the BMW Sludge must be managed as hazardous waste F019 until BMW has demonstrated that the wastes meet the delisting levels set forth in Condition (1) and any levels established by EPA for the additional constituents of concern, and BMW has received written approval from EPA. If EPA determines that the changes do not result in additional constituents of concern, EPA will notify BMW, in writing, that BMW must verify that the BMW Sludge continues to meet Condition (1) delisting levels.
		ets.  (5) Data Retention: Records of analytical data from Condition (2) must be compiled, summarized, and maintained by BMW for a minimum of three years, and must be furnished upon request by EPA or the State of South Carolina, and made available for inspection. Failure to maintain the required records for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).  (6) Reopener Language: (A) If, at any time after disposal of the delisted waste, BMW possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in the delisting verification testing is at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by EPA in granting the petition, BMW must report the data, in writing, to EPA and South Carolina within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (B) If the testing of the waste, as required by Condition (2)(A), does not meet the delisting requirements of Condition (1), BMW must report the data, in writing, to EPA and South Carolina within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (C) Based on the information described in paragraphs (6)(A) or (6)(B) and any other information received from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires that EPA take action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing BMW with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed action is not necessary. BMW shall have 10 days from the date of EPA's notice to pr
Boeing Com- mercial Air- plane Co	Auburn, Wash- ington.	a possible revocation of the decision to delist. Residually contaminated soils in an inactive sludge pile containment area on March 27, 1990, previously used to store wastewater treatment sludges generated from electroplating operations (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006).
Bommer Indus-	Landrum, SC	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from their elec-
tries Inc BWX] Tech- nologies.	Lynchburg, VA	troplating operations and contained in evaporation ponds #1 and #2 on August 12, 1987.  Wastewater treatment sludge from electroplating operations (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated at a maximum annual rate of 500 cubic yards per year, after January 14, 2000, and disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill. BWX Technologies must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:
		(1) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for the following constituents measure using the SW-846 method 1311 (the TCLP) must not exceed the following levels (mg/l). (a) Inorganic constituents—Antimony-0.6; Arsenic-5.0; Barium-100; Beryllium-0.4; Cadmium-0.5; Chromium-5.0; Cobalt-210; Copper-130; Lead-1.5; Mercury-0.2; Nickel-70; Silver-5.0; Thallium-0.2; Tin-2100; Zinc-1000; Fluoride-400. (b) Organic constituents—Acetone-400; Methylene Chloride-0.5.
		(2) Verification testing schedule: BWX Technologies must analyze a representative sample of the filter cake from the pickle acid treatment system on an annual, calendar year basis using methods with appropriate detection levels and quality control procedures. If the level of any constituent measured in the sample of filter cake exceeds the levels set forth in Paragraph 1, then the waste is hazardous and must be managed in accordance with Sub- title C of RCRA. Data from the annual verification testing must be submitted to EPA within 60 days of the sampling event.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
•		(3) Changes in Operating Conditions: If BWX Technologies significantly changes the manufacturing or treatment process described in the petition, or the chemicals used in the manufacturing or treatment process, BWX Technologies may not manage the filter cake generated from the new process under this exclusion until it has met the following conditions:  (a) BWX Technologies must demonstrate that the waste meets the delisting levels set forth in Paragraph 1; (b) it must demonstrate that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced into the manufacturing or treatment process: and (c) it must obtain prior written approval from EPA to manage the waste under this exclusion.
		(4) Data Submittals: The data obtained under Paragraphs 2 and 3 must be submitted to The Waste and Chemicals Management Division, U.S. EPA Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Records of operating conditions and analytical data must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years and must be furnished upon request by EPA or the Commonwealth of Virginia, and made available for inspection. Failure to submit the required data within the specified time period or to maintain the required records on site for the specified time period will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent determined necessary by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement set forth in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12) to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted.
		(5) Reopener: (a) If BWX Technologies discovers that a condition at the facility or an assumption related to the disposal of the excluded waste that was modeled or predicted in the petition does not occur as modeled or predicted, then BWX Technologies must report any information relevant to that condition, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of discovering that condition.
		(b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) of this section, regardless of its source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will determine whether the reported con- dition requires further action. Further action may include repealing the exclusion, modifying the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(6) Notification Requirements: BWX Technologies must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such a notification will be deemed to be a violation of this ex- clusion and may result in a revocation of the decision.
Capitol Prod- ucts Corp	Harrisburg, PA	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. FO19) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after September 12, 1986.
Capitol Prod- ucts Cor- poration.	Kentland, IN	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after November 17, 1986.
Care Free Alu- minum Prod- ucts, Inc	Charlotte, Michigan.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum (generated at a maximum annual rate of 100 cubic yards), after August 21, 1992. In order to confirm that the characteristics of the waste do not change significantly, the facility must, on an annual basis, analyze a representative composite sample for the constituents listed in §261.24 using the method specified therein. The annual analytical results, including quality control information, must be compiled, certified according to §260.22(i)(12), maintained on-site for a minimum of five years, and made available for inspection upon request by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Michigan. Failure to maintain the required records on-site will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA.
Chamberlian- Featherlite,	Hot Springs, AR.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after July 16, 1986.
Inc Cincinnati Met- ropolitan Sewer Dis- trict.	Cincinnati, OH	Sluiced bottom ash (approximately 25,000 cubic yards) contained in the South Lagoon, on September 13, 1985 which contains EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F001, F002, F003, F004, and F005.
Clay Equip- ment Cor- poration.	Cedar Falls, lowa.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) and spent cyanide bath solutions (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F009) generated from electroplating operations and disposed of in an on-site surface impoundment. This is a onetime exclusion. This exclusion was published on August 1, 1989.
Continental Can Co	Olympia, WA	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (DPA Hazardous Waste No. FO19) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after September 12, 1986.
DaimlerChrysl- er Corpora- tion.	Jefferson North As- sembly Plant, De- troit, Michi- gan.	Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated by DaimlerChrysler Corporation at the Jefferson North Assembly Plant (DCC-JNAP) at a maximum annual rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of February 26, 2004.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		1. Delisting Levels: (A) The concentrations in a TCLP extract of the waste measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.659; Arsenic—0.3; Cadmium—0.48; Chromium—4.95; Lead—5; Nickel—90.5; Selenium—1; Thallium—0.282; Tin—721; Zinc—898; Acetone—228; p-Cresol—11.4; Formaldehyde—84.2; and Methylene chloride—0.288. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Mercury—8.92; and Formaldehyde—689. (C) The sum of the ratios of the TCLP concentrations to the delisting levels for nickel and either thallium or cadmium shall not exceed 1.0.  2. Quarterly Verification Testing: To verify that the waste does not exceed the specified delisting levels, DCC-JNAP must collect and analyze one representative sample of the waste on a quarterly basis.  3. Changes in Operating Conditions: DCC-JNAP must notify the EPA in writing if the manufacturing process, the chemicals used in the manufacturing process, the treatment process, or the chemicals used in the treatment process significantly change. DCC-JNAP must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until it has demonstrated that the wastes continue to meet the delisting levels and that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and it has received written approval from EPA.  4. Data Submittals: DCC-JNAP must submit the data obtained through verification testing or as required by other conditions of this rule to both U.S. EPA Region 5, Waste Management Branch (DW–8J), 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604 and MDEQ, Waste Management Division, Hazardous Waste Program Section, at P.O. Box 30241, Lansing, Michigan 48099. The quarterly verification data and certification of proper disposal must be submitted annually upon the anniversary of the effective date of this exclusion. The facility must compile, summarize, and maintain on site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. The facility must make thes
Dover Corp., Norris Div DuraTherm, In- corporated.	Tulsa, OK San Leon, Texas.	data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).  5. Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, DCC-JNAP possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delisting level, or is in the groundwater at a concentration higher than the maximum allowable groundwater concentration in paragraph (e), then DCC-JNAP must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (b) Based on the information described in paragraph (a) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.  (c) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator with proposed action and a statement providing DCC-JNAP with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. DCC-JNAP shall have 30 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information.  (d) If after 30 days the facility presents no further information, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator's Cadmium—5; Chromium—100; Lead—15; Nickel—750; Selenium—50; Thallium—2; Tin—22,500; Zinc—11,30
		following Paragraphs: (1) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for those constituents must not exceed the following levels (ppm). The petitioner must use an acceptable leaching method, for example SW-846, Method 1311 to measure constituents in the waste leachate.  Desorber solids (i) Inorganic Constituents Arsenic—1.35; Antimony—0.162; Barium—54.0; Beryllium—0.108; Cadmium—0.135; Chromium—0.6; Lead—0.405; Nickel—2.7; Selenium—1.0; Silver—5.0; Vanadium—5.4; Zinc—270.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(ii) Organic Constituents Anthracene—0.28; Benzene—0.135; Benzo(a) anthracene—0.05 Benzo(b)fluoranthene—0.11; Benzo(a)pyrene—0.061; Bis-ethylhexylphthalate—0.28; Cabon Disulfide—3.8; Chlorobenzene—0.057; Chrysene—0.059; o,m,p. Cresols—54; Diben (a,h) anthracene—0.055; 2.4 Dimethyl phenol—18.9; Dioctyl phthalate—0.01 Ethylbenzene—0.057; Fluoranthene—0.068; Fluorene—0.059; Naphthalene—0.059; Phenol—6.2; Pyrene—0.067; Styrene—2.7; Trichloroethylene—0.05 Toluene—0.08; Xylene—0.032
		in its RCRA permit, or continue to dispose of as hazardous all desorber solids generate until they have completed verification testing described in Paragraph (3)(A) and (B), as a propriate, and valid analyses show that paragraph (1) is satisfied.  (B) In order to isolate wastes that have been processed in the unit prior to one of the was codes to be delisted, DuraTherm must designate the first batch of F037, F038, K04
		K049, K050, or K051 wastes as hazardous. Subsequent batches of these wastes whi satisfy paragraph (1) are eligible for delisting if they meet the criteria in paragraph (1) a no additional constituents (other than those of the delisted waste streams) from the p viously processed wastes are detected.
		(C) Levels of constituents measured in the samples of the desorber solids that do not except the levels set forth in Paragraph (1) are nonhazardous. DuraTherm can manage and compose the nonhazardous desorber solids according to all applicable solid waste regulation (D) If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in Paragraph (DuraTherm must retreat or stabilize the batches of waste used to generate the representive sample until it meets the levels in paragraph(1). DuraTherm must repeat the analysis of the treated waste.
		(E) If the facility has not treated the waste, DuraTherm must manage and dispose the wargenerated under subtitle C of RCRA. (3) Verification Testing Requirements: DuraTherm must perform sample collection and an yese, including quality control procedures, using appropriate methods. As applicable to method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods corporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 000040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330
		9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 907: and 9095B. If EPA judges the process to be effective under the operating conditions during the initial verification testing, DuraTherm may replace the testing required in Paragraph (3)(A) with the testing required in Paragraph (3)(B). DuraTherm must continue to the as specified in Paragraph (3)(A) until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing Paragraph (3)(A) may be replaced by Paragraph (3)(B). (A) Initial Verification Testing: After EPA grants the final exclusion, DuraTherm must do the following:
		(i) Collect and analyze composites of the desorber solids. (ii) Make two composites of representative grab samples collected.
		(iii) Analyze the waste, before disposal, for all of the constituents listed in Paragraph 1. (iv) Sixty (60) days after this exclusion becomes final, report the operational and analyti
		test data, including quality control information.  (v) Submit the test plan for conducting the multiple pH leaching procedure to EPA for a proval at least 10 days before conducting the analysis.  (vi) Conduct a multiple pH leaching procedure on 10 samples collected during the sixty-c
		test period.  (vii) The ten samples should include both non-stabilized and stabilized residual solids. If no of the samples collected during the sixty-day test period need to be stabilized, DuraThe should provide multiple pH data on the first sample of stabilized wastes generated.
		<ul> <li>(vii) Perform the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure using three different pH extract fluids to simulate disposal under three conditions and submit the results within 60 days completion. Simulate an acidic landfill environment, basic landfill environment, and a land environment similar to the pH of the waste.</li> <li>(B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following written notification by EPA, DuraTherm in</li> </ul>
		substitute the testing conditions in (3)(B) for (3)(A)(i). DuraTherm must continue to moni operating conditions, and analyze representative samples each quarter of operation dur the first year of waste generation. The samples must represent the waste generated in c quarter. DuraTherm must run the multiple pH procedure on these waste samples.  (C) Termination of Organic Testing: (i) DuraTherm must continue testing as required unit
		Paragraph (3)(B) for organic constituents in Paragraph (1)(A)(ii), until the analytical rest submitted under Paragraph (3)(B) show a minimum of two consecutive samples below delisting levels in Paragraph (1)(A)(i), DuraTherm may then request that EPA stop quarte organic testing. After EPA notifies DuraTherm in writing, the company may end quarte organic testing.
		(ii) Following cancellation of the quarterly testing, DuraTherm must continue to test a resentative composite sample for all constituents listed in Paragraph (1) annually twelve months after final exclusion).

Address

Facility

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Waste description

	(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If DuraTherm significantly changes the process described in its petition or starts any processes that generate(s) the waste that may or could affect the composition or type of waste generated as established under Paragraph (1) (by illustration, but not limitation, changes in equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process), they must notify EPA in writing; they may no longer handle the wastes generated from the new process as nonhazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set in Paragraph (1) and they have received written approval to do so from EPA.
	(5) Data Submittals: DuraTherm must submit the information described below. If DuraTherm fails to submit the required data within the specified time or maintain the required records on-site for the specified time, EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in Paragraph 6. DuraTherm must: (A) Submit the data obtained through Paragraph 3 to Mr. William Gallagher, Chief, Region 6 Delisting Program, EPA, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, Mail Code, (6PD-
	<ul><li>O) within the time specified.</li><li>(B) Compile records of operating conditions and analytical data from Paragraph (3), summarized, and maintained on-site for a minimum of five years.</li></ul>
	(C) Furnish these records and data when EPA or the State of Texas request them for inspection.
	(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:
	Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.
	As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.
	If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
	(6) Reopener Language: (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, DuraTherm possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
	(B) If the annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in Paragraph 1, DuraTherm must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
	(C) If DuraTherm fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5),(6)(A) or (6)(B) or if any other information is received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
	(D) If the Regional Administrator or his delegate determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present infor- mation as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator or his delegate's notice to present such information.
	(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), the Regional Administrator or his delegate will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator or his delegate's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.
	(7) Notification Requirements: DuraTherm must do following before transporting the delisted waste: Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which of through which they will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 day before beginning such activities.     (B) Update the one-time written notification if they ship the delisted waste into a different disposal.
Eastman Chemical Company.	Longview, Texas.	posal facility. Wastewater treatment sludge, (at a maximum generation of 82,100 cubic yards per calenda year) generated by Eastman (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F001, F002, F003, F005 ger erated at Eastman when disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill.
Company.		Eastman must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclu-
		sion to be valid:  (1) Delisting Levels: All concentrations for the following constituents must not exceed the following levels (mg/l). For the wastewater treatment sludge constituents must be measure in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24. Wastewater treatment sludge:
		<ul> <li>(i) Inorganic Constituents: Antimony-0.0515; Barium-7.30; Cobalt-2.25; Chromium-5.0; Leac 5.0; Mercury-0.0015; Nickel-2.83; Selenium-0.22; Silver-0.384; Vanadium-2.11; Zinc-28.0</li> <li>(ii) Organic Constituents: Acenaphthene-1.25; Acetone—7.13; bis(2-ethylhexylphthalate-0.28; 2-butanone—42.8; Chloroform—0.0099; Fluorene—0.55; Methanol-35.7; Methylen</li> </ul>
		Chloride—0.486; naphthalene-0.0321.
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: If the concentrations of the sludge exceed the levels provided in Condition 1, then the sludge must be treated in the Fluidized Bed Incinerator (FB and meet the requirements of that September 25, 1996 delisting exclusion to be non-haz ardous (as FBI ash). If the sludge meets the delisting levels provided in Condition 1, the it's non-hazardous (as sludge). If the waste water treatment sludge is not managed in the
		manner above, Eastman must manage it in accordance with applicable RCRA Subtitle requirements. If the levels of constituents measured in the samples of the waste wate treatment sludge do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1), then the waste is not hazardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable sol waste regulations. During the verification period, Eastman must manage the waste in the
		FBI incinerator prior to disposal.  (3) Verification Testing Requirements: Eastman must perform sample collection and ana
		yses, including quality control procedures, using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods in corporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 003, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071I and 9095B. After completion of the initial verification period, Eastman may replace the tes ing required in Condition (3)(A) with the testing required in Condition (3)(B). Eastman mu
		continue to test as specified in Condition (3)(A) until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing in Condition (3)(A) may be replaced by Condition (3)(B).
		(A) Initial Verification Testing: At quarterly intervals for one year after the final exclusion granted, Eastman must collect and analyze composites of the wastewater treatment sludg for constituents listed in Condition (1).
		(B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following termination of the quarterly testing, Eastma must continue to test a representative composite sample for all constituents listed in Conc
		tion (1) on an annual basis (no later than twelve months after the final exclusion).  (4) Changes in Operating Conditions. If Eastman significantly changes the process whice generate(s) the waste(s) and which may or could affect the composition or type of waste(generated as established under Condition (1) (by illustration, but not limitation, change
		equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process or generation of volumes in e cess 82,100 cubic yards of waste annually), Eastman must (A) notify the EPA in writing the change and (B) may no longer handle or manage the waste generated from the ne process as nonhazardous until Eastman has demonstrated through testing the was meets the delisting levels set in Condition (1) and (C) Eastman has received written a
		proval to begin managing the wastes as non-hazardous from EPA.  (5) Data Submittals. Eastman must submit or maintain, as applicable, the information d scribed below. If Eastman fails to submit the required data within the specified time maintain the required records on-site for the specified time, EPA, at its discretion, will contain the required records on-site for the specified time, EPA, at its discretion, will contain the required records on-site for the specified time.
		sider this sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in Condition (6). Eastmanust:
		<ul> <li>(A) Submit the data obtained through Condition (3) to Mr. William Gallagher, Chief, Region Delisting Program, EPA, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, Mail Code, (6PI O) within the time specified.</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>(B) Compile records of operating conditions and analytical data from Condition (3), summarized, and maintained on-site for a minimum of five years.</li><li>(C) Furnish these records and data when EPA or the State of Texas request them for insper</li></ul>
		tion.
		(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(i) Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and com-
		plete.  (ii) As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.
		(iii) If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
		(6) Reopener Language: (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Eastman possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(B) If the annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in Condition (1), Eastman must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(C) If Eastman fails to submit the information described in Conditions (5),(6)(A) or (6)(B) or if any other information is received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his dele- gate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include sus- pending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(D) If the Regional Administrator or his delegate determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present infor- mation as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator or his delegate's notice to present such information.
		(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in Condition (6)(D) or (if no information is presented under Condition (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information described in Conditions (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), the Regional Administrator or his delegate will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator or his delegate's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.
		<ul> <li>(7) Notification Requirements. Eastman must do following before transporting the delisted waste off-site: Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the exclusion.</li> <li>(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or</li> </ul>
		through which they will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days before beginning such activities.  (B) Update the one-time written notification if they ship the delisted waste into a different dis-
Lilly and Company.	Clinton, Indiana.	posal facility.  Incinerator scrubber liquids, entering and contained in their onsite surface impoundment, and solids settling from these liquids originating from the burning of spent solvents (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F002, F003, and F005) contained in their onsite surface impoundment and solids retention area on August 18, 1988 and any new incinerator scubber liquids and settled solids generated in the surface impoundment and disposed of in the retention are after August 12, 1988.
virite of Illi- nois (for- merly Envirite Cor- poration).	Harvey, Illinois	See waste description under Envirite of Pennsylvania.
virite of Ohio (formerly Envirite Corporation).	Canton, Ohio	See waste description under Envirite of Pennsylvania.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

	TABLE I-VVA	ASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued
Facility	Address	Waste description
Envirite of Pennsylvania (formerly Envirite Cor- poration).	York, Pennsylvania.	Dewatered wastewater sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F006) generated from electroplating operations; spent cyanide plating solutions (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F007) generated from electroplating operations; plating bath residues from the bottom of plating baths (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F008) generated from electroplating operations where cyanides are used in the process; spent stripping and cleaning bath solutions (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F009) generated from electroplating operations where cyanides are used in the process; spent cyanide solutions from salt bath pot cleaning (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F011) generated from metal heat treating operations; quenching wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F012) generated from metal heat treating where cyanides are used in the process; wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No .F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after November 14, 1986. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern, the facility must implement a contingency testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusions to be valid:
		(1) Each batch of treatment residue must be representatively sampled and tested using the EP Toxicity test for arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, selenium, silver, mercury, and nickel. If the extract concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic, and silver exceed 0.315 ppm; barium levels exceed 6.3 ppm; cadmium and selenium exceed 0.063 ppm; mercury exceeds 0.0126 ppm; or nickel levels exceed 2.205 ppm; the waste must be re- treated or managed and disposed as a hazardous waste under 40 CFR Parts 262 to 265 and the permitting standards of 40 CFR Part 270.
		(2) Each batch of treatment residue must be tested for leachable cyanide. If the leachable cyanide levels (using the EP Toxicity test without acetic acid adjustment) exceed 1.26 ppm, the waste must be re-treated or managed and disposed as a hazardous waste under 40 CFR Parts 262 to 265 and the permitting standards of 40 CFR Part 270.
		(3) Each batch of waste must be tested for the total content of specific organic toxicants. If the total content of anthracene exceeds 76.8 ppm, 1,2-diphenyl hydrazine exceeds 0.001 ppm, methylene chloride exceeds 8.18 ppm, methyl ethyl ketone exceeds 326 ppm, n- nitrosodiphenylamine exceeds 11.9 ppm, phenol exceeds 1,566 ppm, tetrachloroethylene exceeds 0.188 ppm, or trichloroethylene exceeds 0.592 ppm, the waste must be managed and disposed as a hazardous waste under 40 CFR Parts 262 to 265 and the permitting standards of 40 CFR Part 270.
		(4) A grab sample must be collected from each batch to form one monthly composite sample which must be tested using GC/MS analysis for the compounds listed in #3, above, as well as the remaining organics on the priority pollutant list. (See 47 FR 52309, November 19, 1982, for a list of the priority pollutants.)
		(5) The data from conditions 1–4 must be kept on file at the facility for inspection purposes and must be compiled, summarized, and submitted to the Administrator by certified mail semi-annually. The Agency will review this information and if needed will propose to modify or withdraw the exclusion. The organics testing described in conditions 3 and 4, above, are not required until six months from the date of promulgation. The Agency's decision to conditionally exclude the treatment residue generated from the wastewater treatment systems at these facilities applies only to the wastewater and solids treatment systems as they presently exist as described in the delisting petition. The exclusion does not apply to the proposed process additions described in the petition as recovery including crystallization, electrolytic metals recovery, evaporative recovery, and ion exchange.
EPA's Mobile Incineration System.	Denney Farm Site; McDowell, MO.	Process wastewater, rotary kiln ash, CHEAF media, and other solids (except spent activated carbon) (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F022, F023, F026, F027, and F028) generated during the field demonstration of EPA's Mobile Incinerator at the Denney Farm Site in McDowell, Missouri, after July 25, 1985, so long as: (1) The incinerator is functioning properly; (2) a grab sample is taken from each tank of wastewater generated and the EP leachate values do not exceed 0.03 ppm for mercury, 0.14 ppm for selenium, and 0.68 ppm for chromium; and (3) a grab sample is taken from each drum of soil or ash generated and a core sample is collected from each CHEAF roll generated and the EP leachate values of daily composites do not exceed 0.044 ppm in ash or CHEAF media for mercury or 0.22 ppm in ash or CHEAF media for selenium.
Falconer Glass Indust., Inc Florida Produc- tion Engi- neering Company.	Falconer, NY  Daytona  Beach, Florida.	Wastewater treatment sludges from the filter press and magnetic drum separator (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after July 16, 1986.  This is a one-time exclusion. Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations and contained in four on-site trenches on January 23, 1987.
Ford Motor Company, Dearborn Truck As- sembly Plant.	Dearborn, Michigan.	Wastewater treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated by Ford Motor Company at the Dearborn Truck Asembly Plant at a maximum annual rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of April 25, 2005.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		1. Delisting Levels: (A) The concentrations in a TCLP extract of the waste measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): antimony—0.7; arsenic—0.3; barium—100; cadmium—0.5; chromium—5; lead—5; nickel—90; selenium—1; thallium—0.3; zinc—900; p-cresol—11; di-n-octyl phthlate—0.11; formaldehyde—80; and pentachlorophenol—0.009. (B) The total concentration measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): mercury—9; and formaldehyde—700.
		<ol> <li>Quarterly Verification Testing: To verify that the waste does not exceed the specified delisting levels, Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant must collect and analyze one representa- tive sample of the waste on a quarterly basis.</li> </ol>
		Changes in Operating Conditions: Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant must notify the EPA in writing if the manufacturing process, the chemicals used in the manufacturing process, the treatment process, or the chemicals used in the treatment process change significantly. Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until it has demonstrated that the wastes continue to meet the delisting levels and that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and it has received written approval from EPA.  4. Data Submittals: Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant [RedIn Off] must submit the data obtained through verification testing or as required by other conditions of this rule to both U.S. EPA Region 5, Waste Management Branch (DW-8J), 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604 and MDEQ, Waste Management Division, Hazardous Waste Program Section, at P.O. Box 30241, Lansing, Michigan 48909. The quarterly verification data and certification of proper disposal must be submitted annually upon the anniversary of the effective date of this exclusion. Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant must compile, summarize and maintain on
		site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).  5. Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delisting level, or is in the groundwater at a concentration higher than the maximum allowable groundwater concentration in paragraph (e), then Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(b) Based on the information described in paragraph (a) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the en- vironment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other ap- propriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(c) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant shall have 30 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information.
		(d) If after 30 days the Dearborn Truck Assembly Plant presents no further information, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.  (e) Maximum Allowable Groundwater Concentrations (µg/L): antimony—6; aresnic—5; barium 2000; coelegium 5 barium 2000; coelegium 5 barium 6 barium 5 barium 6 barium
		ium—2,000; cadmium—5; chromium—100; lead—15; nickel—800; selenium—50; thal-lium—2; tin—20,000; zinc—11,000; p-Cresol—200; Di-n-octyl phthlate—1.3; Formalde-
Ford Motor Company, Kansas City Assembly Plant.	Claycomo, Missouri.	hyde—1,400; and Pentachlorophenol—0.15.  Wastewater treatment sludge, F019, that is generated at the Ford Motor Company (Ford) Kansas City Assembly Plant (KCAP) at a maximum annual rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is li- censed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of June 6, 2007.
		Delisting Levels: (a) The concentrations in a TCLP extract of the waste measured in any sample may not equal or exceed the following levels (mg/L): barium—100; chromium—5; mercury—0.155; nickel—90; thallium—0.282; zinc—898; cyanides—11.5; ethyl benzene—42.6; toluene—60.8; total xylenes—18.9; bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate—0.365; p-cresol—11.4; 2,4-dinitrotoluene—0.13; formaldehyde—343; and napthalene—.728;
		(b) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): chromium 760000; mercury—10.4; thallium—116000; 2,4-dinitrotoluene—100000; and formaldehyde—6880.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		<ol> <li>Quarterly Verification Testing: To verify that the waste does not exceed the specified delisting levels, Ford must collect and analyze one representative sample of KCAP's sludge on a quarterly basis.</li> <li>Changes in Operating Conditions: Ford must notify the EPA in writing if the manufacturing process, the chemicals used in the treatment process at KCAP significantly change. Ford must handle wastes generated at KCAP after the process change as hazardous until it has demonstrated that the waste continues to meet the delisting levels and that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and Ford has received written approval from EPA for the changes.</li> <li>Data Submittals: Ford must submit the data obtained through verification testing at KCAP or as required by other conditions of this rule to EPA Region 7, Air, RCRA and Toxics Division, 901 N. 5th, Kansas City, Kanasa 66101. The quarterly verification data and certification of proper disposal must be submitted annually upon the anniversary of the effective date of this exclusion. Ford must compile, summarize, and maintain at KCAP records of operating conditions and analytical data for a minimum of five years. Ford must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).</li> <li>Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Ford possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste at KCAP indicating that any constituent is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delisting level, or is in the groundwater at a concentration higher than the maximum allowable groundwater concentration in paragraph (e), then Ford must report such data in writing to the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information described i</li></ol>
Ford Motor Company, Michigan Truck Plant and Wayne Integrated Stamping and Assem- bly Plant	Wayne, Michigan.	trator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.  Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated by Ford Motor Company at the Wayne Integrated Stamping and Assembly Plant from wastewaters from both the Wayne Integrated Stamping and Assembly Plant and the Michigan Truck Plant, Wayne, Michigan at a maximum annual rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of July 30, 2003.  1. Delisting Levels: (A) The TCLP concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.659; Arsenic—0.3; Cadmium—0.48; Chromium—4.95; Lead—5; Nickel—90.5; Selenium—1; Thallium—0.282; Tin—721; Zinc—898; p-Cresol—11.4; and Formaldehyde—84.2. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Mercury—8.92; and Formaldehyde—689. (C) The sum of the ratios of the TCLP concentrations to the delisting levels for nickel and thalium and for nickel and cadmium shall not exceed 1.0. 2. Quarterly Verification Testing: To verify that the waste does not exceed the specified delisting levels, the facility must collect and analyze one waste sample on a quarterly basis. 3. Changes in Operating Conditions: The facility must notify the EPA in writing if the manufacturing process, the chemicals used in the treatment process, or the chemicals used in the treatment process significantly change. The facility must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until it has demonstrated that the wastes continue to meet the delisting levels and that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and it has received written ap-

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Ford Motor Company, Wixom As- sembly Plant.	Wixom, Michigan.	4. Data Submittals: The facility must submit the data obtained through verification testing or as required by other conditions of this rule to both U.S. EPA Region 5, Waste Management Division, Hazardous Waste Program Section, at P.O. Box 30241, Lansing, Michigan 48904. The quarterly verification data and certification of proper disposal must be submitted annually upon the anniversary of the effective date of this exclusion. The facility must compile, summarize, and maintain on site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. The facility must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).  5. Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, the facility possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delising level, or is in the groundwater at a concentration higher than the maximum allowable groundwater constituent is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delising level, or is in the groundwater on the information described in paragraph (a) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necess

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility  General Electric Company.  General Motors	Address  Shreveport Louisiana. Arlington, TX	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations and contained in four on-site treatment ponds on August 12, 1987. Wastewater Treatment Sludge (WWTP) (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated at a maximum annual rate of 3,000 cubic yards per calendar year after January 3, 2007 and disposed in a Subtitle D landfill. For the exclusion to be valid, GM-Arlington must implement a verification testing program that meets the following paragraphs: (1) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for those constituents must not exceed the following levels (mg/l for TCLP). (i) Inorganic Constituents: Barium-100; Cadmium-0.36; Chromium-5 (3.71); Cobalt-18.02; Lead-5; Nickel-67.8; Silver-5; Tin-540; Zinc-673. (ii) Organic Constituents: Acetone-171; Ethylbenzene-31.9; N-Butyl Alcohol-171; Toluene-456; Bis(2-Ethylbexyl) Phthalate-0.27; p-Cresol-8.55; Naphthalene-3.11. (2) Waste Management: (A) GM-Arlington must manage as hazardous all WWTP sludge generated, until it has completed initial verification testing described in paragraph (3)(A) and (B), as appropriate, and valid analyses show that paragraph(1) is satisfied. (B) Levels of constituents measured in the samples of the WWTP sludge that do not exceed the levels set forth in paragraph (1) are non-hazardous. GM-Arlington can manage and dispose of the non-hazardous WWTP sludge according to all applicable solid waste regulations.  (C) if constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in paragraph (1), GM-Arlington can collect one additional sample and perform expedited analyses to verify if the constituent exceeds the delisting level. If this sample confirms the exceedance, GM-Arlington must manage and dispose of the waste generated under Subtitle C of RCRA from the time it becomes aware of any exceedance.  (D) Upon completion of the Verification Testing indicates an exceedance, GM-Arlington must manage and dispose of the waste generated under Subtitle C of RCRA from the time it becomes aware of any
		initial verification analytical test data for the WWTP sludge, including analytical quality control information for the first thirty (30) days of operation after this exclusion becomes final. If levels of constituents measured in these samples of the WWTP sludge do not exceed the levels set forth in paragraph (1), GM-Arlington can manage and dispose of the WWTP sludge according to all applicable solid waste regulations.  (B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following written notification by EPA, GM-Arlington may substitute the testing conditions in paragraph (3)(B) for paragraph (3)(A). GM-Arlington must continue to monitor operating conditions, and analyze two representative samples of the WWTP sludge for the next three quarters of operation during the first year of waste generation. The samples must represent the waste generated during the quarter. Quarterly
		reports are due to EPA, thirty days after the samples are taken.  After the first year of analytical sampling, verification sampling can be performed on a single annual sample of the WWTP sludge. The results are to be compared to the delisting levels in paragraph (1).  (C) Termination of Testing:  (i) After the first year of quarterly testing, if the delisting levels in paragraph (1) are being met, GM-Arlington may then request that EPA not require quarterly testing.  (ii) Following cancellation of the quarterly testing by EPA letter, GM-Arlington must continue
		to test one representative sample for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) annually. Results must be provided to EPA within 30 days of the testing.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		<ul> <li>(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If GM-Arlington significantly changes the process de scribed in its petition or starts any process that generates the waste that may or could sig nificantly affect the composition or type of waste generated as established under paragraph (1) (by illustration, but not limitation, changes in equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process), it must notify EPA in writing; it may no longer handle the wastes generated from the new process as nonhazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set in paragraph (1) and it has received written approval to do so from EPA.</li> <li>(5) Data Submittatis: GM-Arlington must submit the information described below. If GM-Arlington fails to submit the required data within the specified time or maintain the required records on-site for the specified time, EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficien basis to reopen the exclusion as described in paragraph 6. GM-Arlington must: <ul> <li>(A) Submit the data obtained through paragraph(3) to the Section Chief, Region 6 Corrective Action and Waste Minimization Section, EPA, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, Mail Code, (6PD-C) within the time specified.</li> <li>(B) Compile records of operating conditions and analytical data from paragraph (3), sum marized, and maintained on-site for a minimum of five years.</li> <li>(C) Furnish these records and data when EPA or the State of Texas requests them for in spection.</li> <li>(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:</li> <li>"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulen statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and com plete.</li> <li>As to the (those)</li></ul></li></ul>
		formation is true, accurate and complete.  If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate of incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
		(6) Re-opener; (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, GM-Arlington possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or ground water monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by EPA in granting the petition, then the facility must report that data, in writing to EPA within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (B) If either the quarterly or annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting require ments in paragraph 1, GM-Arlington must report the data, in writing, to EPA within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (C) If GM-Arlington fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) o (6)(B) or if any other information is received from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires action to protect human health and/or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, o other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. (D) If EPA determines that the reported information requires action, EPA will notify the facility in writing of the actions it believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information explaining why the proposed EPA action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of EPA's notice to
		present such information.  (E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (in on information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information describer in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), EPA will issue a final written determination describing the actions that are necessary to protect human health and/or the environment. Any required action described in EPA's determination shall become effective immediately, unless EPA provides otherwise.  (7) Notification Requirements: GM-Arlington must do the following before transporting the delisted waste. Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting pe
		tition and a possible revocation of the decision.  (A) Provide a one-time written notification to any state Regulatory Agency to which of through which it will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days before beginning such activities.  (B) Update the one-time written notification if it ships the delisted waste into a different disposal facility.  (C) Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting variance and a possible revocation of the decision.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
General Motors Corporation.	Lake Orion, Michigan.	Wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) sludge from the chemical conversion coating (phosphate coating) of aluminum (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated at a maximum annual rate of 1,500 tons per year (or 1,500 cubic yards per year), after October 24, 1997 and disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill.  1. Verification Testing: GM must implement an annual testing program to demonstrate, based on the analysis of a minimum of four representative samples, that the constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP (or OWEP, where appropriate) extract of the waste are within specific levels. The constituent concentrations must not exceed the following levels (mg/l) which are back-calculated from the delisting health-based levels and a DAF of 90: Arsenic—4.5; Cobalt—189; Copper—126; Nickel—63; Vanadium—18; Zinc—900; 1,2-Dichloroethane—0.45; Ethylbenzene—63; 4-Methylphenol—16.2; Naphthalene—90: Phenol—1800; and Xylene—900. The constituent concentrations must also be less than the following levels (mg/l) which are the toxicity characteristic levels: Barium—100.0; and Chromium (total)—5.0.  2. Changes in Operating Conditions: If GM significantly changes the manufacturing or treatment process or the chemicals used in the manufacturing or treatment process or the chemicals used in the manufacturing or treatment process under this exclusion after the facility has demonstrated that the waste meets the levels set forth in paragraph 1 and that no new hazardous constituents listed in Appendix VIII of Part 261 have been introduced.  3. Data Submittals: The data obtained through annual verification testing or paragraph 2 must be submitted to U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604–3590, within
		60 days of sampling. Records of operating conditions and analytical data must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years and must be made available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 260.22(I)(12).
General Motors Corporation Assembly Plant	Lordstown, Ohio.	Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated at General Motors Corporation's Lordstown Assembly Plant at a maximum annual rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized by a state to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge. The exclusion becomes effective as of October 12, 2004.  1. Delisting Levels: (A) The constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP extract may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): antimony—0.66; arsenic—0.30; chromium—5; lead—5; mercury—0.15; nickel—90; selenium—1; silver—5; thallium—0.28; tin—720; zinc—900; fluoride—130; p-cresol—11; formaldehyde—84; and methylene chloride—0.28 [b] The total constituent concentration measured in any sample of the waste may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): chromium—4,100; formaldehyde—700; and mercury—10. (C) Maximum allowable groundwater concentrations (µg/L) are as follows: antimony—6; arsenic—4.88; chromium—100; lead—15; mercury—2; nickel—750; selenium—50; silver—188; thallium—2; tin—22,500; zinc—11,300; fluoride—4,000; p-cresol—188; formaldehyde—1,390; and methylene chloride—5.  2. Quarterly Verification Testing: To verify that the waste does not exceed the specified delisting levels, GM must collect and analyze one waste sample on a quarterly basis using methods with appropriate detection levels and elements of quality control.  3. Changes in Operating Conditions: The facility must notify the EPA in writing if the manufacturing process, the chemicals used in the manufacturing process, the treatment process, or the chemicals used in the treatment process significantly change. GM must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until it has demonstrated that the wastes continue to meet the delisting levels and that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and it has received written approval from EPA.  4. Data Submittals: The facility must submit the data obt
		4. Data Submittals: The facility must submit the data obtained through verification testing of as required by other conditions of this rule to U.S. EPA Region 5, Waste Management Branch, RCRA Delisting Program (DW-8J), 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. The quarterly verification data and certification of proper disposal must be submitted annually upon the anniversary of the effective date of this exclusion. The facility must compile, summarize, and maintain on site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. The facility must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		5. Reopener Language: (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, GM possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or ground-water monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delisting level, or is in the groundwater at a concentration higher than the maximum allowable groundwater concentration in paragraph (1), then GM must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (B) Based on the information described in paragraph (A) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. (C) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing GM with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. GM shall have 30 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information. (D) If after 30 days GM presents no further information, the Regional Administrator's determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.
General Motors Corp., Fisher Body Divi- sion.	Elyria, OH	The residue generated from the use of the Chemfix® treatment process on sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations and contained in three on-site surface impoundments on November 14, 1986. To assure that stabilization occurs, the following conditions apply to this exclusion:
		(1) Mixing ratios shall be monitored continuously to assure consistent treatment. (2) One grab sample of the treated waste shall be taken each hour as it is pumped to the holding area (cell) from each trailer unit. At the end of each production day, the grab samples from the individual trailer units will be composited and the EP toxicity test will be run on each composite sample. If lead or total chromium concentrations exceed 0.315 ppm or if nickel exceeds 2.17 ppm, in the EP extract, the waste will be removed and retreated or disposed of as a hazardous waste. (3) The treated waste shall be pumped into bermed cells which are constructed to assure that the treated waste is identifiable and retrievable (i.e., the material can be removed and
		either disposed of as a hazardous waste or retreated if conditions 1 or 2 are not met).  Failure to satisfy any of these conditions would render the exclusion void. This is a one-time exclusion, applicable only to the residue generated from the use of the Chemfix "be treatment process on the sludge currently contained in the three on-site surface impoundments.
General Motors Corporation, Flint Truck.	Flint, Michigan	Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated by General Motors Corporation at Flint Truck, Flint, Michigan at a maximum annual rate of 3,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of July 30, 2003. The conditions in paragraphs (2) through (5) for Ford Motor Company—Michigan Truck Plant and Wayne Integrated Stamping Plant—Wayne, Michigan also apply.  Delisting Levels: (A) The TCLP concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the
		following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.494; Arsenic—0.224; Cadmium—0.36; Chromium—3.71; Lead—5; Nickel—67.8; Selenium—1; Thallium—0.211; Tin—540; Zinc—673; p-Cresol—8.55; and Formaldehyde—63. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Mercury—6.34; and Formaldehyde—535. (C) The sum of the ratios of the TCLP concentration to the delisting level for nickel and thallium and for nickel and cadmium shall not exceed 1.0.
General Motors Corporation, Hamtramck.	Detroit, Michigan.	Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated by General Motors Corporation at Hamtramck, Detroit, Michigan at a maximum annual rate of 3,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of July 30, 2003. The conditions in paragraphs (2) through (5) for Ford Motor Company-Michigan Truck Plant and Wayne Integrated Stamping Plant—Wayne, Michigan also apply. A maximum allowable groundwater concentration of 3,750 µg/L for n-butyl alcohol is added to paragraph (5)(e).  Delisting Levels: (A) The TCLP concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the
		following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.494; Arsenic—0.224; Čadmium—0.36; Chromium—3.71; Lead—5; Nickel—67.8; Selenium—1; Thallium—0.211; Tin—540; Zinc—673; p-Cresol—8.55; Formaldehyde—63; and n-Butyl alcohol—171. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Mercury—6.34; and Formaldehyde—535. (C) The sum of the ratios of the TCLP concentration to the delisting level for nickel and thallium and for nickel and cadmium shall not exceed 1.0.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
General Motors Corporation, Janesville Truck As- sembly Plant	Address  Janesville, Wisconsin.	Wastewater treatment sludge, F019, that is generated at the General Motors Corporation (GM) Janesville Truck Assembly Plant (JTAP) at a maximum annual rate of 3,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of January 24, 2006.  1. Delisting Levels: (A) The concentrations in a TCLP extract of the waste measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): antimony—0.49; arsenic—0.22; cadmium—0.36; chromium—3.7; lead—5; nickel—68; selenium—1; thallium—0.21; tin—540; zinc—670; p-cresol—8.5; and formaldehyde—43. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): chromium—5,300; mercury—7; and formaldehyde—540.  2. Quarterly Verification Testing: To verify that the waste does not exceed the specified delisting levels, GM must collect and analyze one representative sample of JTAP's sludge on a quarterly basis.  3. Changes in Operating Conditions: GM must notify the EPA in writing if the manufacturing process, the chemicals used in the manufacturing process, the treatment process, or the chemicals used in the treatment process at JTAP significantly change. GM must handle wastes generated at JTAP after the process change as hazardous until it has demonstrated that the waste continues to meet the delisting levels and that no new hazardous constituents listed in appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and GM has received written approval from EPA.  4. Data Submittals: GM must submit the data obtained through verification testing at JTAP or as required by other conditions of this rule to EPA Region 5, Waste Management Branch (DW-8J), 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. The quarterly verification data and certification of proper disposal must be submitted annually upon the anniversary of the effective data for a minimum of t
		1. Delisting Levels:  (A) The constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP extract may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.576; Arsenic—4.8; Barium—100; Beryllium—0.384; Cadmium—0.48; Chromium (total)—5; Cobalt—201.6; Copper—124.8; Lead—1.44; Mercury—0.192; Nickel—67.2; Selenium—1; Silver—5; Thallium—0.192; Tin—2016; Vanadium—28.8; Zinc—960; Cyanide—19.2; Fluoride—384; Acetone—336; m,p—Cresol—19.2; 1,1—Dichloroethane—0.0864; Ethylbenzene—67.2; Formaldehyde—672; Phenol—1920; Toluene—96; 1,1,1—Trichloroethane—19.2; Xylene—960.  (B) The total concentration of formaldehyde in the waste may not exceed 2100 mg/kg.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
T downy	Addiss	(C) Analysis for determining reactivity from sulfide must be added to verification testing when an EPA-approved method becomes available.  2. Verification Testing: GM must implement an annual testing program to demonstrate that the constituent concentrations measured in the TCLP extract (or OWEP, where appropriate) of the waste do not exceed the delisting levels established in Condition (1).  3. Changes in Operating Conditions: If GM significantly changes the manufacturing or treatment process or the chemicals used in the manufacturing or treatment process, GM must notify the EPA of the changes in writing. GM must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until GM has demonstrated that the wastes meet the delisting levels set forth in Condition (1), that no new hazardous constituents listed in Appendix VIII of Part 261 have been introduced, and GM has received written approval from EPA.  4. Data Submittals: GM must submit the data obtained through annual verification testing or as required by other conditions of this rule to U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd, (DW–8J), Chicago, IL 60604, within 60 days of sampling. GM must compile, summarize, and maintain on site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. GM must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).  5. Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, GM possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in Condition (1), or is at a level in the leachate higher than the delisting level established in Condition (1), or is at a level in the ground water or soil higher than the level predicted by the CML model, then GM must notify the Regional Administrator in writing within 10 days and must repor
General Motors Corporation, Pontiac East.	Pontiac, Michigan.	Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated by General Motors Corporation at Pontiac East, Pontiac, Michigan at a maximum annual rate of 3,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of July 30, 2003. The conditions in paragraphs (2) through (5) for Ford Motor Company—Michigan Truck Plant and Wayne Integrated Stamping Plant—Wayne, Michigan also apply.  Delisting Levels: (A) The TCLP concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.494; Arsenic—0.224; Cadmium—0.36; Chromium—3.71; Lead—5; Nickel—67.8; Selenium—1; Thallium—0.211; Tin—540; Zinc—673; Porresol—8.55; and Formaldehyde—63. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Mercury—6.34; and Formaldehyde—535. (C)
Geological Reclamation Operations and Waste Systems, Inc.	Morrisville, Pennsyl- vania.	The sum of the ratios of the TCLP concentrations to the delisting levels for nickel and thal- lium and for nickel and cadmium shall not exceed 1.0.  Wastewater treatment sludge filter cake from the treatment of EPA Hazardous Waste No. F039, generated at a maximum annual rate of 2000 cubic yards, after December 4, 2001, and disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill. The exclusion covers the filter cake resulting from the treatment of hazardous waste leachate derived from only "old" GROWS and non-haz- ardous leachate derived from only non-hazardous waste sources. The exclusion does not address the waste disposed of in the "old" GROWS' Landfill or the grit generated during the removal of heavy solids from the landfill leachate. To ensure that hazardous constitu- ents are not present in the filter cake at levels of regulatory concern, GROWS must imple- ment a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the condi- tions listed below in order for the exclusion to be valid:

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description		
		(1) Testing: Sample collection and analyses, including qual be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable 1 of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 method CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applic include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 13111, 1312, 1320, 1330/9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, (A) Sample Collection: Each batch of waste generated ove lected in containers with a maximum capacity of 20-cut week period, each container must be divided into four core sample shall be collected from each quadrant. All o must be composited under laboratory conditions to produsample for the four-week period.  (B) Sample Analysis: Each four-week composite sample mustituents listed in Condition (3). The analytical data, inc. must be submitted to The Waste and Chemicals Manag. III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, and the Fronmental Protection, Bureau of Land Recycling and Waste State Office Building, 400 Market Street, 14th Floor, Har annual verification testing must be compiled and submitt Department of Environmental Protection within sixty (60) year. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of 260.22(i)(12) to certify to the truth and accuracy of the ating conditions and analytical data must be compiled, su for a minimum of three years and must be furnished upor resentative of EPA or the Pennsylvania Department of En available for inspection.  (2) Waste Holding: The dewatered filter cake must be verification analyses are completed. If the four-week cony of the delisting levels set forth in Condition (3), the filter cake waste generated during the four-week composite sample must be retreated until it meter that the waste meets the delisting levels.  (3) Delisting Levels: If the concentrations in the four-week c waste for any of the hazardous constituents listed below ter cake waste must either be retreated until it meets the posed of in accordance with Subtitle C of onstrate that the waste meets the delisting levels.	to the method-de de dis incorporated be able, the SW-84, 0040, 0050, 00 A, 9010C, 9012B. The second of the decision of the dec	fined parameters y reference in 46 methods might 151, 0060, 0061, 9040C, 9045D. Find must be colend of the four-single, full-depth or samples the ntative composite or all of the common of the four-samples the ntative composite or all of the common of the filter cake pective maximum of the filter cake pective filter cake pective maximum of the filter cake pective maximum of the filter cake pective filter cake pe
		(A) Inorganics	Maximum Al- lowable Leachate Conc. (mg/l)	
		Constituent: Arsenic Barium Cadmium Chromium Lead Mercury Nickel Selenium Silver Cyanide extractions must be conducted using distilled water in place of the leaching media specified in the TCLP procedure.	3.00e-01 2.34e+01 1.80e-01 5.00e+00 5.00e+00 7.70e-02 9.05e+00 6.97e-01 1.23e+00	
		(B) Organics	Maximum al- lowable leachate conc. (mg/l)	Maximum al- lowable total conc. (mg/ kg)

Constituent: Acetone	2.28e+01	4.56e+02
Acetonie Acetonitrile	3.92e+00	7.84e+01
Acetophenone	2.28e+01	4.56e+02
Acrolein	1.53e+03	3.06e+04
Acrylonitrile	7.80e-03	1.56e-01
Aldrin	5.81e-06	1.16e-04
Aniline	7.39e-01	1.48e+01
Anthracene	8.00e+00	1.60e+02
Benz(a)anthracene	1.93e-04	3.86e-03
Benzene	1.45e-01	2.90e+00
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.18e-05	2.36e-04
Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.07e-04 1.49e-03	2.14e-03 2.98e-02
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	3.19e-02	6.38e-01
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	8.96e-02	1.79e+00
Bromodichloromethane	6.80e-02	1.36e+00
Bromoform (Tribromomethane)	5.33e-01	1.07e+01
Butyl-4,6-dinitrophenol, 2-sec-(Dinoseb)	2.28e-01	4.56e+00
Butylbenzylphthalate	9.29e+00	1.86e+02
Carbon disulfide	2.28e+01	4.56e+02
Carbon tetrachloride	4.50e-02	9.00e-01
Chloro-3-methylphenol 4-	5.11e-04 2.97e+02	1.02e-02 5.94e+03
Chloroaniline, p-	9.14e-01	1.83e+01
Chlorobenzene	6.08e+00	1.22e+02
Chlorobenzilate	4.85e-02	9.70e-01
Chlorodibromomethane	5.02e-02	1.00e+00
Chloroform	7.79e-02	1.56e+00
Chlorophenol, 2-	1.14e+00	2.28e+01
Chrysene	2.04e-02	4.08e-01
Cresol	1.14e+00	2.28e+01
DDD	5.83e-04	1.17e-02
DDEDDT	1.37e-04 2.57e-04	2.74e-03 5.14e-03
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	5.59e-06	1.12e-04
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	3.51e-03	7.02e-02
Dichlorobenzene 1,3-	9.35e+00	1.87e+02
Dichlorobenzene, 1,2	1.25e+01	2.50e+02
Dichlorobenzene, 1,4	1.39e-01	2.78e+00
Dichlorobenzidine, 3,3'	9.36e-03	1.87e-01
Dichlorodifluoromethane	4.57e+01	9.14e+02
Dichloroethane, 1,1-	1.20e+00	2.40e+01
Dichloroethane, 1,2 Dichloroethylene, 1,1	2.57e-03 7.02e-03	5.14e-02 1.40e-01
Dichloroethylene, 1,1-	4.57e+00	9.14e+01
Dichlorophenol, 2,4-	6.85e-01	1.37e+01
Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4-(2,4-D)	2.28e+00	4.56e+01
Dichloropropane, 1,2-	1.14e-01	2.28e+00
Dichloropropene, 1,3	2.34e-02	4.68e-01
Dieldrin	6.23e+01	1.25e+03
Diethyl phthalate	2.21e+02	4.42e+03
Dimethoate	6.01e+01	1.20e+03
Dimethyl phthalate	1.20e+02 1.55e-06	2.40e+03 3.10e-05
Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene, 7,12  Dimethylphenol, 2,4	4.57e+00	9.14e+01
Di-n-butyl phthalate	5.29e+00	1.06e+02
Dinitrobenzene, 1,3-	2.28e-02	4.56e-01
Dinitromethylphenol, 4,6-,2-	2.16e-02	4.32e-01
Dinitrophenol, 2,4-	4.57e-01	9.14e+00
Dinitrotoluene, 2,6-	6.54e-03	1.31e-01
Di-n-octyl phthalate	1.12e-02	2.24e-01
Dioxane, 1,4-	3.83e-01	7.66e+00
Diphenylamine	3.76e+00 3.80e+02	7.520+01
Endosulfan	1.37e+00	7.60e+03 2.74e+01
Endrin	2.00e-02	4.00e-01
Ethylbenzene	1.66e+01	3.32e+02
Ethylene Dibromide	4.13e-03	8.26e-02
Fluoranthene	5.16e-01	1.03e+01
Fluorene	1.78e+00	3.56e+01
Heptachlor	8.00e-03	1.60e-01
Heptachlor epoxide	8.00e-03	1.60e-01
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene Hexachlorobenzene	9.61e-03 9.67e-05	1.92e-01 1.93e-03
Hexachlorocyclohexane, gamma-(Lindane)	4.00e-01	8.00e+00
		0.000.00

#### 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-08 Edition)

Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	1.66e+04	3.32e+05
Hexachloroethane	1.76e-01	3.52e+00
Hexachlorophene	3.13e-04	6.26e-03
Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene	6.04e-05	1.21e-03
Isobutyl alcohol	6.85e+01	1.37e+03
Isophorone	4.44e+00	8.88e+01
Methacrylonitrile	2.28e-02	4.56e-01
Methoxychlor	1.00e+01	2.00e+02
Methyl bromide (Bromomethane)	1.28e+02	2.56e+03
Methyl chloride (Chloromethane)	1.80e-01	3.60e+00
Methyl ethyl ketone	1.37e+02	2.74e+03
Methyl isobutyl ketone	1.83e+01	3.66e+02
Methyl methacrylate	1.03e+03	2.06e+04
Methyl parathion	1.27e+02	2.54e+03
Methylene chloride	2.88e-01	5.76e+00
Naphthalene	1.50e+00	3.00e+01
Nitrobenzene	1.14e-01	2.28e+00
Nitrosodiethylamine	2.81e-05	5.62e-04
Nitrosodimethylamine	8.26e-05	1.65e-03
Nitrosodi-n-butylamine	7.80e-04	1.56e-02
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	6.02e-04	1.20e-02
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	8.60e-01	1.72e+01
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	2.01e-03	4.02e-02
Pentachlorobenzene	1.15e-02	2.30e-01
Pentachloronitrobenzene (PCNB)	5.00e-03	1.00e-01
Pentachlorophenol	4.10e-03	8.20e-02
Phenanthrene	2.09e-01	4.18e+00
Phenol	1.37e+02	2.74e+03
Polychlorinated biphenyls	3.00e-05	6.00e-04
Pronamide	1.71e+01	3.42e+02
Pyrene	3.96e-01	7.92e+00
Pyridine	2.28e-01	4.56e+00
Styrene	6.08e+00	1.22e+02
Tetrachlorobenzene, 1,2,4,5-	9.43e-03	1.89e-01
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-	4.39e-01	8.78e+00
Tetrachloroethylene	8.55e-02	1.71e+00
Tetrachlorophenol, 2,3,4,6-	1.81e+00	3.62e+01
Tetraethyl dithiopyrophosphate (Sulfotep)	3.01e+05	6.02e+06
Toluene	4.57e+01	9.14e+02
Toxaphene	5.00e-01	1.00e+01
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4	7.24e-01	1.45e+01
Trichloroethane, 1,1,1-	7.60e+00	1.52e+02
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2	7.80e-02	1.56e+00
Trichloroethylene	3.04e-01	6.08e+00
Trichlorofluoromethane	6.85e+01	1.37e+03
Trichlorophenol, 2,4,5	9.16e+00	1.83e+02
Trichlorophenol, 2,4,6-	2.76e-01	5.52e+00
Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4,5-(245–T)	2.28e+00	4.56e+01
Trichlorophenoxypropionic acid, 2,4,5-(Silvex)	1.00e+00	2.00e+01
Trichloropropane, 1,2,3-	7.69e-04	1.54e-02
Trinitrobenzene, sym-	6.49e+00	1.30e+02
Vinyl chloride	2.34e-03	4.68e-02
Xylenes (total)	3.20e+02	6.40e+03

#### TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES

Facility Address	Waste description
	(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If GROWS significantly changes the treatment process or the chemicals used in the treatment process, GROWS may not manage the treatment sludge filter cake generated from the new process under this exclusion until it has met the following conditions: (a) GROWS must demonstrate that the waste meets the delisting levels set forth in Paragraph 3; (b) it must demonstrate that no new hazardous constituents listed in Appendix VIII of Part 261 have been introduced into the manufacturing or treatment process: and (c) it must obtain prior written approval from EPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to manage the waste under this exclusion. (5) Reopener: (a) If GROWS discovers that a condition at the facility or an assumption related to the disposal of the excluded waste that was modeled or predicted in the petition does not occur as modeled or predicted, then GROWS must report any information relevant to that condition, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate and to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection within 10 days of discovering that condition. (b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) of this section, regardless of its source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection will determine whether the reported condition requires further action. Further action may include repealing the exclusion, modifying the exclusion, or other appropriate response processary to protect human health and the environment.
	els set forth in Paragraph 3; (b) it must demonstrate that no new ha listed in Appendix VIII of Part 261 have been introduced into the ment process: and (c) it must obtain prior written approval from EPA Department of Environmental Protection to manage the waste under tl (5) Reopener:  (a) If GROWS discovers that a condition at the facility or an assumptiposal of the excluded waste that was modeled or predicted in the pass modeled or predicted, then GROWS must report any information tion, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate and to partment of Environmental Protection within 10 days of discovering the (b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) of this sect source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate and the Pennsy Environmental Protection will determine whether the reported condition

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.	Randleman, NC.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations.
Gould, Inc	McConnels- ville, OH.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations after November 27, 1985.
Hoechst Cel- anese Cor- poration.	Bucks, Ala- bama.	Distillation bottoms generated (at a maximum annual rate of 31,500 cubic yards) from the production of sodium hydrosulfite (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F003). This exclusion was published on July 17, 1990. This exclusion does not include the waste contained in Hoechst Celanese's on-site surface impoundment.
Hoechst Cel- anese Cor- poration.	Leeds, South Carolina.	Distillation bottoms generated (at a maximum annual rate of 38,500 cubic yards) from the production of sodium hydrosulfite (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F003). This exclusion was published on July 17, 1990.
Hanover Wire Cloth Division.	Hanover, Pennsyl- vania.	Dewatered filter cake (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after August 15, 1986.
Holston Army Ammunition Plant.	Kingsport, Tennessee.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F003, F005, and K044) generated from the manufacturing and processing of explosives and containing spent non-halogenated solvents after November 14, 1986.
Imperial Clevite	Salem, IN	Solid resin cakes containing EPA Hazardous Waste No. F002 generated after August 27, 1985, from solvent recovery operations.
Indiana Steel & Wire Cor- poration (for- merly Gen- eral Cable Co.).	Munci, IN	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006 and K062) generated from electroplating operations and steel finishing operations after October 24, 1986. This exclusion does not apply to sludges in any on-site impoundments as of this date.
International Minerals and Chemical Corporation.	Terre Haute, Indiana.	Spent non-halogenated solvents and still bottoms (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F003) generated from the recovery of n-butyl alchohol after August 15, 1986.
Kawneer Com- pany, Incor- porated.	Springdale, Ar- kansas.	Wastewater treatment filter press sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated (at a maximum annual rate of 26 cubic yards) from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum. This exclusion was published on November 13, 1990.
Kay-Fries, Inc.	Stoney Point, NY.	Biological aeration lagoon sludge and filter press sludge generated after September 21, 1984, which contain EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F003 and F005 as well as that disposed of in a holding lagoon as of September 21, 1984.
Keymark Corp.	Fonda, NY	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from chemical conversion coating of aluminum after November 27, 1985.
Keymark Corp.	Fonda, NY	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum and contained in an on-site impoundment on August 12, 1987. This is a one-time exclusion.
Lawrence Berkeley Na- tional Lab- oratory.	Berkeley, California.	Treated ignitable and spent halogenated and non-halogenated solvent mixed waste (D001, F002, F003, and F005), and bubbler water on silica gel generated during treatment at the National Tritium Labeling Facility (NTLF) of the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). This is a one-time exclusion for 200 U.S. gallons of treatment residues that will be disposed of in a Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensed or Department of Energy (DOE) approved low-level radioactive waste disposal facility, after August 7, 2003.  (1) Waste Management: The treated waste residue and bubbler water on silica gel must be managed in accordance with DOE or NRC requirements prior to and during disposal. (2) Reopener Language: (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, LBNL possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any organic constituent from the waste is detected in the leachate or the groundwater, then LBNL must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (B) Based on the information described in paragraph (2)(A) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination
		as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.  (C) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify LBNL in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing LBNL with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. LBNL shall have 30 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information. (D) If after 30 days LBNL presents no further information, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
· comy	7.000	(3) Notification Requirements: LBNL must do the following before transporting the delisted waste off-site:(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which they will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days before beginning such activities. (B) Update the one-time written notification if LBNL ships the delisted waste to a different disposal facility. Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the exclusion.
Lederle Lab- oratories.	Pearl River, NY.	Spent non-halogenated solvents and still bottoms (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F003 and F005) generated from the recovery of the following solvents: Xylene, acetone, ethyl acetate, ethyl ether, methyl isobutyl ketone, n-butyl alcohol, cyclohexanone, methanol, toluene, and pyridine after August 2, 1988. Excusion applies to primary and secondary filter press sludges and compost soils generated from these sludges.
Lincoln Plating Company.	Lincoln, NE	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations after November 17, 1986.
Loxcreen Com- pany, Inc	Hayti, MO	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after July 16, 1986.
MAHLE, Inc	Morristown, Tennessee.	Wastewater treatment sludge filter cake (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum (generated at a maximum annual rate of 33 cubic yards), after August 21, 1992. In order to confirm that the characteristics of the waste do not change significantly, the facility must, on an annual basis sample and test for the constituents listed in 40 CFR 261.24 using the method specified therein. The annual analytical results (including quality control information) must be compiled, certified according to 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12), maintained on-site for a minimum of five years, and made available for inspection upon request by representatives of EPA or the State of Tennessee. Failure to maintain the required records on-site will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA.
Marquette Electronics Incorporated.	Milwaukee, Wisconsin.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations. This exclusion was published on April 20, 1989.
Martin Marietta Aerospace.	Ocala, Florida	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after January 23, 1987.
Mason Cham- berlain, In- corporated.	Bay St. Louis, Mississippi.	Wastewater treatment sludge filter cake (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated (at a maximum annual rate of 1,262 cubic yards) from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum. This exclusion was published on October 27, 1989.
Maytag Com- pany.	Newton, IA	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations and wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum November 17, 1986.
McDonnell Douglas Cor- poration.	Tulsa, Okla- homa.	Stabilized wastewater treatment sludges from surface impoundments previously closed as a landfill (at a maximum generation of 85,000 cubic yards on a one-time basis). EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019, F002, F003, and F005 generated at U.S. Air Force Plant No. 3, Tulsa, Oklahoma and is disposed of in Subtitle D landfills after February 26, 1999.  McDonnell Douglas must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Pelisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for the constituents in Conditions (1)(A) and (1)(B) in the approximately 5,000 cubic yards of combined stabilization materials and excavated sludges from the bottom portion of the northwest lagoon of the surface impoundments which are closed as a landfill must not exceed the following levels (ppm) after the stabilization process is completed in accordance with Condition (3). Constituents must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24. Cyanide extractions must be conducted using distilled water in the place of the leaching media per 40 CFR 261.24. Constituents in Condition (1)(C) must be measured as the total concentrations in the waste(ppm).  (A) Inorganic Constituents (leachate)  Antimony-0.336; Cadmium-0.280; Chromium (total)-5.0; Lead-0.84; Cyanide-11.2;  (B) Organic Constituents (leachate)  Benzene-0.28; trans-1,2-Dichloroethene-5.6; Tetrachloroethylene-0.280; Trichloroethylene-0.280  (C) Organic Constituents (total analysis).  Benzene-10.; Ethylbenzene-10.; Toluene-30.; Xylenes-30.; trans-1,2-Dichloroethene-30.; Tetrachloroethylene-6.0; Trichloroethylene-6.0.  McDonnell Douglas Corporation shall control volatile emissions from the stabilization process by collection of the volatile chemicals as they are emitted from the waste but before release to the ambient air. and the facility shall use dust control measures. These two controls must be adequate to protect human health and the environment.  The approximately 80,000 cubic yards of previously stabilized waste in the u

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: McDonnell Douglas must store as hazardous all stabilize waste from the bottom portion of the northwest lagoon area of the closed landfill as ger erated until verification testing as specified in Condition (3), is completed and valid ana yses demonstrate that Condition (1) is satisfied. If the levels of constituents measured is the samples of the stabilized waste do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1), the the waste is nonhazardous and may be managed and disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill is accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations. If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (1), the waste generated during the timperiod corresponding to this sample must be restabilized until delisting levels are met of managed and disposed of in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including qualit control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods in corporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 003: 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330/ 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071E and 9095B. McDonnell Douglas must stabilize the previously unstabilized waste from the bottom portion of the northwest lagoon of the surface impoundment (which was closed as landfill) using fly ash, kiln dust or similar accepted materials in batches of 500 cubic yards or less. McDonnell Douglas must analyze one composite sample from each batch of 50 cubic yards or less. A minimum of four grab samples must be taken from each waste pil (or other designated holding area) of stabilized waste generated from each batch run. Eac composited batch sample must be analyzed, prior to disposal of the waste in the batch regresented by that sample, for constituents listed in Condition (1). There are no verificatio testing requirements for the stabilized wastes in the upper portions of the northwest lagoon the entire northeast lagoon, and the entire south lagoon of the surface impoundment which were closed as a landfill.
		(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If McDonnell Douglas significantly changes the stabilization process established under Condition (3) (e.g., use of new stabilization agents McDonnell Douglas must notify the Agency in writing. After written approval by EP/McDonnell Douglas may handle the wastes generated as non-hazardous, if the waste meet the delisting levels set in Condition (1).
		(5) Data Submittals: Records of operating conditions and analytical data from Condition ( must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years. Thes records and data must be furnished upon request by EPA, or the State of Oklahoma, o both, and made available for inspection. Failure to submit the required data within it specified time period or maintain the required records on site for the specified time will b considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the exter directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:
		Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudule statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Cod which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and 42 U.S.C. § 6928), I certitat the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate ar complete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally veri its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification the this information is true, accurate and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to the false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I reconize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the enterthe tent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company reliance on the void exclusion.
		(6) Reopener Language (a) If McDonnell Douglas discovers that a condition at the facility or an assumption related to the disposal of the excluded waste that was modeled or predicted in the petition do not occur as modeled or predicted, then McDonnell Douglas must report any information relevant to that condition, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within days of discovering that condition.
		(b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) from any source, the Region Administrator or his delegate will determine whether the reported condition requires furth action. Further action may include revoking the exclusion, modifying the exclusion, or oth appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(7) Notification Requirements: McDonnell Douglas must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activity. The one-time written notification must be updated if the delisted waste is shipped to a different disposal facility. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.
Merck & Com- pany, Incor- porated. Metropolitan Sewer Dis- trict of Great- er Cincinnati.	Elkton, Virginia Cincinnati, OH	One-time exclusion for fly ash (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F002) from the incineration of wastewater treatment sludge generated from pharmaceutical production processes and stored in an on-site fly ash lagoon. This exclusion was published on May 12, 1989.  Sluiced bottom ash sludge (approximately 25,000 cubic yards), contained in the North Lagoon, on September 21, 1984, which contains EPA Hazardous Wastes Nos. F001, F002, F003, F004, and F005.
Michelin Tire Corp	Sandy Springs, South Caro- lina.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Wastes No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after November 14, 1986.
Monroe Auto Equipment.	Paragould, AR	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations after vacuum filtration after November 27, 1985. This exclusion does not apply to the sludge contained in the on-site impoundment.
Nissan North America, Inc	Smyrna, Tennessee.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) that Nissan North American, Inc. (Nissan) generates by treating wastewater from automobile assembly plant located on 983 Nissan Drive in Smyrna, Tennessee. This is a conditional exclusion for up to 3,500 cubic yards of waste (hereinafter referred to as "Nissan Sludge") that will be generated each year and disposed in a Subtitle D landfill after February 27, 2006. Nissan must continue to demonstrate that the following conditions are met for the exclusion to be valid. (1) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for these metals, cyanide, and organic constituents must not exceed the following levels (ppm): Barium-100.0; Cadmium-0.422; Chromium-5.0; Cyanide-7.73, Lead-5.0; and Nickel-60.7; Bis-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate-0.601; Din-octyl phthalate-0.0752; and 4–Methylphenol-7.66. These concentrations must be measured in the waste leachate obtained by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24, except that for cyanide, deionized water must be the leaching medium. Cyanide concentrations in waste or leachate must be measured by the method specified in 40 CFR 268.40, Note 7. (2) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A, (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Methods must meet Performance Based Measurement System Criteria in which the Data Quality Objectives are to demonstrate that representative samples of the Nissan Sludge meet the delisting levels in Condition (1). Nissan must perform an annual testing program to demonstrate that concentrations measur

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
lorth American Philips Con- sumer Elec- tronics Cor-	Greenville, Tennessee.	(5) Data Submittals: Data obtained in accordance with Condition (2) must be submitted to Narindar M. Kumar, Chief, RCRA Enforcement and Compliance Branch, Mail Code: 4WD-RCRA, U.S. EPA, Region 4, Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., At lanta, Georgia 30303. The submission is due no later than 60 days after taking each an unal verification samples in accordance with delisting Conditions (1) through (7). Records of analytical data from Condition (2) must be compiled, summarized, and maintained by Nissan for a minimum of three years, and must be furnished upon request by EPA or the State of Tennessee, and made available for inspection. Failure to submit the required data within the specified time period or maintain the required records for the specified time wind be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).  (6) Reopener Language: (A) If, at any time after disposal of the delisted waste, Nissan pos sesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in the delisting verification testing is at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by EPA in granting the petition, Nissan must report the data, in writing, to EPA and Tennessee within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (B) If the testing of the waste, as required by Condition (2), doe not meet the delisting requirements of Condition (1), Nissan must report the data, in writing, to EPA and Tennessee within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (C) Based on the information described in paragraphs (6)(A) or (6)(B) and any othe information received from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires
poration. locidental Chemical.	Ingleside, Texas.	Limestone Sludge, (at a maximum generation 1,114 cubic yards per calender year) Rockbo: Residue, (at a maximum generation of 1,000 cubic yards per calender year) generated by Occidental Chemical using the wastewater treatment process to treat the Rockbox Residue and the Limestone Sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F025, F001, F003, and F005) generated at Occidental Chemical.  Occidental Chemical must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Delisting Levels: All concentrations for the following constituents must not exceed the following levels (ppm). The Rockbox Residue and the Limestone Sludge, must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR Part 261.24.  (A) Rockbox Residue  (i) Inorganic Constituents: Barium-100; Chromium-5; Copper-130; Lead-1.5; Selenium-1; Tin 2100; Vanadium-30; Zinc-1,000  (ii) Organic Constituents: Acetone-400; Bromodichloromethane-0.14; Bromoform-1.0 Chlorodibromethane-0.1; Chloroform-1.0; Dichloromethane-1.0; Ethylbenzene-7,000 (B) Limestone Sludge  (i) Inorganic Constituents: Antimony-0.6; Arsenic-5; Barium-100; Beryllium-0.4; Chromium-5 Cobalt-210; Copper-130; Lead-1.5; Nickel-70; Selenium-5; Silver-5; Vanadium-30; Zinc 1,000  (ii) Organic Constituents: Acetone-400; Bromoform-1.0; Chlorodibromomethane-0.1 Dichloromethane-1.0; Diethyl phthalate-3,000, Ethylbenzene-7,000; 1,1,1-1-Trichloroethane-20; Toluene-700; Trichlorofluoromethane-1,000, Xylene-10,000, 2,3,7,8-TCDD Equivalent-20; Toluene-700; Trichlorofluoromethane-1,000, Xylene-10,000, 2,3,7,8-TCDD Equivalent-20; Toluene-700; Trichlorofluoromethane-1,000, Xylene-10,000, 2,3,7,8-TCDD Equivalent-20; Toluene-700; Trichlorofluoromethane-1,000, Xylene-10,000, 2,3,7,8-TCDD Equivalent-

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: Occidental Chemical must store in accordance with in RCRA permit, or continue to dispose of as hazardous waste all Rockbox Residue and the Limestone Sludge generated until the verification testing described in Condition (3)(B), appropriate, is completed and valid analyses demonstrate that condition (3) is satisfied. The levels of constituents measured in the samples of the Rockbox Residue and the Liming stone Sludge do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1), then the waste is nonhalardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid was regulations. If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels waste geterated during the time period corresponding to this sample must be managed and dispose of in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality collection procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-effined parameters of concern, any analyses requiring use of SW-846 methods incomporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must use those methods without substitution. A applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 003 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 132 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A 9071B, and 9095B. If EPA judges the incineration process to be effective under the operating conditions used during the initial verification testing, Occidental Chemical may replace the testing required in Condition (3)(A) with the testing required in Condition (3)(B). Occidental Chemical must continue to test as specified in Condition (3)(A) until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing in Condition (3)(A) may be replaced by Condition (3)(B). (A) Initial Verification Testing: (i) During the first 40 operating days of the Incinerator Offige Treatment System after the final exclusion is granted, Occidental Chemical must colle and analyze composites of the Limestone Sludge. Daily composites must be representating grab samples collected every 6 hours during each unit operating cycle. The two waste must be analyzed, prior to disposal, for all of the constituents listed in Paragraph 1. The strength of the constituents listed in Paragraph 1.
		waste must also be analyzed for pH. Occidental Chemical must report the operational are analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial perion later than 90 days after the generation of the two wastes.  (ii) When the Rockbox unit is decommissioned for cleanout, after the final exclusion is grared, Occidental Chemical must collect and analyze composites of the Rockbox Residu Two composites must be composed of representative grab samples collected from the Rockbox unit. The waste must be analyzed, prior to disposal, for all of the constituents lie ed in Paragraph 1. The waste must be analyzed for pH. No later than 90 days after the Rockbox is decommissioned for cleanout the first two times after this exclusion becomfinal, Occidental Chemical must report the operational and analytical test data, including
		quality control information.  (B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following written notification by EPA, Occidental Cheical may substitute the testing conditions in (3)(B) for (3)(A)(i). Occidental Chemical micontinue to monitor operating conditions, analyze samples representative of each quarter operation during the first year of waste generation. The samples must represent the was generated over one quarter. (This provision does not apply to the Rockbox Residue.)  (C) Termination of Organic Testing for the Limestone Sludge: Occidental Chemical must occidental chemical must condition (3)(B) for organic constituents specified in Condition (1)(A)(ii) and (1)(B)(ii) under the analyses submitted under Condition (3)(B) show a minimum of two consecutive queterly samples below the delisting levels in Condition (1)(A)(ii) and (1)(B)(iii), Occidental Chemical may then request that quarterly organic testing be terminated. After EPA notifical Occidental Chemical in writing it may terminate quarterly organic testing. Following termination of the quarterly testing, Occidental Chemical must continue to test a representation composite sample for all constituents listed in Condition (1) on an annual basis (no later the condition of the quarterly testing, Occidental Chemical must continue to test a representation.
		than twelve months after exclusion).  (4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If Occidental Chemical significantly changes the process which generate(s) the waste(s) and which may or could affect the composition or ty waste(s) generated as established under Condition (1) (by illustration, but not limitatic change in equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process), Occidental Cherical must notify the EPA in writing and may no longer handle the wastes generated fire the new process or no longer discharges as nonhazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set Condition (1) and it has received written approval to do so from EPA.  (5) Data Submittals: The data obtained through Condition 3 must be submitted to Mr. Willie Gallagher, Chief, Region 6 Delisting Program, U.S. EPA, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Tex 75202–2733, Mail Code, (6PD-O) within the time period specified. Records of operatic conditions and analytical data from Condition (1) must be compiled, summarized, a maintained on site for a minimum of five years. These records and data must be furnish upon request by EPA, or the State of Texas, and made available for inspection. Failure submit the required data within the specified time period or maintain the required recor on site for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and 42 U.S.C. § 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.  In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the ex-
		tent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.  (6) Reopener: (a) If Occidental Chemical discovers that a condition at the facility or an as-
		sumption related to the disposal of the excluded waste that was modeled or predicted in the petition does not occur as modeled or predicted, then Occidental Chemical must report any information relevant to that condition, in writing, to the Director of the Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division or his delegate within 10 days of discovering that condition. (b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) from any source, the Director or his delegate will determine whether the reported condition requires further action. Further action may include revoking the exclusion, modifying the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(7) Notification Requirements: Occidental Chemical must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.
Philway Prod- ucts, Incor- porated.	Ashland, Ohio	Filter press sludge generated (at a maximum annual rate of 96 cubic yards) during the treat- ment of electroplating wastewaters using lime (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006). This ex- clusion was published on October 26, 1990.
Plastene Sup- ply Company.	Portageville, Missouri.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after August 15, 1986.
POP Fasteners	Shelton, Con- necticut.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations (at a maximum annual rate of 1,000 cubic yards) after September 19, 1994. In order to confirm that the characteristics of the waste do not change significantly, the facility must, on an annual basis, analyze a representative composite sample for the constituents listed in §261.24 using the method specified therein. The annual analytical results, including quality control information, must be compiled, certified according to §260.22(i)(12), maintained on site for a minimum of five years, and made available for inspection upon request by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Connecticut. Failure to maintain the required records on site will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA.
Reynolds Met- als Company.	Sheffield, AL	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after August 15, 1986.
Reynolds Met- als Company.	Sheffield, AL	Wastewater treatment filter press sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated (at a maximum annual rate of 3,840 cubic yards) from the chemical conversion coating of alu- minum. This exclusion was published on July 17, 1990.
Rhodia	Houston,Texas	Filter-cake Sludge, (at a maximum generation of 1,200 cubic yards per calendar year) generated by Rhodia using the SARU and AWT treatment process to treat the filter-cake sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. D001–D43, F001–F012, F019, F024, F025, F032, F034, F037–F039) generated at Rhodia.  Rhodia must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclu-
		sion to be valid:  (1) Delisting Levels: All concentrations for the following constituents must not exceed the following levels (mg/l). For the filter-cake constituents must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24.  (A) Filter-cake Sludge
		(ii) Inorganic Constituents: Antimony-1.15; Arsenic-1.40; Barium-21.00; Beryllium-1.22; Cadmium-0.11; Cobalt-189.00; Copper-90.00; Chromium-0.60; Lead-0.75; Mercury-0.025; Nickel-9.00; Selenium-4.50; Silver-0.14; Thallium-0.20; Vanadium-1.60; Zinc-4.30 (ii) Organic Constituents: Chlorobenzene-Non Detect; Carbon Tetrachloride-Non Detect; Acetone-360; Chloroform-0.9
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: Rhodia must store in accordance with its RCRA permit, or continue to dispose of as hazardous waste all Filter-cake Sludge until the verification testing described in Condition (3)(A), as appropriate, is completed and valid analyses demonstrate that condition (3) is satisfied. If the levels of constituents measured in the samples of the Filter-cake Sludge do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1), then the waste is nonhazardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility	Address	(3) Verification Testing Requirements: Rhodia must perform sample collection and analyses including quality control procedures, using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-946 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable the SW-946 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0044 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, an 9095B. If EPA judges the process to be effective under the operating conditions used due ing the initial verification testing, Rhodia may replace the testing required in Condition (3)(A) with the testing required in Condition (3)(B). (A) may be replaced by Condition (3)(B). (B) (A) may be replaced by Condition (3)(B). (A) Initial Verification Testing: At quarterly intervals for one year after the final exclusion i granted, Rhodia must collect and analyze composites of the filter-cake sludge. From Para graph 1 TCLP must be run on all waste and any constituents for which total concentration have been identified. Rhodia must conduct a multiple pH leaching procedure on sample collected during the quarterly intervals. Rhodia must report the TCLP procedure usin distilled water and three different pH estraction fluids to simulate disposal under three corditions. Simulate an acidic landfill environment, basic landfill environment and a landfill environment similar to the pH of the waste. Rhodia must report the operational and analytic test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial period no late than 90 days after the generation of the waste.  (B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following termination of the quarterly testing, Rhodi must continue to test a representative composite sample for all constituents listed in Condition (1) (b) illustration, but not limitation, change i equipment or operating condi
		which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  (ii) As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verifies (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory respons bility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.  (iii) If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize an agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's reliance of the company's relian
		the void exclusion.  (6) Reopener Language  (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Rhodia possesses or is otherwise madaware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwate monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any consituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (B) If the annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in Paragraph 1, Rhodia must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility  Saturn Corporation.	1	(C) If Rhodia fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B) or if any other information is received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.  (D) If the Regional Administrator or his delegate determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator or his delegate's notice to present such information.  (E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), the Regional Administrator or his delegate will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator or his delegate's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.  (7) Notification Requirements: Rhodia must do following before transporting the delisted waste: Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.  (A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agen
		total chromium—3.71; lead—5.0; nickel—68; thallium—0.211; and zinc—673. Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A, (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Methods must meet Performance Based Measurement System Criteria in which the Data Quality Objectives are to dem-
		onstrate that representative samples of Saturn's sludge meet the delisting levels in this condition.
		Waste Holding and Handling:     (a) Saturn must accumulate the hazardous waste dewatered WWTP sludge in accordance with the applicable regulations of 40 CFR 262.34 and continue to dispose of the dewatered WWTP sludge as hazardous waste until the results of the first quarterly verification testing are available.
		(b) After the first quarterly verification sampling event described in Condition (3) has been completed and the laboratory data demonstrates that no constituent is present in the sam- ple at a level which exceeds the delisting levels set in Condition (1), Saturn can manage and dispose of the dewatered WWTP sludge as nonhazardous according to all applicable solid waste regulations.
		(c) If constituent levels in any sample taken by Saturn exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (1), Saturn must do the following:     (i) Notify EPA in accordance with Condition (7) and
		(ii) Manage and dispose the dewatered WWTP sludge as hazardous waste generated under Subtitle C of RCRA. 3. Quarterly Testing Requirements: Upon this exclusion becoming final, Saturn may perform quarterly analytical testing by sampling and analyzing the dewatered WWTP sludge as fol-
		lows:  (i) Collect one representative composite sample (consisting of four grab samples) of the hazardous waste dewatered WWTP sludge at any time after EPA grants the final delisting. In addition, collect the second, third, and fourth quarterly samples at approximately ninety (90)-day intervals after EPA grants the final exclusion.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(ii) Analyze the samples for all constituents listed in Condition (1). Any roll-offs from which the composite sample is taken exceeding the delisting levels listed in Condition (1) must be disposed as hazardous waste in a Subtitle C landfill.
		(iii) Within forty-five (45) days after taking its first quarterly sample, Saturn will report its first quarterly analytical test data to EPA and will include the certification statement required in condition (6). If levels of constituents measured in the sample of the dewatered WWTP sludge do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1) of this exclusion, Saturn can manage and dispose the nonhazardous dewatered WWTP sludge according to all applicable solid waste regulations.
		4. Annual Verification Testing: (i) If Saturn completes the quarterly testing specified in Condition (3) above, and no sample contains a constituent with a level which exceeds the limits set forth in Condition (1), Saturn may begin annual verification testing on an annual basis. Saturn must collect and ana lyze one sample of the WWTP sludge on an annual basis as follows: Saturn must test one representative composite sample of the dewatered WWTP sludge for all constituents listed in Condition (1) at least once per calendar year.
		(ii) The sample collected for annual verification testing shall be a representative composite sample consisting of four grab samples that will be collected in accordance with the appropriate methods described in Condition (1).
		(iii) The sample for the annual testing for the second and subsequent annual testing events shall be collected within the same calendar month as the first annual verification sample Saturn will report the results of the annual verification testing to EPA on an annual basis and will include the certification statement required by Condition (6).
		5. Changes in Operating Conditions: Saturn must notify EPA in writing when significan changes in the manufacturing or wastewater treatment processes are implemented. EPA will determine whether these changes will result in additional constituents of concern. If so EPA will notify Saturn in writing that Saturn's sludge must be managed as hazardous waste F019 until Saturn has demonstrated that the wastes meet the delisting levels se forth in Condition (1) and any levels established by EPA for the additional constituents o concern, and Saturn has received written approval from EPA. If EPA determines that the changes do not result in additional constituents of concern, EPA will notify Saturn, in writing, that Saturn must verify that Saturn's sludge continues to meet Condition (1) delisting levels.
		6. Data Submittals: Saturn must submit data obtained through verification testing at Saturn of as required by other conditions of this rule to: Chief, North Section, RCRA Enforcement and Compliance Branch, Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta Georgia 30303. If Saturn fails to submit the required data within the specified time or main tain the required records on-site for the specified time, the EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficient basis to re-open the exclusion as described in Condition (7). Saturn must:
		(A) Submit the data obtained through Condition (3) within the time specified. The quarterly verification data must be submitted to EPA in accordance with Condition (3). The annual verification data and certification statement of proper disposal must be submitted to EPA annually upon the anniversary of the effective date of this exclusion. All data must be ac companied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12).
		(B) Compile, Summarize, and Maintain Records: Saturn must compile, summarize, and main tain at Saturn records of operating conditions and analytical data records of analytical data from Condition (3), summarized, and maintained on-site for a minimum of five years. Sat urn must furnish these records and data when either the EPA or the State of Tennessee requests them for inspection.
		(C) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted: "I certify under penalty of law that I have per sonally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this demonstration and all attached documents, and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately re sponsible for getting the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for sending false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment." 7. Reopener.
		(A) If, at any time after disposal of the delisted waste, Saturn possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater moni- toring data) relevant to the delisted WWTP sludge at Saturn indicating that any constituen is at a level in the leachate higher than the specified delisting level or TCLP regulatory level, then Saturn must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within ter (10) days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(B) Based upon the information described in Paragraph (A) and any other information received from any source, the EPA Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires EPA action to protect human health on the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
- гасшу	Address	(C) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require EPA action, the Regional Administrator will notify Saturn in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notification shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing Saturn with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed EPA action is not necessary. Saturn shall have ten (10) days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information.  (D) Following the receipt of information from Saturn, or if Saturn presents no further information after 10 days, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the EPA actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.  8. Notification Requirements: Before transporting the delisted waste, Saturn must provide a
		one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which it will transport the delisted WWTP sludge for disposal. The notification will be updated if Saturn transports the delisted WWTP sludge to a different disposal facility. Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting variance and a possible revocation of the decision.
Savannah River Site (SRS).	Aiken, South Carolina.	Vitrified waste (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006 and F028) that the United States Department of Energy Savannah River Operations Office (DOE-SR) generated by treating the following waste streams from the M-Area of the Savannah River Site (SRS) in Aiken, South Carolina, as designated in the SRS Site Treatment Plan: W–004, Plating Line Sludge from Supernate Treatment; W–995, Mark 15 Filter Cake; W–029, Sludge Treatability Samples (glass and cementitious); W–031, Uranium/Chronium Solution; W–037, High Nickel Plating Line Sludge; W–038, Plating Line Sump Material; W–039, Nickel Plating Line Solution; W–048, Soils from Spill Remediation and Sampling Programs; W–054, Uranium/Lead Solution; W–082, Soils from Chemicals, Metals, and Pesticides Pits Excavation; and Dilute Effluent Treatment Facility (DETF) Filtercake (no Site Treatment Plan code). This is a non-time exclusion for 538 cubic yards of waste (hereinafter referred to as "DOE-SR Vitrified Waste") that was generated from 1996 through 1999 and 0.12 cubic yard of cementitious treatability samples (hereinafter referred to as "CTS") generated from 1988 through 1991 (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006). The one-time exclusion for these wastes is contingent on their being disposed in a low-level radioactive waste landfill, in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act, after [insert date of final rule.] DOE-SR has demonstrated that concentrations of toxic constituents in the DOE-SR Vitrified Waste and CTS do not exceed the following levels:
		(1) TCLP Concentrations: All leachable concentrations for these metals did not exceed the Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) Universal Treatment Standards (UTS): (mg/l TCLP): Arsenic—5.0; Barium—21; Beryllium—1.22; Cadmium—0.11; Chromium—0.60; Lead—0.75; Nickel—11; and Silver—0.14. In addition, none of the metals in the DOE-SR Vitrified Waste exceeded the allowable delisting levels of the EPA, Region 6 Delisting Risk Assessment Software (DRAS): (mg/l TCLP): Arsenic—0.0649; Barium—100.0; Beryllium—0.40; Cadmium—1.0; Chromium—5.0; Lead—5.0; Nickel—10.0; and Silver—5.0. These metal concentrations were measured in the waste leachate obtained by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24.  Total Concentrations in Unextracted Waste: The total concentrations in the DOE-SR Vitrified Waste, not the waste leachate, did not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Arsenic—10; Barium—200; Beryllium—10; Cadmium—10; Chromium—500; Lead—200; Nickel—10,000; Silver—20; Acetonitrile—1.0, which is below the LDR UTS of 38 mg/kg; and Fluoride—1.0  (2) Data Records: Records of analytical data for the petitioned waste must be maintained by DOE-SR for a minimum of three years, and must be furnished upon request by EPA or the State of South Carolina, and made available for inspection. Failure to maintain the required records for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA, at its

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Siegel-Robert, Inc Shell Oil Company.	St. Louis, MO Deer Park, TX	(3) Reopener Language: (A) If, at any time after disposal of the delisted waste, DCE-SR possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent is identified at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by EPA in granting the petition, DCE-SR must report the data, in writing, to EPA within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (B) Based on the information described in paragraph (3)(A) and any other information exceived from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires that EPA take action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing DCE-SR with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed action is not necessary. DoE-SR shall have 10 days from the date of EPA's notice to present such information. (E) Fill Glowing the receipt of information from DCE-SR, as described in paragraph (3)(C), or if no such information is received within 10 days, EPA will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment, given the information received in accordance with paragraph (3)(A) or (3)(B). Any required action described in EPA's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the information received in accordance with paragraphs (3)(A) or (3)(B). Any required action described in EPA's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the bush and season of the delisting operations after November 27, 1965.  Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after November 27, 1965.  North Pond S

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility Shell Oil Company.	Address  Deer Park, TX	Multi-source landfill leachate (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F039) generated at a maximum an nual rate of 3.36 million gallons (16,619 cu. yards) per calendar year after August 23, 2001 and disposed in accordance with the TPDES permit.  The delisting levels set do not relieve Shell Oil Company of its duty to comply with the limits set in its TPDES permit. For the exclusion to be valid, Shell Oil Company must implemen a verification testing program that meets the following paragraphs:  (1) Delisting Levels: All total concentrations for those constituents must not exceed the following levels (mg/l). The petitioner must analyze the aqueous waste on a total basis to measure constituents in the multi-source landfill leachate.  Multi-source landfill leachate (i) Inorganic Constituents Antimony-0.0204; Arsenic-0.385; Bari ium-2.92; Copper-418.00; Chromium-5.0; Cobalt-2.25; Nickel-1.13; Selenium-0.0863; Thal litum-0.005; Vanadium-0.838  (ii) Organic Constituents Acetone-1.46; Acetophenone-1.58; Benzene-0.0222; p-Cresol 0.0788; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthlate-15800.00; Dichloroethane, 1,2-0.0803; Ethylbenzene 4.51; Fluorene-1.87; Napthalene-1.05; Phenol-9.46; Phenanthrene-1.36; Pyridine-0.0146 2.3.7,8-TCDD equivalents as TEQ-0.0000926; Toluene-4.43; Trichloropropane-0.000574 Xylenes (total)-97.60  (2) Waste Management:  (A) Shell Oil Company must manage as hazardous all multi-source landfill leachate gen erated, until it has completed initial verification testing described in paragraph (3)(A) and (B), as appropriate, and valid analyses show that paragraph (1) is satisfied.  (B) Levels of constituents measured in the samples of the multi-source landfill leachate the do not exceed the levels set forth in paragraph (1) are non-hazardous. Shell Oil Company can manage and dispose of the non-hazardous multi-source landfill leachate according to all applicable solid waste regulations.  (C) If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in paragraph (1). Shell Oil Company can collect one additional sample and per
		which the Data Quality Objectives demonstrate that representative samples of the Shell Deer Park multi-source landfill leachate are collected and meet the delisting levels in para graph (1).  (A) Initial Verification Testing: After EPA grants the final exclusion, Shell Oil Company mus do the following:  (i) Within 60 days of this exclusions becoming final, collect four samples, before disposal, o
		the multi-source landfill leachate.  (ii) The samples are to be analyzed and compared against the delisting levels in paragraph (1).
		(iii) Within sixty (60) days after this exclusion becomes final, Shell Oil Company will report initial verification analytical test data for the multi-source landfill leachate, including analytica quality control information for the first thirty (30) days of operation after this exclusion be comes final. If levels of constituents measured in the samples of the multi-source landfil leachate that do not exceed the levels set forth in paragraph (1) are also non-hazardous in two consecutive quarters after the first thirty (30) days of operation after this exclusion be come effective, Shell Oil Company can manage and dispose of the multi-source landfil leachate according to all applicable solid waste regulations.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following written notification by EPA, Shell Oil Comparmay substitute the testing conditions in (3)(B) for (3)(A). Shell Oil Company must continue to monitor operating conditions, and analyze one representative sample of the multi-source landfill leachate for each quarter of operation during the first year of waste generation. The sample must represent the waste generated during the quarter. After the first year of an lytical sampling verification sampling can be performed on a single annual sample of the multi-source landfill leachate. The results are to be compared to the delisting levels in paragraph (1).  (C) Termination of Testing:
		<ul> <li>(i) After the first year of quarterly testing, if the delisting levels in paragraph (1) are being me Shell Oil Company may then request that EPA not require quarterly testing. After EPA not fies Shell Oil Company in writing, the company may end quarterly testing. After EPA not fies Shell Oil Company in writing, the company may end quarterly testing.</li> <li>(ii) Following cancellation of the quarterly testing, Shell Oil Company must continue to test representative sample for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) annually.</li> <li>(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If Shell Oil Company significantly changes the proces described in its petition or starts any processes that generate(s) the waste that may of could significantly affect the composition or type of waste generated as established undiparagraph (1) (by illustration, but not limitation, changes in equipment or operating concitons of the treatment process), it must notify EPA in writing; it may no longer handle the wastes generated from the new process as nonhazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set in paragraph (1) and it has received written approval to do so from EP.</li> <li>(5) Data Submittals: Shell Oil Company must submit the information described below. If She Oil Company fails to submit the required data within the specified time or maintain the required records on-site for the specified time, EPA, at its discretion, will condict this sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in paragraph 6. Shell Oil Company must:</li> <li>(A) Submit the data obtained through paragraph 3 to the Section Chief, Region 6 Correctived Action and Waste Minimization Section, EPA, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202 2733, Mail Code, (6PD—C) within the time specified.</li> </ul>
		(B) Compile records of operating conditions and analytical data from paragraph (3), summarized, and maintained on-site for a minimum of five years. (C) Furnish these records and data when EPA or the state of Texas request them for inspections.
		tion.  (D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:  Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudule statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Cod which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and cor
		plete.  As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify (their) truth and accuracy. I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibil for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this i formation is true, accurate and complete.
		If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree the this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EF and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void excision.
		(6) Reopener:  (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Shell Oil Company possesses or is othe wise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicatil that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at a level higher that the delisting level allowed by the Division Director in granting the petition, then the facili must report the data, in writing, to the Division Director within 10 days of first possessing being made aware of that data.
		<ul> <li>(B) If the annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in paragral 1, Shell Oil Company must report the data, in writing, to the Division Director within days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.</li> <li>(C) If Shell Oil Company fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5),(6)(A) (6)(B) or if any other information is received from any source, the Division Director wake a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires EPA attent to protect human health and/or the environment. Further action may include supending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to prote</li> </ul>
		human health and the environment.  (D) If the Division Director determines that the reported information does require action, I will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Division Director believes are necessary protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present inform ton as to why the proposed action by EPA is not necessary. The facility shall have

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		<ul> <li>(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D), the Division Director will issue a final written determination describing the actions that are necessary to protect human health and/or the environment. Any required action described in the Division Director's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Division Director provides otherwise.</li> <li>(7) Notification Requirements: Shell Oil Company must do the following before transporting the delisted waste. Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.</li> <li>(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any state regulatory agency to which or through which it will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days before beginning such activities.</li> <li>(B) Update the one-time written notification if it ships the delisted waste into a different disposal facility.</li> <li>(C) Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting exclusion and a</li> </ul>
Southeastern Public Service Authority (SPSA) and Onyx Environmental Service (Onyx).	Suffolk, Virginia.	possible revocation of the decision.  Combustion ash generated from the burning of spent solvent methyl ethyl ketone (Hazardous Waste Number F005) and disposed in a Subtitle D landfill. This is a one-time exclusion for 1410 cubic yards of ash and is effective after September 11, 2003.
		(1) Reopener Language (a) If SPSA and/or Onyx discovers that any condition or assumption related to the characterization of the excluded waste which was used in the evaluation of the petition or that was predicted through modeling is not as reported in the petition, then SPSA and/or Onyx must report any information relevant to that condition or assumption, in writing, to the Regional Administrator and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality within 10 calendar days of discovering that information.  (b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) of this section, regardless of its source, the Regional Administrator will determine whether the reported condition requires further action. Further action may include repealing the exclusion, modifying the exclusion, or other appropriate action deemed necessary to protect human health or the environment.  (2) Notification Requirements in the event that the delisted waste is transported off-site for disposal, SPSA/Onyx must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported at least sixty (60) calendar days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such notification will be deemed to be a violation of this exclusion and may result in revocation of the decision and other enforcement action.
Square D Company. Syntex Agri- business.	Oxford, Ohio Springfield, MO.	Dewatered filter press sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations after August 15, 1986.  Kiln ash, cyclone ash, separator sludge, and filtered wastewater (except spent activiated carbon) (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F020 generated during the treatment of wastewater treatment sludge by the EPA's Mobile Incineration System at the Denney Farm Site in McDowell, Missouri after June 2, 1988, so long as:  (1) The incinerator is monitored continuously and is in compliance with operating permit conditions. Should the incinerator fail to comply with the permit conditions relevant to the mechanical operation of the incinerator, Syntex must test the residues generated during the run when the failure occurred according to the requirements of Conditions (2) through (6), regardless of whether or not the demonstration in Condition (7) has been made.  (2) Four grab samples of wastewater must be composited from the volume of filtered wastewater collected after each eight hour run and, prior to disposal the composite samples must be analyzed for the EP toxic metals, nickel, and cyanide. If arsenic, chromium, lead, and silver EP leachate test results exceed 0.61 ppm; barium levels exceed 12 ppm; cadmium and selenium levels exceed 0.12 ppm; mercury levels exceed 0.02 ppm; nickel levels exceed 6.1 ppm; or cyanide levels exceed 2.4 ppm, the wastewater must be retreated to achieve these levels or must be disposed in accordance with all applicable hazardous waste regulations. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method- defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(3) One grab sample must be taken from each drum of kiln and cyclone ash generated during each eight-hour run; all grabs collected during a given eight-hour run must then be composited to form one composite sample. A composite sample of four grab samples of the separator sludge must be collected at the end of each eight-hour run. Prior to the disposal of the residues from each eight-hour run, an EP leachate test must be performed or these composite samples and the leachate analyzed for the EP toxic metals, nickel, and cyanide (using a distilled water extraction for the cyanide extraction) to demonstrate tha the following maximum allowable treatment residue concentrations listed below are not exceeded. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods in corporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B and 9095B. Any residues which exceed any of the levels listed below must be retreated to achieve these levels or must be disposed in accordance with all applicable hazardous
		waste regulations.  Maximum Allowable Solids Treatment Residue EP Leachate Concentrations (mg/L)  Arsenic—1.6, Barium—32, Cadmium—0.32, Chromium—1.6, Lead—1.6, Mercury—0.065.  Nickel—16, Selenium—0.32, Silver—1.6, Cyanide—6.5.
		(4) If Syntex stabilizes any of the kiln and cyclone ash or separator sludge, a Portland cement-type stabilization process must be used and Syntex must collect a composite sample of four grab samples from each batch of stabilized waste. An MEP leachate test must be performed on these composite samples and the leachate analyzed for the EP toxic metals nickel, and cyanide (using a distilled water extraction for the cyanide leachate analysis) to demonstrate that the maximum allowable treatment residue concentrations listed in condition (3) are not exceeded during any run of the MEP extraction. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Any residues which exceed any of the levels listed in Condition (3) must be retreated to achieve these levels or must be disposed in accordance with all applicable hazardous waste regulations. (If the residues are stabilized, the analyses required in this condition supercede the analyses required in Condition (3).)
		(5) Syntex must generate, prior to disposal of residues, verification data from each eight hour run from each treatment residue (i.e., kiln and cyclone ash, separator sludge, and filtered wastewater) to demonstrate that the maximum allowable treatment residue concentrations listed below are not exceeded. Samples must be collected as specified in Conditions (2) and (3). Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Any solid or liquid residues which exceed any of the levels listed below must be retreated to achieve these levels or must be disposed in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA. Maximum Allowable Wastewater Concentrations (ppm):
		Benz(a)anthracene—1 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> , Benzo(a)pyrene—4 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> , Benzo(b)fluoranthene—2 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> , Chloroform—0.07, Chrysene—0.002, Dibenz(a,h)anthracene—9 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 1,2-Dichloroethane—0.06, Dichloromethane—0.06, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene—0.002, Polychlorinated biphenyls—1 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene—0.13, 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol—12, Toluene—120, Trichloroethylene—0.04, 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol—49, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol—0.02, Maximum Allowable Solid Treatment Residue.  Concentrations (ppm); Benz(a)anthracene—1.1, Benzo(a)pyrene—0.43,
		benzo(b)fluoranthene—1.8, Chloroform—5.4, Chrysene—170, Dibenz(a,h)anthracene—0.083, Dichloromethane—2.4, 1,2-Dichloroethane—4.1, Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene—330, Polychlorinated biphenyls—0.31, 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene—720, Trichloroethylene—6.6, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol—3.9.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(6) Syntex must generate, prior to disposal of residues, verification data from each eight-hour run for each treatment residue (i.e., kiln and cyclone ash, separator sludge, and filtered wastewater) to demonstrate that the residues do not contain tetra-, penta-, or hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins or furans at levels of regulatory concern. Samples must be collected as specified in Conditions (2) and (3). The TCDD equivalent levels for wastewaters must be less than 2 ppq and less than 5 ppt for the solid treatment residues. Any residues with detected dioxins or furans in excess of these levels must be retreated or must be disposed as acutely hazardous. For this analysis, Syntex must use appropriate methods. For tetra- and pentachloronated dioxin and furan homologs, the maximum practical quantitation limit must not exceed 15 ppt for solids and 120 ppq for wastewaters. For hexachlorinated homologs, the maximum practical quantitation limit must not exceed 37 ppt for solids and 300 ppq for wastewaters.  (7)(A) The test data from Conditions (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) must be kept on file by Syntex for inspection purposes and must be compiled, summarized, and submitted to the Section Chief, Variances Section, PSPD/OSW (WH–563), US EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 by certified mail on a monthly basis and when the treatment of the lagoon sludge is concluded. All data submitted will be placed in the RCRA docket.  (B) The testing requirements for Conditions (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) will continue until Syntex provides the Section Chief, Variances Section, with the results of four consecutive batch analyses for the petitioned wastes, none of which exceed the maximum allowable treatment residue concentrations listed in these conditions and the Section Chief, Variances Section, notifies Syntex that the conditions have been lifted.  (8) Syntex must provide a signed copy of the following certification statement when submitting data in response to the conditions have been lifted.
SR of Tennessee. Tenneco Automotive.	Ripley, TN Paragould, AR	this information is true, accurate and complete."  Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from the copper, nickel, and chromium electroplating of plastic parts after November 17, 1986.  Stabilized sludge from electroplating operations, excavated from the Finch Road Landfill and currently stored in containment cells by Tenneco (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006). This is a one-time exclusion for 1,800 cubic yards of stabilized sludge when it is disposed of in a Subtitie D landfill. This exclusion was published on August 9, 2001.  (1) Reopener Language:  (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Tenneco possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (B) If Tenneco fails to submit the information described in (2)(A) or if any other information is received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed Agency action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator or his delegate believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.  (2) Notification Requirements:  Tenneco must do following before transporting the delisted waste off-site: Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the exclusion.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which of through which they will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days before beginning such activities.
		(B) Update the one-time written notification if Tenneco ships the delisted waste to a different
Tennessee Electro- plating.	Ripley, Tennessee.	disposal facility.  Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006) generated fror electroplating operations after November 17, 1986. To ensure chromium levels do not exceed the regulatory standards there must be continuous batch testing of the filter pressludge for chromium for 45 days after the exclusion is granted. Each batch of treatmer residue must be representatively sampled and tested using the EP toxicity test for chromium. This data must be kept on file at the facility for inspection purposes. If the extract levels exceed 0.922 ppm of chromium the waste must be managed and disposed of a
		hazardous. If these conditions are not met, the exclusion does not apply. This exclusion
Tennessee	Ripley, TN	does not apply to sludges in any on-site impoundments as of this date.  Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro
Electro- plating.	Tupicy, Tu	plating operations and contained in an on-site surface impoundment (maximum volume of 6,300 cubic yards). This is a one-time exclusion. This exclusion was published on April 8 1991.
Texas Eastman	Longview, Texas.	Incinerator ash (at a maximum generation of 7,000 cubic yards per calendar year) generater from the incineration of sludge from the wastewater treatment plant (EPA Hazardou Waste No. D001, D003, D018, D019, D021, D022, D027, D028, D029, D030, D030, D033, D034, D035, D036, D038, D039, D040, F001, F002, F003, F005, and that is disposed of in Subtitle D landfills after September 25, 1996. Texas Eastman must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the petition to be valid:  1. Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for those metals must not exceed the following levels (mg/l). Metal concentrations must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR §261.24.
		(A) Inorganic Constituents
		Antimony—0.27; Arsenic—2.25; Barium—90.0; Beryllium—0.0009; Cadmium—0.225; Chromium—4.5; Cobalt—94.5; Copper—58.5; Lead—0.675; Mercury—0.045; Nickel—4.5; Selenium—1.0; Silver—5.0; Thallium—0.135; Tin—945.0; Vanadium—13.5; Zinc—450.0 (B) Organic Constituents
		Acenaphthene—90.0; Acetone—180.0; Benzene—0.135; Benzo(a)anthracene—0.00347 Benzo(a)pyrene—0.00045; Benzo(b) fluoranthene—0.00320; Bis(2 ethylhexyl) phthalate—0.27; Butylbenzyl phthalate—315.0; Chloroform—0.45; Chlorobenzene—31.5; Carbon D sulfide—180.0; Chrysene—0.1215; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene—135.0; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene—0.18; Di-n-butyl phthalate—180.0; Di-n-octyl phthalate—35.0; 1,4 Dioxane—0.36; Ethyl Acetate—1350.0; Ethyl Ether—315.0; Ethylbenzene—180.0; Flouranthene—45.0; Fluorene—45.0; 1-Butanol—180.0; Methyl Ethyl Ketone—200.0; Methylene Chloride—0.45; Methyl Isobutyl Ketone—90.0; Naphthalene—45.0; Pyrene—45.0; Toluene—315.0; Xylenes—315.0.
		2. Waste Holding and Handling: Texas Eastman must store in accordance with its RCRA per mit, or continue to dispose of as hazardous all FBI ash generated until the Initial and Sub sequent Verification Testing described in Paragraph 4 and 5 below is completed and valir analyses demonstrate that all Verification Testing Conditions are satisfied. After completion of Initial and Subsequent Verification Testing, if the levels of constituents measured in the samples of the FBI ash do not exceed the levels set forth in Paragraph 1 above, and written notification is given by EPA, then the waste is non-hazardous and may be manager and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations.
		3. Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality con trol procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the meth od-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incor porated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, an 9095B. If EPA judges the incineration process to be effective under the operating conditions used during the initial verification testing described in Condition (4) Texas Eastman may replace the testing required in Condition (4) with the testing required in Condition (5) below. Texas Eastman must, however, continue to test as specified in Condition (4) unt notified by EPA in writing that testing in Condition (4) may be replaced by the testing de scribed in Condition (5).
		4. Initial Verification Testing: During the first 40 operating days of the FBI incinerator after the final exclusion is granted, Texas Eastman must collect and analyze daily composites of the FBI ash. Daily composites must be composed of representative grab samples collecter every 6 hours during each 24-hour FBI operating cycle. The FBI ash must be analyzed prior to disposal of the ash, for all constituents listed in Paragraph 1. Texas Eastman must report the operational and analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after receipt of the validated analytical results.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		5. Subsequent Verification Testing: Following the completion of the Initial Verification Testing, Texas Eastman may request to monitor operating conditions and analyze samples representative of each quarter of operation during the first year of ash generation. The samples must represent the untreated ash generated over one quarter. Following written notification from EPA, Texas Eastman may begin the quarterly testing described in this Paragraph.
		6. Termination of Organic Testing: Texas Eastman must continue testing as required under Paragraph 5 for organic constituents specified in Paragraph 1 until the analyses submitted under Paragraph 5 show a minimum of two consecutive quarterly samples below the delisting levels in Paragraph 1. Texas Eastman may then request that quarterly organic testing be terminated. After EPA notifies Texas Eastman in writing it may terminate quar- terly organic testing.
		7. Annual Testing: Following termination of quarterly testing under either Paragraphs 5 or 6, Texas Eastman must continue to test a representative composite sample for all constitu- ents listed in Paragraph 1 (including organics) on an annual basis (no later than twelve months after the date that the final exclusion is effective).
		8. Changes in Operating Conditions: If Texas Eastman significantly changes the incineration process described in its petition or implements any new manufacturing or production process(es) which generate(s) the ash and which may or could affect the composition or type of waste generated established under Paragraph 3 (by illustration {but not limitation}, use of stabilization reagents or operating conditions of the fluidized bed incinerator), Texas Eastman must notify the EPA in writing and may no longer handle the wastes generated from the new process as non-hazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set in Paragraph 1 and it has received written approval to do so from EPA.
		9. Data Submittals: The data obtained through Paragraph 3 must be submitted to Mr. William Gallagher, Chief, Region 6 Delisting Program, U.S. EPA, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, Mail Code, (6PD-O) within the time period specified. Records of operating conditions and analytical data from Paragraph 3 must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years. These records and data must be furnished upon request by EPA, or the State of Texas, and made available for inspection. Failure to submit the required data within the specified time period or maintain the required records on site for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:
		Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 USC 1001 and 42 USC 6928), 1eerify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this in- formation is true, accurate and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
		10. Notification Requirements: Texas Eastman must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting peti- tion and a possible revocation of the decision.
Trigen/Cinergy- USFOS of Lansing LLC at General Motors Cor- poration, Lansing Grand River.	Lansing, Michigan.	Waste water treatment plant sludge, F019, that is generated at General Motors Corporation's Lansing Grand River (GM-Grand River) facility by Trigen/Cinergy-USFOS of Lansing LLC exclusively from wastewaters from GM-Grand River, Lansing, Michigan at a maximum annual rate of 2,000 cubic yards per year. The sludge must be disposed of in a lined landfill with leachate collection, which is licensed, permitted, or otherwise authorized to accept the delisted wastewater treatment sludge in accordance with 40 CFR Part 258. The exclusion becomes effective as of July 30, 2003. The conditions in paragraphs (2) through (5) for Ford Motor Company—Michigan Truck Plant and Wayne Integrated Stamping Plant—Wayne, Michigan also apply.
		Delisting Levels: (A) The TCLP concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.659; Arsenic—0.3; Cadmium—0.48; Chromium—4.95; Lead—5; Nickel—90.5; Selenium—1; Thallium—0.282; Tin—721; Zinc—898; p-Cresol—11.4; and Formaldehyde—84.2. (B) The total concentrations measured in any sample may not exceed the following levels (mg/kg): Mercury—8.92; and Formaldehyde—689. (C) The sum of the ratios of the TCLP concentrations to the delisting levels for nickel and thallium and for nickel and cadmium shall not exceed 1.0.

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Tyco Printed Circuit Group, Melbourne Division.	Melbourne, Florida.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) that Tyco Printed Circuit Group, Melbourne Division (Tyco) generates by treating wastewater from its circuit board manufacturing plant located on John Rodes Blvd. in Melbourne, Florida. This is a conditional exclusion for up to 590 cubic yards of waste (hereinafter referred to as "Tyco Sludge") that will be generated each year and disposed in a Subitle D landfill or shipped to a smelter for metal recovery after May 14, 2001. Tyco must demonstrate that the following conditions are met for the exclusion to be valid. (Please see Condition (8) for certification and recordkeeping requirements that must be met in order for the exclusion to be valid for waste that is sent to a smelter for metal recovery.)  (1) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CDFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 90718 does not see the delisting levels in Condition (3).  (A) Initial Verification Testing: Tyco must collect and analyze a representative sample of every batch, for eight sequential batches of Tyco sludge generated in its wastewater treatment system after May 14, 2001. A batch is the Tyco Sludge generated during one day of wastewater treatment. Tyco must analyze for the constituents listed in Condition (3). A minimum of four composite samples must be collected as representative of each batch. Tyco must report analytical test data, including quality control information, no later than 60 days after generating the first batch of Tyco Sludge generated each year. Tyco must collect and an
		Subtitle C of RCRA.  (3) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for these metals and cyanide must not exceed the following levels (ppm): Barium—100; Cadmium—0.5; Chromium—5.0; Cyanide—20, Lead—1.5; and Nickel—73. These metal and cyanide concentrations must be measured in the waste leachate obtained by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24, except that for cyanide, deionized water must be the leaching medium. The total concentration of cyanide (total, not amenable) in the waste, not the waste leachate, must not exceed 200 mg/kg. Cyanide concentrations in waste or leachate must be measured by the method specified in 40 CFR 268.40, Note 7. The total concentrations of metals in the waste, not the waste leachate, must not exceed the following levels (ppm): Barium—2,000; Cadmium—500; Chromium—1,000; Lead—2,000; and Nickel—20,000.  (4) Changes in Operating Conditions: Tyco must notify EPA in writing when significant changes in the manufacturing or wastewater treatment processes are necessary (e.g., use of new chemicals not specified in the petition). EPA will determine whether these changes will result in additional constituents of concern. If so, EPA will notify Tyco in writing that the Tyco sludge must be managed as hazardous waste F006, pending receipt and evaluation of a new delisting petition. If EPA determines that the changes do not result in additional constituents of concern. EPA will notify Tyco in writing, that Tyco must repeat Condition

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(5) Data Submittals: Data obtained in accordance with Condition (1)(A) must be submitted: Jewell Grubbs, Chief, RCRA Enforcement and Compliance Branch, Mail Code: 4WI RCRA, U.S. EPA, Region 4, Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlant: Georgia 30303. This notification is due no later than 60 days after generating the first bate of Tyco Sludge to be disposed in accordance with delisting Conditions (1) through (7) Records of analytical data from Condition (1) must be compiled, summarized, and mail tained by Tyco for a minimum of three years, and must be furnished upon request by EP or the State of Florida, and made available for inspection. Failure to submit the requirer data within the specified time period or maintain the required records for the specified tim will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the followin certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:  Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudule statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Codwhich include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify the the information contained or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify it (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this in formation is true, accurate and complete.  In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extended to the company and agree
		tent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in cor travention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company' void exclusion.  (6) Reopener Language: (A) If, anytime after disposal or shipment to a smelter of the deliste
		waste, Tyco possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (includin but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevar to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in the delisting verificatio testing is at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by EPA in granting the petitior Tyco must report the data, in writing, to EPA within 10 days of first possessing or bein made aware of that data. (B) If the testing of the waste, as required by Condition (1)(B does not meet the delisting requirements of Condition (3), Tyco must report the data, i writing, to EPA within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (C)
		Based on the information described in paragraphs (6)(A) or (6)(B) and any other information received from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires that EPA take action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment. (D) If EPA determines that the reported information does require Agency action, EPA will notify the facilitie in writing of the action believed necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providin Tyco with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed action is not necessary. Tyco shall have 10 days from the date of EPA's notice to present such information (E) Following the receipt of information from Tyco, as described in paragraph (6)(D) or if nearly information is received within 10 days. EPA will lesue a final written description of the proposed action is received within 10 days.
		such information is received within 10 days, EPA will issue a final written determination d scribing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environmer given the information received in accordance with paragraphs (6)(A) or (6)(B). Any require action described in EPA's determination shall become effective immediately.  (7) Notification Requirements: Tyco must provide a one-time written notification to any Sta Regulatory Agency in a State to which or through which the delisted waste describe above will be transported, at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activitie Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting conditions are

TABLE 1—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM NON-SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(8) Recordkeeping and Certification Requirements for Waste to be Smelted for Metal Recovery: Tyco must maintain in its facility files, and make available for inspection by EPA and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), records that include the name, address, telephone number, and contact person of each smelting facility used by Tyco for its delisted waste, quantities of waste shipped, analytical data for demonstrating that the delisting levels of Condition (3) are met, and a certification that the smelter(s) is(are) subject to regulatory controls on discharges to air, water, and land. The certification statement must be signed by a responsible official and contain the following language: Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the smelter(s) used for Tyco's delisted waste is(are) subject to regulatory controls on discharges to air, water, and land. As the company official having supervisory responsibility for plant operations, I certify that to the best of my knowledge this information is true, accurate and complete. In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's void exclusion.
Universal Oil Products.	Decatur, Ala- bama.	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations and contained in two on-site lagoons on August 15, 1986. This is a one- time exclusion.
U.S. EPA Combustion Research Facility.	Jefferson, Ar- kansas.	One-time exclusion for scrubber water (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F020) generated in 1985 from the incineration of Vertac still bottoms. This exclusion was published on June 28, 1989.
U.S. Name- plate Com- pany, Inc	Mount Vernon, lowa.	Retreated wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) previously generated from electroplating operations and currently contained in an on-site surface impoundment after September 28, 1988. This is a one-time exclusion for the reteated wastes only. This exclution does not relieve the waste unit from regulatory compliance under Subtitle C.
VAW of Amer- ica Incor- porated.	St. Augustine, Florida.	Wastewater treatment sludge filter cake (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum. This exclusion was published on February 1, 1989.
Vermont Amer- ican, Corp	Newark, OH	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations after November 27, 1985.
Waterloo Industries.	Pocahontas, AR.	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations after dewatering and held on-site on July 17, 1986 and any such sludge generated (after dewatering) after July 17, 1986.
Watervliet Ar- senal.	Watervliet, NY	Wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electro- plating operations after January 10, 1986.
Weirton Steel Corporation.	Weirton, West Virginia.	Wastewater treatment sludge (known as C&E sludge) containing EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers F007 and F008, subsequent to its excavation from the East Lagoon and the Figure 8 tanks for the purpose of transportation and disposal in a Subtitle D landfill after May 23, 2002. This is a one-time exclusion for a maximum volume of 18,000 cubic yards of C&E sludge.  (1) Reopener language.
		(1) The period anguage. (a) If Weirton discovers that any condition or assumption related to the characterization of the excluded waste which was used in the evaluation of the petition or that was predicted through modeling is not as reported in the petition, then Weirton must report any information relevant to that condition or assumption, in writing, to the Regional Administrator and the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection within 10 calendar days of discovering that information.
		(b) Upon receiving information described in paragraph (a) of this section, regardless of its source, the Regional Administrator and the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection will determine whether the reported condition requires further action. Further ac- tion may include repealing the exclusion, modifying the exclusion, or other appropriate re- sponse necessary to protect human health or the environment. (2) Notification Requirements.
		Weirton must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 calendar days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such notification will be deemed to be a violation of this exclusion and may result in revocation of the decision and other enforcement action.
William L. Bonnell Co	Newnan, Georgia.	Dewatered wastewater treatment sludges (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F019) generated from the chemical conversion coating of aluminum after November 14, 1986. This exclusion does not include sludges contained in Bonnell's on-site surface impoundments.
Windsor Plas- tics, Inc.	Evansville, IN	Spent non-halogenated solvents and still bottoms (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F003) generated from the recovery of acetone after November 17, 1986.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility  American Chrome & Chemical.	Address  Corpus Christi, Texas.	Dewatered sludge (the EPA Hazardous Waste No. K006) generated at a maximum generation of 1450 cubic yards per calendar year after September 21, 2004 and disposed in a Subtitle D landfill. ACC must implement a verification program that meets the following Paragraphs:  (1) Delisting Levels: All leachable constituent concentrations must not exceed the following levels (mg/l). The petitioner must use the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24 to measure constituents in the waste leachate. Dewatered wastewater sludge: Arsenic-0.0377; Barium 100.0; Chromium-5.0; Thallium-0.355; Zinc-1130.0.  (2) Waste Holding and Handling:  (A) ACC is a 90 day facility and does not have a RCRA permit, therefore, ACC must store the dewatered sludge following the requirements specified in 40 CFR 262.34, or continue to dispose of as hazardous all dewatered sludge generated, until they have completed verification testing described in Paragraph (3), as appropriate, and valid analyses show tha paragraph (1) is satisfied.  (B) Levels of constituents measured in the samples of the dewatered sludge that do not exceed the levels set forth in Paragraph (1) are non-hazardous. ACC can manage and dispose the non-hazardous dewatered sludge according to all applicable solid waste regula
		tions.  (C) If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in Paragraph (1) ACC must retreat the batches of waste used to generate the representative sample until i meets the levels. ACC must repeat the analyses of the treated waste.  (D) If the facility does not treat the waste or retreat it until it meets the delisting levels in Paragraph (1), ACC must manage and dispose the waste generated under Subtitle C o RCRA.  (E) The dewatered sludge must pass paint filter test as described in SW 846, Method 9098 or another appropriate method found in a reliable source before it is allowed to leave the facility. ACC must maintain a record of the actual volume of the dewatered sludge to be disposed of-site according to the requirements in Paragraph (5).
		(3) Verification Testing Requirements: ACC must perform sample collection and analyses, in cluding quality control procedures, according to appropriate methods such as those found in SW-846 or other reliable sources (with the exception of analyses requiring the use o SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11, which must be used with out substitution. ACC must conduct verification testing each time it decides to evacuate the tank contents. Four (4) representative composite samples shall be collected from the dewatered sludge. ACC shall analyze the verification samples according to the constituen list specified in Paragraph (1) and submit the analytical results to EPA within 10 days of receiving the analytical results. If the EPA determines that the data collected under this Paragraph do not support the data provided for the petition, the exclusion will not cover the generated wastes. The EPA will notify ACC the decision in writing within two weeks of receiving this information.
		(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If ACC significantly changes the process described in its petition or starts any processes that may or could affect the composition or type of waste generated as established under Paragraph (1) (by illustration, but not limitation changes in equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process), they must notify the EPA in writing; they may no longer handle the wastes generated from the new process as nonhazardous until the test results of the wastes meet the delisting levels set in Paragraph (1) and they have received written approval to do so from the EPA. (5) Data Submittals: ACC must submit the information described below. If ACC fails to submit the required data within the specified time or maintain the required records on-site for the specified time, the EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in Paragraph 6. ACC must:
		<ul> <li>(A) Submit the data obtained through Paragraph 3 to the Section Chief, Corrective Action and Waste Minimization Section, Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas Texas 75202–2733, Mail Code, (6PD-C) within the time specified.</li> <li>(B) Compile records of operating conditions and analytical data from Paragraph (3), summa rized, and maintained on-site for a minimum of five years.</li> <li>(C) Furnish these records and data when the EPA or the State of Texas request them for in spection.</li> </ul>

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted: Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete. As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete. If any of this information is determined by the EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or the extent directed by the EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
		(A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, ACC possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or ground water monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any con- stituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Division Director in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Division Director within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(B) If the verification testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in Paragraph 1, ACC must report the data, in writing, to the Division Director within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (C) If ACC fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5),(6),(A) or (6)(B) or if any
		other information is received from any source, the Division Director will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(D) If the Division Director determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Division Director will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Division Director believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Division Director's notice to present such information.
		(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), the Division Director will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Division Director's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Division Director provides otherwise.
		(7) Notification Requirements: ACC must do the following before transporting the delisted waste: Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.
		<ul> <li>(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which they will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days before beginning such activities. If ACC transports the excluded waste to or manages the waste in any state with delisting authorization, ACC must obtain delisting authorization from that state before it can manage the waste as nonhazardous in the state.</li> <li>(B) Update the one-time written notification if they ship the delisted waste to a different dis-</li> </ul>
		posal facility. (C) Failure to provide the notification will result in a violation of the delisting variance and a possible revocation of the exclusion.
American Cy- anamid.	Hannibal, Mis- souri.	Wastewater and sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K038) generated from the washing and stripping of phorate production and contained in on-site lagoons on May 8, 1987, and such wastewater and sludge generated after May 8, 1987.
Amoco Oil Co.	Wood River, IL	150 million gallons of DAF from petroleum refining contained in four surge ponds after treatment with the Chemifix® stabilization process. This waste contains EPA Hazardous Waste No. K048. This exclusion applies to the 150 million gallons of waste after chemical stabilization as long as the mixing ratios of the reagent with the waste are monitored continuously and do not vary outside of the limits presented in the demonstration samples; one grab sample is taken each hour from each treatment unit, composited, and EP toxicity tests performed on each sample. If the levels of lead or total chromium exceed 0.5 ppm in the EP extract, then the waste that was processed during the compositing period is considered hazardous; the treatment residue shall be pumped into bermed cells to ensure that the waste is identifiable in the event that removal is necessary.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

TABLE 2 WASTES EXCLUDED THOM OF ESTING COSTISES CONTINUES			
Facility	Address	Waste description	
Akzo Chemicals, Inc. (formerly Stauffer Chemical Company).	Axis, AL	Brine purification muds generated from their chlor-alkali manufacturing operations (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) and disposed of in brine mud pond HWTF: 5 EP-201.	
	Baytown, TX	Outfall 007 Treated Effluent (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K027, K104, K111, and K112) generated at a maximum rate of 18,071,150 cubic yards (5.475 billion gallons) per calendar year after July 25, 2005 as it exits the Outfall Tank and disposed in accordance with the TPDES permit. For the exclusion to be valid, Bayer must implement a verification testing program that meets the following Paragraphs:  (1) Delisting Levels: All concentrations for those constituents must not exceed the maximum allowable concentrations in mykg specified in this paragraph.  Outfall 007 Treated Effluent Total Concentrations (mykg): Antimony—0.0816; Arsenic—0.385, Barium—22.2; Chromium—153.0; Copper—362.0; Cyanide—0.46; Mercury—0.0323; Nickel—11.3; Selenium—0.23; Thallium—0.0334; Vanadium—8.38; Zinc—112.0, Acctone—14.6; Acetophenone—15.8; Aniline—0.680; Benzene—0.0590; Bis (2-ethylhexyl)phthalate—1260.0; Bromodichloromethane—0.0719; Chloroform—0.077; Din-octyl phthalate—1260.0; Bromodichloromethane—0.0719; Chloroform—0.077; Din-octyl phthalate—149.0; Fluoranthene—24.6; Methylene chloride—0.029; Methyl ethyl ketone—87.9; Nitrobenzene—0.0788; m-phenylenediamine—0.879; Pyrene—39.0; 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane—0.703; o-Toluidine—0.0171; p-Toluidine—0.215; 2,4-Toluenediamine—0.00121. Toluene diisocyanate—0.0017; p-Toluidine—0.215; 2,4-Toluenediamine—0.0121. Toluene diisocyanate—0.0017; p-Toluidine—0.015; 2,4-Toluenediamine—0.00121. Toluene diisocyanate—0.0017; p-Toluidine—0.015; 2,4-Toluenediamine—0.00121. Toluene diisocyanate—0.0017; p-Toluidine—0.017; p-Toluidine—0.019; 2,4-Toluenediamine—0.00121. Toluene diisocyanate—0.0017; p-Toluenediamine—0.00121. Toluene diisocyanate—0.00	
	I	according to all applicable solid waste regulations.	

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(B) Annual Testing: (i) If Bayer completes the four (4) quarterly testing events specified in paragraph (3)(A) abov and no sample contains a constituent with a level which exceeds the limits set forth paragraph (1), Bayer may begin annual testing as follows: Bayer must test two representative composite samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) and the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) and the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) and the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) and the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) and the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (2) and the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (2) and the samples of the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (2) and the samples of the samples of the treated effluent for all constituents listed in paragraph (3) and the samples of the sa
		least once per calendar year.  (ii) The samples for the annual testing shall be a representative composite sample accordin to appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, and yses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.1 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might includ Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 10104, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Methods must meet Perform ance Based Measurement System Criteria in which the Data Quality Objectives are t demonstrate that representative samples of the Bayer treated effluent for all constituent listed in paragraph (1).
		<ul> <li>(iii) The samples for the annual testing taken for the second and subsequent annual testin events shall be taken within the same calendar month as the first annual sample taken.</li> <li>(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If Bayer significantly changes the process described its petition or starts any processes that generate(s) the waste that may or could affect the</li> </ul>
		composition or type of waste generated as established under paragraph (1) (by illustration but not limitation, changes in equipment or operating conditions of the treatment process it must notify EPA in writing; it may no longer handle the wastes generated from the ne process as nonhazardous until the wastes meet the delisting levels set in paragraph (1) and it has received written approval to do so from EPA.
		Bayer must submit a modification to the petition complete with full sampling and analysis for circumstances where the waste volume changes and/or additional waste codes are added to the waste stream.
		(5) Data Submittals: Bayer must submit the information described below. If Bayer fails to submit the required dat within the specified time or maintain the required records on-site for the specified time EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in paragraph (6). Bayer must:
		(i) Submit the data obtained through paragraph (3) to the Chief, Corrective Action and Was Minimization Section, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, U.S. Environmental Pr tection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, Texas, 75202, within the time specifie All supporting data can be submitted on CD-ROM or some comparable electronic media. (ii) Compile records of analytical data from paragraph (3), summarized, and maintained o site for a minimum of five years.
		(iii) Furnish these records and data when either EPA or the State of Texas request them f inspection.
		(iv) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest the truth and accuracy of the data submitted: (iv) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:
		"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudule statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Coc which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and col plete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibil for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this if formation is true, accurate and complete.
		If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree th this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EP and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void excl sion."

Address

Facility

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Waste description

(6) Reopener (1) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste Bayer possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or ground water monitoring datal or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Division Director in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Division Director within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data. (i) If either the quarterly or annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in paragraph (1), Bayer must report the data, in writing, to the Division Director within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (iii) If Bayer fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(i) or (6)(ii) or if any other information is received from any source, the Division Director will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires EPA action to protect human health and or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.  (iv) If the Division Director determines that the reported information requires action by EPA, the Division Director will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Division Director believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed EPA action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Division Director will issue a final writen determination shall provide the properties of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(iv) or (6)(ii) in the Division Director will issue a final writen determination

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(B) Annual Testing: (i) If Bayer completes the quarterly testing specified in paragraph (3) above and no sampl contains a constituent at a level which exceeds the limits set forth in paragraph (1), Bayer can begin annual testing as follows: Bayer must test two representative composite sample of the spent carbon for all constituents listed in paragraph (1) at least once per calendary.
		year.  (ii) The samples for the annual testing shall be a representative composite sample accordin to appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, and yese requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.1 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might includ Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 10104 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B.  Methods must meet Performance Based Measurement System Criteria in which the Dat Quality Objectives are to demonstrate that samples of the Bayer spent carbon are representative for all constituents listed in paragraph (1).  (iii) The samples for the annual testing taken for the second and subsequent annual testin events shall be taken within the same calendar month as the first annual sample taken.  (iv) The annual testing report must include the total amount of waste in cubic yards dispose during the calendar year.
		(*) Orlaryses in Operating Coliminus.  If Bayer significantly changes the process described in its petition or starts any process the generates the waste that may or could affect the composition or type of waste generate (by illustration, but not limitation, changes in equipment or operating conditions of the trea ment process), it must notify EPA in writing and it may no longer handle the wastes ger erated from the new process as non-hazardous until the wastes meet the delisting level set in paragraph (1) and it has received written approval to do so from EPA.  Bayer must submit a modification to the petition complete with full sampling and analysis for circumstances where the waste volume changes and/or additional waste codes are adde to the waste stream.  (5) Data Submittals:
		Bayer must submit the information described below. If Bayer fails to submit the required dat within the specified time or maintain the required records on-site for the specified time EPA, at its discretion, will consider this sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in paragraph (6). Bayer must:  (A) Submit the data obtained through paragraph 3 to the Chief, Corrective Action and Wast Minimization Section, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, U. S. Environments
		Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, Texas, 75202, within the time spec fied. All supporting data can be submitted on CD-ROM or some comparable electroni media.  (B) Compile records of analytical data from paragraph (3), summarized, and maintained or
		site for a minimum of five years.  (C) Furnish these records and data when either EPA or the State of Texas requests them for inspection.
		(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest the truth and accuracy of the data submitted: "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulei
		statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify the the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify it (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibilit for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this ir formation is true, accurate and complete.
		If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate of incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree the this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EP and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
		(6) Reopener:  (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste Bayer possesses or is otherwise mad aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or ground wate monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any cor stituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at a level higher than the delistin level allowed by EPA in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to EPA within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (B) If either the quarterly or annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting require

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(C) If Bayer fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5),(6)(A) or (6)(B) or if any other information is received from any source, EPA will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires action to protect human health and/or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.  (D) If EPA determines that the reported information requires action, EPA will notify the facility in writing of the actions it believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present information explaining why the proposed EPA action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of EPA's notice to present such information.  (E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), EPA will issue a final written determination describing the actions that are necessary to protect human health and/or the environment. Any required action described in EPA's determination shall become effective immediately, unless EPA provides otherwise.
Bekaert Steel Corporation.	Rogers, Ar- kansas.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations (at a maximum annual rate of 1250 cubic yards to be measured on a calendar year basis) after [insert publication date of the final rule]. In order to confirm that the characteristics of the waste do not change significantly, the facility must, on an annual basis, before July 1 of each year, analyze a representative composite sample for the constituents listed in § 261.24 as well as antimony, copper, nickel, and zinc using the method specified therein. The annual analytical results, including quality control information, must be compiled, certified according to § 260.22(i)(12) of this chapter, maintained on site for a minimum of five years, and made available for inspection upon request of any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Arkansas. Failure to maintain the required documents on site will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA.  **Notification Requirements:**  Bekaert Steel Corporation must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure
Bethlehem Steel Cor-	Lackawanna, New York.	to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.  Ammonia still lime sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K060) and other solid waste generated from primary metal-making and coking operations. This is a one-time exclusion for
poration.		118,000 cubic yards of waste contained in the on-site landfill referred to as HWM-2. This exclusion was published on April 24, 1996.
Bethlehem Steel Corp	Steelton, PA	Uncured and cured chemically stabilized electric arc furnace dust/sludge (CSEAFD) treatment residue (K061) generated from the primary production of steel after May 22, 1989. This exclusion is conditioned upon the data obtained from Bethlehem's full-scale CSEAFD treatment facility because Bethlehem's original data were obtained from a laboratory-scale CSEAFD treatment process. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, Bethlehem must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Testing:  (A) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of operation of the full-scale treatment system, Bethlehem must collect representative grab samples of each treated batch of the CSEAFD and composite the grab samples daily. The daily composites, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals, nickel and cyanide
		(using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Bethlehem must report the analytical test data obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(B) Subsequent Testing: Bethlehem must collect representative grab samples from every treated batch of CSEAFD generated daily and composite all of the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. Bethlehem then must analyze each weekly composite sample for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals and nickel. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. The analytical data, including all quality control information, must be compiled and maintained on site for a minimum of three years. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Pennsylvania.  (2) Delisting Levels: If the EP extract concentrations resulting from the testing in condition (1)(A) or (1)(B) for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceeds 0.315 mg/l; for barium exceeds 0.3 mg/l; for nickel exceeds 3.15 mg/l; or for cyanide exceeds 4.42 mg/l, the waste must either be re-treated or managed and disposed in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA.  (3) Data submittals: Within one week of system start-up, Bethlehem must notify the Section Chief, Variances Section (see address below) when their full-scale stabilization system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through the initial testing condition (1)(A), must be submitted to PSPD/OSW (5303W), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified in condition (1)(A). At the Section Chief's request, Bethlehem must submit analytical data obtained through condition (1)(B) within the specified t
Bethlehem Steel Corp	hnstown, PA	reliance on the void exclusion."  Uncured and cured chemically stabilized electric arc furnace dust/sludge (CSEAFD) treatment residue (K061) generated from the primary production of steel after May 22, 1989. This exclusion is conditioned upon the data obtained from Bethlehem's full-scale CSEAFD treatment facility because Bethlehem's original data were obtained from a labortory-scale CSEAFD treatment process. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, Bethlehem must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Testing:  (A) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of operation of the full-scale treatment system, Bethlehem must collect representative grab samples of each treated batch of the CSEAFD and composite the grab samples daily. The daily composites, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals, nickel, and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Bethlehem must report the analytical test data obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(B) Subsequent Testing: Bethlehem must collect representative grab samples from every treated batch of CSEAFD generated daily and composite all of the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. Bethlehem then must analyze each weekly composite sample for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals and nickel. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. The analytical data, including all quality control information, must be compiled and maintained on site for a minimum of three years. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Pennsylvania.  (2) Delisting Levels: If the EP extract concentrations resulting from the testing in condition (1)(A) or (1)(B) for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceed 0.315 mg/l; for barium exceeds 6.3 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.063 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.0126 mg/l; for nickel exceeds 3.15 mg/l; or for cyanide exceeds 4.42 mg/l, the waste must either be retreated until it meets these levels or managed and disposed in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Data submittals: Within one week of system start-up, Bethlehem must notify the Section Chief, Variances Section (see address below) when their full-scale stabilization system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through the initial testing condition (1)(A), must be submitted to the Section Chief, Variances Section, PSPD/OSW, (OS-343), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20406 within the time period specified in condition (1)(A). At the Section Chief's request, Bethlehem must submit analytical data obtained through condition (1)(B) to the above address, within the time period specified by the Section Chief. Failure to submit the required data obtained from either condition (1)(A) or (1)(B) within the specified time periods will be considered by the Agency sufficient basis to revoke Bethlehem's exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by the following certification statement:  "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  "As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this in-
		formation is true, accurate and complete.  "In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of wastes will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
BF Goodrich Intermedi- ates Com- pany, Inc.	Calvert City, Kentucky.	Brine purification muds and saturator insolubles (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) after August 18, 1989. This exclusion is conditional upon the collection and submission of data obtained from BFG's full-scale treatment system because BFG's original data was based on data presented by another petitioner using an identical treatment process. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, BFG must implement a testing program. All sampling and analyses (including quality control procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of full-scale operation, BFG must do the following:
		(A) Collect representative grab samples from every batch of the treated mercury brine purification muds and treated saturator insolubles on a daily basis and composite the grab samples to produce two separate daily composite samples (one of the treated mercury brine purification muds and one of the treated saturator insolubles). Prior to disposal of the treated batches, two daily composite samples must be analyzed for EP leachate concentration of mercury. BFG must report the analytical test data, including all quality control data, within 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Wastes Excluded From Specific Sources—Continued  Waste description
Facility	Audress	'
		(B) Collect representative grab samples from every batch of treated mercury brine purification muds and treated saturator insolubles on a daily basis and composite the grab samples to produce two separate weekly composite samples (one of the treated mercury brine muds and one of the treated saturator insolubles). Prior to disposal of the treated batches, two weekly composite samples must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals (except mercury), nickel, and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). BFG must report the analytical test data, including all quality control data, ob- tained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.
		(2) Subsequent Testing: After the first four weeks of full-scale operation, BFG must do the following:
		(A) Continue to sample and test as described in condition (1)(A). BFG must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years all analytical data and quality control data.
		These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Kentucky.
		(B) Continue to sample and test as described in condition (1)(B). BFG must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any em- ployee or representative of EPA or the State of Kentucky. These testing requirements shall be terminated by EPA when the results of four consecutive weekly composite samples of both the treated mercury brine muds and treated saturator insolubles, obtained from either the initial testing or subsequent testing, show the maximum allowable levels in condition (3) are not exceeded and the Section Chief, Variances Section, notifies BFG that the require- ments of this condition have been lifted.
		(3) If, under condition (1) or (2), the EP leachate concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceed 0.316 mg/l; for barium exceeds 6.31 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.063 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.0126 mg/l, for nickel exceeds 3.16 mg/l; or for cyanide exceeds 4.42 mg/l, the waste must either be retreated until it meets these levels or managed and disposed of in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA.
		(4) Within one week of system start-up, BFG must notify the Section Chief, Variances Section (see address below) when the full-scale system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through condition (1) must be submitted to PSPD/OSW (5303W), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified in condition (1). At the Section Chief's request, BFG must submit any other analytical data obtained through condition (2) to the above address, within the time period specified by the Section Chief. Failure to submit the required data will be considered by the Agency sufficient basis to revoke BFG's exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by the following certification statement:
		"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. § 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of wastes will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
CF&I Steel Corporation.	Pueblo, Colorado.	Fully-cured chemically stabilized electric arc furnace dust/sludge (CSEAFD) treatment residue (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K061) generated from the primary production of steel after May 9, 1989. This exclusion is conditioned upon the data obtained from CF&I's full-scale CSEAFD treatment facility because CF&I's original data was obtained from a laboratory-scale CSEAFD treatment process. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, CF&I must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be vaild:  (1) Testing:

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of operation of the full-scale treatment system, CF&I must collect representative grab samples of each treated batch of the CSEAFD and composite the grab samples daily. The daily composites, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals, nickel, and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. CF&I must report the analytical test data obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.
		(B) Subsequent Testing: CF&I must collect representative grab samples from every treated batch of CSEAFD generated daily and composite all of the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. CF&I then must analyze each weekly composite sample for the EP leachate concentrations of all of the EP toxic metals and nickel. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. The analytical data, including all quality control information, must be compiled and maintained on site for a minimum of three years. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Colorado.  (2) Delisting levels: If the EP extract concentrations determined in conditions (1)(A) or (1)(B) for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceed 0.315 mg/l; for barium exceeds 6.3 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.063 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.0126 mg/l; for nickel exceeds 3.15 mg/l; or for cyanide exceeds 4.42 mg/l, the waste must either be re-treated or managed and disposed in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Data submittals: Within one week of system start-up, CF&I must notify the Section Chief, Variances Section (see address below) when their full-scale stabilization system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through the initial testing condition (1)(A), must be submitted to the Section Chief, Variances Section, PSPD/OSW, (OS–343), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified in condition (1)(A). At the Section Chief's request, CF&I must submit analytical data obtained through condition (1)(B) to the above address, within the time period specified by the Section Chief. Failure to submit the required data obtained from either condition (1)(A) or (1)(B) within the specified time periods will be considered by the Agency sufficient basis to revoke CF&I's exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by the following certification statement: "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making of submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete. As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete. In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of wastes will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premise
Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P.	Midlothian, Texas.	Leachate from Landfill No. 3, storm water from the baghouse area, and other K061 wastewaters which have been pumped to tank storage (at a maximum generation of 2500 cubic yards or 500,000 gallons per calender year) (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K061) generated at Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P., Midlothian, Texas, and is managed as nonhazardous solid waste after February 23, 2000.  Chaparral Steel must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(1) Delisting Levels: All concentrations for the constituent total lead in the approximately 2,500 cubic yards (500,000 gallons) per calender year of raw leachate from Landfill No. 3,
		storm water from the baghouse area, and other K061 wastewaters that is transferred from the storage tank to nonhazardous management must not exceed 0.69 mg/l (ppm). Constituents must be measured in the waste by appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031,
		0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B.
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: Chaparral Steel must store as hazardous all leachate waste from Landfill No. 3, storm water from the bag house area, and other K061 wastewaters until verification testing as specified in Condition (3), is completed and valid analyses demonstrate that condition (1) is satisfied. If the levels of constituents measured in the samples of the waste do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1), then the waste is nonhazardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations. If constituent levels in a sample exceed the delisting levels set in Condition (1), the waste volume corresponding to this sample must be treated until delisting levels are met or returned to the original storage tank. Treatment is designated as precipitation, flocculation, and filtering in a wastewater treatment system to remove metals from the wastewater. Treatment residuals precipitated will be designated as a hazardous waste. If
		the delisting level cannot be met, then the waste must be managed and disposed of in ac- cordance with subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Chaparral Steel must analyze one composite sample from each batch of untreated wastewater transferred from the hazardous waste storage tank to non-hazardous waste management. Each composited batch sample must be analyzed, prior to non-hazardous management of the waste in the batch represented by that sample, for the constituent lead as listed in Condition (1). Chaparral may treat the waste as specified in Condition (2). If EPA judges the treatment process to be effective during the operating conditions used during the initial verification testing, Chaparral Steel may replace the testing requirement in Condition (3)(A) with the testing requirement in Condition (3)(B). Chaparral must continue to test as specified in (3)(A) until and unless notified by EPA or designated authority that testing in Condition (3)(A) may be replaced by Condition (3)(B).  (A) Initial Verification Testing: Representative composite samples from the first eight (8) full-scale treated batches of wastewater from the K061 leachate/wastewater storage tank must be analyzed for the constituent lead as isted in Condition (1), Chaparral Steel may repert to EPA the operational and analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained from these initial full scale treatment batches within 90 days of the eighth treatment batch.  (B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following notification by EPA, Chaparral Steel may substitute the testing condi
		(4) Changes in Operating Conditions: If Chaparral Steel significantly changes the treatment process established under Condition (3) (e.g., use of new treatment agents), Chaparral Steel must notify the Agency in writing. After written approval by EPA, Chaparral Steel may handle the wastes generated as non-hazardous, if the wastes meet the delisting levels set in Condition (1).
		(5) Data Submittals: Records of operating conditions and analytical data from Condition (3) must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years. These records and data must be furnished upon request by EPA, or the State of Texas, or both, and be made available for inspection. Failure to submit the required data within the specified time period or maintain the required records on site for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to reopen the exclusion as described in Paragraph (6). All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
		(6) Reopener Language (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Chaparral Steel possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his dele-
		gate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (B) Based on the information described in paragraphs (5), or (6)(A) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(C) If the Regional Administrator or his delegate determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present infor- mation as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator or delegate's notice to present such infor- mation.
		(D) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(C) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (6)(C)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraph (5) or (6)(A), the Regional Administrator or his delegate will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator or delegate's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.
		(7) Notification Requirements: Chaparral Steel must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activity. The one-time written notification must be updated if the delisted waste is shipped to a different disposal facility. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.
Conversion Systems, Inc.	Horsham, Pennsyl- vania.	Chemically Stabilized Electric Arc Furnace Dust (CSEAFD) that is generated by Conversion Systems, Inc. (CSI) (using the Super Detox™ treatment process as modified by CSI to treat EAFD (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K061)) at the following sites and that is disposed of in Subtitle D landfills:
		Northwestern Steel, Sterling, Illinois after June 13, 1995.  CSI must implement a testing program for each site that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:
		(1) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) Initial Verification Testing: During the first 20 operating days of full-scale operation of a newly constructed Super Detox <sup>™</sup> treatment facility, CSI must analyze a minimum of four (4) composite samples of CSEAFD representative of the full 20-day period. Composites must be comprised of representative samples collected from every batch generated. The CSEAFD samples must be analyzed for the constituents listed in Condition (3). CSI must report the operational and analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial period no later than 60 days after the generation of the first batch of CSEAFD.
		(B) Addition of New Super Detox™ Treatment Facilities to Exclusion: If the Agency's review of the data obtained during initial verification testing indicates that the CSEAFD generated by a specific Super Detox™ treatment facility consistently meets the delisting levels specified in Condition (3), the Agency will publish a notice adding to this exclusion the location of the new Super Detox™ treatment facility and the name of the steel mill contracting CSI's services. If the Agency's review of the data obtained during initial verification testing indicates that the CSEAFD generated by a specific Super Detox™ treatment facility fails to consistently meet the conditions of the exclusion, the Agency will not publish the notice adding the new facility.
		(C) Subsequent Verification Testing: For the Sterling, Illinois facility and any new facility sub sequently added to CSI's conditional multiple-site exclusion, CSI must collect and analyze at least one composite sample of CSEAFD each month. The composite samples must be composed of representative samples collected from all batches treated in each month These monthly representative samples must be analyzed, prior to the disposal of the CSEAFD, for the constituents listed in Condition (3). CSI may, at its discretion, analyze composite samples gathered more frequently to demonstrate that smaller batches of waste are nonhazardous.
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: CSI must store as hazardous all CSEAFD generated untiverification testing as specified in Conditions (1)(A) and (1)(C), as appropriate, is completed and valid analyses demonstrate that Condition (3) is satisfied. If the levels of constituents measured in the samples of CSEAFD do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (3) then the CSEAFD is non-hazardous and may be disposed of in Subtitle D landfills. If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (3), the CSEAFD generated during the time period corresponding to this sample must be retreated until it meets these levels, or managed and disposed of in accordance with Subtitle C on RCRA. CSEAFD generated by a new CSI treatment facility must be managed as a haz ardous waste prior to the addition of the name and location of the facility to the exclusion After addition of the new facility to the exclusion, CSEAFD generated during the verification testing in Condition (1)(A) is also non-hazardous, if the delisting levels in Condition (3) are satisfied.
		(3) Delisting Levels: All leachable concentrations for those metals must not exceed the fol lowing levels (ppm): Antimony—0.06; arsenic—0.50; baraium—7.6; beryllium—0.010; cad mium—0.050; chromium—0.33; lead—0.15; mercury—0.009; nickel—1; selenium—0.16 silver—0.30; thallium—0.020; vanadium—2; and zinc—70. Metal concentrations must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24.  (4) Changes in Operating Conditions: After initiating subsequent testing as described in Condition (1)(C), if CSI significantly changes the stabilization process established under Condition (1) (e.g., use of new stabilization reagents), CSI must notify the Agency in writing approval by EPA CSI may handle CSEED wastes generated from the new
		After written approval by EPA, CSI may handle CSEAFD wastes generated from the new process as non-hazardous, if the wastes meet the delisting levels set in Condition (3).  (5) Data Submittals: At least one month prior to operation of a new Super Detox™ treatmen facility, CSI must notify, in writing, the Chief of the Waste Identification Branch (see ad dress below) when the Super Detox™ treatment facility is scheduled to be on-line. The data obtained through Condition (1)(A) must be submitted to the Branch Chief of the Waste Identification Branch, OSW (Mail Code 5304), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW. Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified. Records of operating conditions and analytical data from Condition (1) must be compiled, summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years. These records and data must be furnished upon reques by EPA, or the State in which the CSI facility is located, and made available for inspection Failure to submit the required data within the specified time period or maintain the requirer records on site for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficien basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:
		Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or frauduler statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify it (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibilit for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this in formation is true, accurate and complete.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
DuraTherm, Incorporated.	San Leon, Texas.	Desorber Solids, (at a maximum generation of 20,000 cubic yards per calendar year) generated by DuraTherm using the treatment process to treat the Desorber solids, (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K048, K049, K050, and K051 and disposed of in a subtitle D landfill. DuraTherm must implement the testing program found in Table 1. Wastes Excluded From Non-Specific Sources, for the petition to be valid.
Eastman Chemical Company.	Longview, Texas.	Wastewater treatment sludge, (at a maximum generation of 82,100 cubic yards per calendar year) (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K009, K010) generated at Eastman. Eastman must implement the testing program described in Table 1. Waste Excluded From Non-Specific Sources for the petition to be valid.
Envirite of Illi- nois (for- merly Envirite Cor- poration).	Harvey, Illinois	See waste description under Envirite of Pennsylvania.
Envirite of Ohio (formerly Envirite Corporation).	Canton, Ohio	See waste description under Envirite of Pennsylvania.
Envirite of Pennsylvania (formerly Envirite Corporation).	York, Pennsylvania.	Spent pickle liquor (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K062) generated from steel finishing operations of facilities within the iron and steel industry (SIC Codes 331 and 332); wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K002) generated from the production of chrome yellow and orange pigments; wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K003) generated from the production of molybdate orange pigments; wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K004) generated from the production of zinc yellow pigments; wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste K005) generated from the production of chrome oxide green pigments (anhydrous and hydrated); wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K006) generated from the production of chrome oxide green pigments (anhydrous and hydrated); wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K008) generated from the production of chrome oxide green pigments after November 14, 1986. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern, the facility must implement a contingency testing program for the petitioned wastes. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusions to be valid:  (1) Each batch of treatment residue must be representatively sampled and tested using the EP Toxicity test for arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, selenium, silver, mercury, and nickel. If the extract concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic, and silver exceed 0.315 ppm; barium levels exceed 6.3 ppm; cadmium and selenium exceed 0.063 ppm; mercury exceeds 0.0126 ppm; or nickel levels exceed 2.205 ppm, the waste must be retreated or managed and disposed as a hazardous waste under 40 CFR Parts 262 to 265 and the permitting standards of 40 CFR Part 270.  (3) Each batch of treatment residue (formerly must be tested for leachable cyanide. If the leachable cyanide levels Corporation) (using the EP Toxicity test without acetic acid adjustment) exceed 1.26 ppm, the waste must be tested for the total co

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(5) The data from conditions 1–4 must be kept on file at the facility for inspection purposes and must be compiled, summarized, and submitted to the Administrator by certified mail semi-annually. The Agency will review this information and if needed will propose to modify or withdraw the exclusion. The organics testing described in conditions 3 and 4, above, is not required until six months from the date of promulgation. The Agency's decision to conditionally exclude the treatment residue generated from the wastewater treatment systems at these facilities applies only to the wastewater and solids treatment systems as they presently exist as described in the delisting petition. The exclusion does not apply to the proposed process additions described in the petition as recovery, including crystallization, electrolytic metals recovery, evaporative recovery, and ion exchange.
ERCO World- wide (USA) Inc. (formerly Vulcan Mate- rials Com- pany).	Port Edwards, Wisconsin.	Brine purification muds (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) generated from the mercury cell process in chlorine production, where separately purified brine is not used after November 17, 1986. To assure that mercury levels in this waste are maintained at acceptable levels, the following conditions apply to this exclusion: Each batch of treated brine clarifier muds and saturator insolubles must be tested (by the extraction procedure) prior to disposal and the leachate concentration of mercury must be less than or equal to 0.0129 ppm. If the waste does not meet this requirement, then it must be re-treated or disposed of as hazardous. This exclusion does not apply to wastes for which either of these conditions is not satisfied.
Giant Refining Company, Inc.	Bloomfield, New Mexico.	Waste generated during the excavation of soils from two wastewater treatment impoundments (referred to as the South and North Oily Water Ponds) used to contain water outflow from an API separator (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K051). This is a one-time exclusion for approximately 2,000 cubic yards of stockpiled waste. This exclusion was published on September 3, 1996.
		Notification Requirements: Giant Refining Company must provide a one-time written notifica- tion to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste de- scribed above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.
Heritage Envi- ronmental Services, LLC., at the Nucor Steel facility.	Crawfordsville, Indiana.	Electric arc furnace dust (EAFD) that has been generated by Nucor Steel at its Crawfordsville, Indiana facility and treated on site by Heritage Environmental Services, LLC (Heritage) at a maximum annual rate of 30,000 cubic yards per year and disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill which has groundwater monitoring, after January 15, 2002.
		(1) Delisting Levels:  (A) The constituent concentrations measured in either of the extracts specified in Paragraph (2) may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.206; Arsenic—0.0936; Barium—55.7; Beryllium—0.416; Cadmium—0.15; Chromium (total)—1.55; Lead—5.0; Mercury—0.149; Nickel—28.30; Selenium—0.58; Silver—3.84; Thallium—0.088; Vanadium—21.1; Zinc—280.0.
		<ul> <li>(B) Total mercury may not exceed 1 mg/kg.</li> <li>(2) Verification Testing: On a monthly basis, Heritage or Nucor must analyze two samples of the waste using the TCLP, SW-846 Method 1311, with an extraction fluid of pH 12 ±0.05 standard units and for the mercury determinative analysis of the leachate using an appropriate method. The constituent concentrations measured must be less than the delisting levels established in Paragraph (1).</li> </ul>
		(3) Changes in Operating Conditions: If Nucor significantly changes the manufacturing process or chemicals used in the manufacturing process or Heritage significantly changes the treatment process or the chemicals used in the treatment process, Heritage or Nucor must notify the EPA of the changes in writing. Heritage and Nucor must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until Heritage or Nucor has demonstrated that the wastes continue to meet the delisting levels set forth in Paragraph (1) and that no new hazardous constituents listed in Appendix VIII of Part 261 have been introduced and Heritage and Nucor have received written approval from EPA.
		(4) Data Submittals: Heritage must submit the data obtained through monthly verification testing or as required by other conditions of this rule to U.S. EPA Region 5, Waste Management Branch (DW-8J), 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604 by February 1 of each calendar year for the prior calendar year. Heritage or Nucor must compile, summarize, and maintain on site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. Heritage or Nucor must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(i)(12). (5) Reopener Language—(A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Heritage or
		Nucor possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in Paragraph (1) is at a level in the leachate higher than the delisting level established in Paragraph (1), or is at a level in the groundwater higher than the maximum allowable point of exposure concentration predicted by the CMTP model, then Heritage or Nucor must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		<ul> <li>(B) Based on the information described in paragraph (5)(A) and any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.</li> <li>(C) If the Regional Administrator determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify Heritage and Nucor in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing Heritage and Nucor with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. Heritage and Nucor shall have 30 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information.</li> <li>(D) If after 30 days Heritage or Nucor presents no further information, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.</li> </ul>
LCP Chemical	Orrington, ME	Brine purification muds and wastewater treatment sludges generated after August 27, 1985 from their chlor-alkali manufacturing operations (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K071 and K106) that have been batch tested for mercury using the EP toxicity procedures and have been found to contain less than 0.05 ppm mercury in the EP extract. Brine purification muds and wastewater treatment sludges that exceed this level will be considered a hazardous waste.
Marathon Oil Co.	Texas City, Texas.	Residual solids (at a maximum annual generation rate of 1,000 cubic yards) generated from the thermal desorption treatment and, where necessary, stabilization of wastewater treatment plant API/DAF filter cake (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K048 and K051), after [insert date of publication]. Marathon must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:
		(1) Testing: Sample collection and analyses (including quality control (QC) procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. If EPA judges the treatment process to be effective under the operating conditions used during the initial verification testing, Marathon may replace the testing required in Condition (1)(B). Marathon must continue to test as specified in Condition (1)(B), including testing for organics in Conditions (3)(B) and (3)(C), until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing in Condition (1)(A) may be replaced by Condition (1)(B), or that testing for organics may be terminated as described in (1)(C) (to the extent directed by EPA).
		(A) Initial Verification Testing: During at least the first 40 operating days of full-scale operation of the thermal desorption unit, Marathon must monitor the operating conditions and analyze 5-day composites of residual solids. 5-day composites must be composed of representative grab samples collected from every batch during each 5-day period of operation. The samples must be analyzed prior to disposal of the residual solids for constituents listed in Condition (3). Marathon must report the operational and analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.
		(B) Subsequent Verification Testing: Following notification by EPA, Marathon may substitute the testing conditions in (1)(B) for (1)(A). Marathon must continue to monitor operating con- ditions, and analyze samples representative of each month of operation. The samples must be composed of representative grab samples collected during at least the first five days of operation of each month. These monthly representative samples must be analyzed for the constituents listed in Condition (3) prior to the disposal of the residual solids. Marathon may, at its discretion, analyze composite samples gathered more frequently to demonstrate that smaller batches of waste are nonhazardous.
		(C) Termination of Organic Testing: Marathon must continue testing as required under Condition (1)(B) for organic constituents specified in Conditions (3)(B) and (3)(C) until the analyses submitted under Condition (1)(B) show a minimum of four consecutive monthly representative samples with levels of specific constituents significantly below the delisting levels in Conditions (3)(B) and (3)(C), and EPA notifies Marathon in writing that monthly testing for specific organic constituents may be terminated. Following termination of monthly testing, Marathon must continue to test a representative 5-day composite sample for all constituents listed in Conditions (3)(B) and (3)(C) on an annual basis. If delisting levels for any constituents listed in Conditions (3)(B) and (3)(C) are exceeded in the annual sample, Marathon must reinstitute complete testing as required in Condition (1)(B).

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

		WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—CONTINUED
Facility	Address	Waste description
		(2) Waste Holding and Handling: Marathon must store as hazardous all residual solids generated until verification testing (as specified in Conditions (1)(A) and (1)(B)) is completed and valid analysis demonstrates that Condition (3) is satisfied. If the levels of hazardous constituents in the samples of residual solids are below all of the levels set forth in Condition (3), then the residual solids are non-hazardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations. If hazardous constituent levels in any 5-day composite or other representative sample equal or exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (3), the residual solids generated during the corresponding time period must be retreated and/or stabilized as allowed below, until the residual solids meet these levels, or managed and disposed of in accordance with Subtitle C of RCRA.  If the residual solids contain leachable inorganic concentrations at or above the delisting levels set forth in Condition (3)(A), then Marathon may stabilize the material with Type 1 portland cement as demonstrated in the petition to immobilize the metals. Following stabilization, Marathon must repeat analyses in Condition (3)(A) prior to disposal.  (3) Delisting Levels: Leachable concentrations in Conditions (3)(A) and (3)(B) must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24. The indicator parameters in Condition (3)(C) must be measured as the total concentration in the waste Concentrations must be less than the following levels (ppm):  (A) Inorganic Constituents: antimony-0.6; arsenic, chromium, or silver-5.0; barium-100.0; be ryllium-0.4; cadmium-0.5; lead-1.5; mercury-0.2; nickel-10.0; selenium-1.0; vanadium-20.0.  (B) Organic Constituents: acenaphthene-200; benzene-0.5; benzo(a)anthracene-0.01 benzo(a)pyrene-0.02; benzo(b)fluoranthene-0.02; chrysene-0.02; ethyl benzene-70; fluoranthene-100; fluorene-100; naphthalene-100; pyrene-100; toluene-100.  (C) Indicator Parameters: 1-methyl naphthalene-3;
		Condition (1), as appropriate.  (5) Data Submittals: At least two weeks prior to system start-up, Marathon must notify in writing the Section Chief Delisting Section (see address below) when the thermal desorption and stabilization units will be on-line and waste treatment will begin. The data obtained through Condition (1)(A) must be submitted to HWID/OSW (5304W) (OS-333), U.S. EPA 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified Records of operating conditions and analytical data from Condition (1) must be compiled summarized, and maintained on site for a minimum of five years. These records and data must be furnished upon request by EPA or the State of Texas and made available for in spection. Failure to submit the required data within the specified time priod or maintair the required records on site for the specified time will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted:
		"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulen statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate, and complete.  As to the (those) identified sections(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its
		(their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate, and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate, or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
Mearl Corp Monsanto In- dustrial Chemicals Company.	Peekskill, NY Sauget, Illinois	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K006 and K007) generated from the production of chrome oxide green and iron blue pigments after November 27, 1985.  Brine purification muds (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) generated from the mercury cel process in chlorine production, where separately prepurified brine is not used after Augus 15, 1986.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Occidental Chemical.	Ingleside, Texas.	Limestone Sludge, (at a maximum generation of 1,114 cubic yards per calendar year) Rockbox Residue, (at a maximum generation of 1,000 cubic yards per calendar year) generated by Occidental Chemical using the wastewater treatment process to treat the Rockbox Residue and the Limestone Sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K019, K020). Occidental Chemical must implement a testing program that meets conditions found in Table 1. Wastes Excluded From Non-Specific Sources from the petition to be valid.
Occidental Chemical Corp., Mus- cle Shoals Plant.	Sheffield, Alabama.	Retorted wastewater treatment sludge from the mercury cell process in chlorine production (EPA Hazardous Plant Waste No. K106) after September 19, 1989. This exclusion is conditional upon the submission of data obtained from Occidental's full-scale retort treatment system because Occidental's original data were based on a pilot-scale retort system. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, Occidental must implement a testing program. All sampling and analyses (including quality control procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:
		(1) Initial Testing—During the first four weeks of full-scale retort operation, Occidental must do the following:  (A) Collect representative grab samples from every batch of retorted material and composite the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. The weekly composite samples, prior to disposal or recycling, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals (except mercury), nickel, and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). Occidental must report the analytical test data, including all quality control data, obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.  (B) Collect representative grab samples of every batch of retorted material prior to its disposal or recycling and analyze the sample for EP leachate concentration of mercury. Occi-
		dental must report the analytical test data, including all quality control data, within 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.  (2) Subsequent Testing—After the first four weeks of full-scale retort operation, Occidental
		must do the following:  (A) Continue to sample and test as described in condition (1)(A). Occidental must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Alabama. These testing requirements shall be terminated by EPA when the results of four consecutive weekly composite samples of the petitioned waste, obtained from either the initial testing or subsequent testing show the maximum allowable levels in condition (3) are not exceeded and the Section Chief, Variances Section, notifies Occidental that the requirements of this condition have been lifted.
		(B) Continue to sample and test for mercury as described in condition (1)(B).  Occidental must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Alabama. These testing requirements shall remain in effect until Occidental provides EPA with analytical and quality control data for thirty consecutive batches of retorted material, collected as described in condition (1)(B), demonstrating that the EP leachable levels of mercury are below the maximum allowable level in condition (3) and the Section Chief, Variances Section, notifies Occidental that the testing in condition (2)(B) may be replaced with (2)(C).  (C) [If the conditions in (2)(B) are satisfied, the testing requirements for mercury in (2)(B) shall be replaced with the following condition]. Collect representative grab samples from every batch of retorted material on a daily basis and composite the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. Occidental must analyze each weekly composite sample prior to its disposal or recycling for the EP leachate concentration of mercury. Occidental must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Alabama.  (3) If, under condition (1) or (2), the EP leachate concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceed 1.616 mg/l; for barium exceeds 32.3 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.323 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.065 mg/l, for nickel exceeds 16.15 mg/l; or for cyanide exceeds 26.16 mg/l, the waste must either be retreated until it meets these levels or managed and disposed of in accordance with subtitle C of RCPA.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(4) Within one week of system start-up, Occidental must notify the Section Chief, Variances Section (see address below) when the full-scale retort system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through condition (1) must be submitted to PSPD/OSW (5303W), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified in condition (1). At the Section Chief's request, Occidental must submit any other analytical data obtained through condition (2) to the above address, within the time period specified by the Section Chief. Failure to submit the required data will be considered by the Agency sufficient basis to revoke Occidental's exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by the following certification statement:
		"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.  As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of wastes will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
Occidental Chemical Corporation.	Delaware City, Delaware.	Sodium chloride treatment muds (NaCl-TM), sodium chloride saturator cleanings (NaCl-SC), and potassium chloride treatment muds (KCl-TM) (all classified as EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) generated at a maximum combined rate (for all three wastes) of 1,018 tons per year. This exclusion was published on April 29, 1991 and is conditioned upon the collection of data from Occidental's full-scale brine treatment system because Occidental's request for exclusion was based on data from a laboratory-scale brine treatment process. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment system is in operation, Occidental must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. All sampling and analyses (including quality control (QC) procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable, the SW–846 methods of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods tincorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of full-scale treatment system operation, Occi-
		dental must do the following:  (A) Collect representative grab samples from each batch of the three treated wastestreams (sodium chloride saturator cleanings (NaCl-SC), sodium chloride treatment muds (NaCl-TM) and potassium chloride treatment muds (KCl-TM)) on an as generated basis and composite the samples to produce three separate weekly composite samples (of each type of K071 waste). The three weekly composite samples, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals (except mercury), nickel, and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). Occidental must report the waste volumes produced and the analytical test data, including all quality control data, obtained during this initial period, no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.  (B) Collect representative grab samples of each batch of the three treated wastestreams (NaCl-SC, NACl-TM and KCl-TM) and composite the grab samples to produce three separate daily composite samples (of each type of K071 waste) on an as generated basis. The three daily composite samples, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentration of mercury. Occidental must report the waste volumes produced and the analytical test data, including all quality control data, obtained during this initial period, no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.  (2) Subsequent Testing: After the first four weeks of full-scale treatment operations, Occidental must do the following; all sampling and analyses (including quality control procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods, and as applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW–846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW–846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B,

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(A) Continue to sample and test as described in condition (1)(A). Occidental must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years the records of waste volumes produced an all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request an made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Delaware. These testing requirements shall be terminated by EPA when the results of for consecutive weekly composite samples of the petitioned waste, obtained from either the initial testing or subsequent testing, show the maximum allowable levels in condition (3) and not exceeded and the Section Chief, Variances Section, notifies Occidental that the requirements of this condition have been lifted.
		(B) Continue to sample and test for mercury as described in condition (1)(B). Occidental must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years the records of waste volumes produced and all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EPA of the State of Delaware. These testing requirements shall be terminated and replaced with the requirements of condition (2)(C) if Occidental provides EPA with analytical and quality control data for thirty consecutive batches of treated material, collected as described in condition (1)(B), demonstrating that the EP leachable level of mercury in condition (3) is not exceeded (in all three treated wastes), and the Section Chief, Variances Section, notifies Occidental that the testing in condition (2)(B) may be replaced with (2)(C).
		(C) [If the conditions in (2)(B) are satisfied, the testing requirements for mercury in (2)(B) shall be replaced with the following condition.] Collect representative grab samples from each batch of the three treated wastestreams (NaCI-SC, NaCI-TM and KCI-TM) on an are generated basis and composite the grab samples to produce three separate weekly composite samples (of each type of K071 waste). The three weekly composite samples, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentration of mercury. Occidente must compile and store on-site for a minimum of three years the records of waste volume produced and all analytical data and quality control data. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or representative of EP/or the State of Delaware.
		(3) If, under conditions (1) or (2), the EP leachate concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic or silver exceed 0.77 mg/l; for barium exceeds 15.5 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.16 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.031 mg/l, or for nickel or total cyanide exceed 10.9 mg/l the waste must either be retreated or managed and disposed of in accordance with all ap plicable hazardous waste regulations.
		(4) Within one week of system start-up, Occidental must notify the Section Chief, Variance Section (see address below) when the full-scale system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through condition (1) must be submitted to the Section Chief Variances Section, PSPD/OSW, (OS-333), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW. Washington, DC 20460 within the time period required in condition (1). At the Section Chief's request, Occidental must submit any other analytical data obtained through conditions (1) and (2) to the above address within the time period specified by the Section Chief Failure to submit the required data will be considered by the Agency sufficient basis to revoke Occidental's exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data (either submitted to EPA or maintained at the site) must be accompanied by the following statement:
		"Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulen statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6926), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify it (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibilit for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this in formation is true, accurate and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of wastes will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion."
Olin Corporation.	Charleston, TN.	Sodium chloride purification muds and potassium chloride purification muds (both classifie as EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) that have been batch tested using EPA's Toxicit Characteristic Leaching Procedure and have been found to contain less than 0.05 ppr mercury. Purification muds that have been found to contain less than 0.05 ppr mercury will be disposed in Olin's on-site non-hazardous waste landfill or another Subtitle D landfil Purification muds that exceed this level will be considered a hazardous waste.
Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation.	Hannibal, OH	Vitrified spent potliner (VSP), K088, that is generated by Ormet Primary Aluminum Corpora tion in Hannibal (Ormet), Ohio at a maximum annual rate of 8,500 cubic yards per yea and disposed of in a Subtitle D landfill, licensed, permitted, or registered by a state. The exclusion becomes effective as of July 25, 2002.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility	Address	1. Delisting Levels: (A) The constituent concentrations measured in any of the extracts specified in paragraph (2) may not exceed the following levels (mg/L): Antimony—0.235; Arsenic—0.107; Barium—63.5; Beryllium—0.474; Cadmium—0.171; Chromium (total)—1.76; Lead—5; Mercury—0.17; Nickel—32.2; Selenium—0.661; Silver—4.38; Thallium—0.1; Tin—257; Vanadium—24.1; Zinc—320; Cyanide—4.11. (B) Land disposal result of the VSP can be land disposed. Ormet must comply with any future LDR treatment standards promulgated under 40 CFR 268.40 for K088.  2. Verification Testing: (A) On a quarterly basis, Ormet must collect two samples of the waste and analyze them for the constituents listed in paragraph (1) using the methodologies specified in an EPA-approved sampling plan specifying (a) the TCLP method, and (b) the TCLP procedure with an extraction fluid of 0.1 Normal sodium hydroxide solution. The constituent concentrations measured in the extract must be less than the delisting levels established in paragraph (1). Ormet must also comply with LDR treatment standards in accordance with 40 CFR 268.40. (B) If the quarterly testing of the waste does not maccordance with paragraph (5). The exclusion will be suspended and the waste managed as hazardous until Ormet has received written approval for the exclusion from the Agency. Ormet may provide sampling results that support the continuation of the delisting exclusion.  3. Changes in Operating Conditions: If Ormet significantly changes the manufacturing process, the treatment process, or the chemicals used, Ormet must notify the EPA of the changes in writing. Ormet must handle wastes generated after the process change as hazardous until Ormet has demonstrated that the wastes continue to meet the delisting levels
		set forth in paragraph (1) and that no new hazardous constituents listed in Appendix VIII of part 261 have been introduced and Ormet has received written approval from EPA.  4. Data Submittals: Ormet must submit the data obtained through quarterly verification testing or as required by other conditions of this rule to U.S. EPA Region 5, Waste Management Branch (DW-8J), 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604 by February 1 of each calendar year for the prior calendar year. Ormet must compile, summarize, and maintain on site for a minimum of five years records of operating conditions and analytical data. Ormet must make these records available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a signed copy of the certification statement in 40 CFR 260.22(jl(12).  5. Reopener Language—(a) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Ormet possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified in paragraph (1), or is at a level in the leachate higher than the delisting level established in paragraph (1), or is at a level in the groundwater higher than the point of exposure groundwater levels referenced by the model, then Ormet must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made
		aware of that data.  (b) Based on the information described in paragraph (5)(a) or any other information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(c) If the Regional Administrator determines that the information does require Agency action, the Regional Administrator will notify Ormet in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the proposed action and a statement providing Ormet with an opportunity to present information as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary or to suggest an alternative action. Ormet shall have 30 days from the date of the Regional Administrator's notice to present the information.  (d) If after 30 days Ormet presents no further information, the Regional Administrator will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Regional Administrator's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Regional Administrator provides otherwise.
Oxy Vinyls	Deer Park, Texas.	Rockbox Residue, (at a maximum generation of 1,000 cubic yards per calender year) generated by Oxy Vinyls using the wastewater treatment process to treat the Rockbox Residue (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K017, K019, and K020).  Oxy Vinyls must implement a testing program that meets the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid:  (1) Delisting Levels: All concentrations for the following constituents must not exceed the following constituents.
		lowing levels (ppm). The Rockbox Residue must be measured in the waste leachate by the method specified in 40 CFR 261.24.  (A) Rockbox Residue:  (i) Inorganic Constituents: Barium—200; Chromium—5.0; Copper—130; Lead+1.5; Tin—2,100; Vanadium—30; Zinc—1,000  (ii) Organic Constituents: Acetone—400; Dichloromethane—1.0; Dimethylphthalate—4,000; Xylene—10,000; 2.3.7.8-TCDD Equivalent—0.0000006

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
Facility	Address	(2) Waste Holding and Handling: Oxy Vinyls must store in accordance with its RCRA permit, or continue to dispose of as hazardous waste all Rockbox Residue generated until the verification testing described in Condition (3)(8), as appropriate, is completed and valid analyses demonstrate that condition (3) is satisfied. If the levels of constituents measured in the samples of the Rockbox Residue do not exceed the levels set forth in Condition (1), then the waste is nonhazardous and may be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable solid waste regulations. If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition 1, waste generated during the time period corresponding to this sample must be managed and disposed of in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA.  (3) Verification Testing Requirements: Sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 010, 0011, 0020, 00234, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0061, 0061, 10104, 10208, 11104, 13108, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 90128, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 90718, and 9095B. If EPA judges the incineration process to Method 1664, Rev. A), 90718, and 9055B. If EPA judges the incineration process to be effective under the operating conditions used during the initial verification testing, OxyVinyls may replace the testing required in Condition (3)(A) with the testing required in Condition (3)(B). OxyVinyls must continue to test as specified in Condition (3)(A) until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing in Condition (3)(A) was replaced by Condition (3)(B). OxyVinyls must continue to test as specified in Condition (3)(A) unit and unless notified by EPA. In writing that testing in Condition (3)(B

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Fe-99:	I	Wastes Excluded From Specific Sources—Continued
Facility	Address	Waste description
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.  (6) Reopener Language:
		(A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste, Oxy Vinyls possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Director in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Director within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(B) If the annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in Paragraph 1, Oxy Vinyls must report the data, in writing, to the Director within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.
		(C) Based on the information described in paragraphs (A) or (B) and any other information received from any source, the Director will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environ- ment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appro- priate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
		(D) If the Director determines that the reported information does require Agency action, the Director will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Director believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the pro- posed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present informa- tion as to why the proposed Agency action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Director's notice to present such information.
		(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (D) or (if no information is presented under paragraph (D)) the initial receipt of information described in paragraphs (A) or (B), the Director will issue a final written determination describing the Agency actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any required action described in the Director's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Director provides otherwise.
		(7) Notification Requirements: Oxy Vinyls must provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or through which the delisted waste described above will be transported for disposal at least 60 days prior to the commencement of such activities. Failure to provide such a notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.
OxyVinyls, L.P.	Deer Park, TX	Incinerator Offgas Scrubber Water (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K017, K019 and K020) generated at a maximum annual rate of 919,990 cubic yards per calendar year after April 22, 2004, and disposed in accordance with the TPDES permit. For the exclusion to be valid, OxyVinyls must implement a testing program that meets the following Paragraphs:
		(1) Delisting Levels: All total concentrations for those constituents must not exceed the following levels (mg/kg) in the incinerator offgas scrubber water. Incinerator offgas treatment scrubber water (i) Inorganic Constituents Antimony—0.0204; Arsenic—0.385; Barium—2.92; Beryllium—0.166; Cadmium—0.0225; Chromium—5.0; Cobalt—13.14; Copper—418.00; Lead—5.0; Nickel—1.13; Mercury—0.0111; Vanadium—0.838; Zinc—2.61 (ii) Organic Constituents Acetone—1.46; Bromoform—0.481; Bromomethane—6.2; Bromodichloromethane—0.0719; Chloroform—0.683; Dibromochloromethane—0.057; lodomethane—0.19; Methylene Chloride—0.029; 2,3,7,8—TCDD equivalents as TEQ—0.0000926
		(2) Waste Management: (A) OxyVinyls must manage as hazardous all incinerator offgas treatment scrubber water generated, until it has completed initial verification testing de- scribed in Paragraphs (3)(A) and (B), as appropriate, and valid analyses show that para- graph (1) is satisfied.
		(B) Levels of constituents measured in the samples of the incinerator offgas treatment scrubber water that do not exceed the levels set forth in Paragraph (1) are non-hazardous. OxyVinyls can manage and dispose the non-hazardous incinerator offgas treatment scrubber water according to all applicable solid waste regulations. (C) If constituent levels in a sample exceed any of the delisting levels set in Paragraph (1),
		OxyVinyls must collect one additional sample and perform the expedited analyses to confirm if the constituent exceeds the delisting level. If this sample confirms the exceedance, OxyVinyls must, from that point forward, treat the waste as hazardous until it is demonstrated that the waste again meets the levels set in Paragraph (1). OxyVinyls must notify EPA of the exceedance and resampling analytical results prior to disposing of the waste.  (D) If the waste exceeds the levels in paragraph (1) OxyVinyls must manage and dispose of the waste generated under Subtitle C of RCRA from the time that it becomes aware of any
		exceedance.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

(E) Upon completion of the Verification Testing described in Paragraphs 3(A) and (B) as ap-
propriate and the transmittal of the results to EPA, and if the testing results meet the requirements of Paragraph (1), OxyVinyls may proceed to manage its incinerator offgas treat ment scrubber water as a non-hazardous waste. If subsequent verification testing indicate an exceedance of the Delisting Levels in Paragraph (1), OxyVinyls must manage the incinerator offgas treatment scrubber water as a hazardous waste until two consecutive quarterly testing samples show levels below the Delisting Levels.  (3) Verification Testing Requirements: OxyVinyls must perform sample collection and analyses, including quality control procedures, using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods in corporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable bit, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0030, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 130A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071E and 9095B. If EPA judges the process to be effective under the operating conditions use during the initial verification testing, OxyViniys may replace the testing required in Paragraph (3)(A) with the testing required in Paragraph (3)(B). OxyVinyls must continue to test as specified in Paragraph (3)(A) until and unless notified by EPA in writing that testing in Paragraph (3)(A) may be replaced by Paragraph (3)(B).  (A) Initial Verification Testing: After EPA grants the final exclusion, OxyVinyls must do the following: (i) Within 60 days of this exclusion becoming final, collect four samples, before disposal, of the incinerator offgas treatment scrubber water. (ii) The samples are to be analyzed and compared against the delisting levels in Paragraph (1) (iii). Within sixty (60) days of this exclusion becomes final, OxyVinyls will report initial verification analytical leads and the value of the incinerator offgas treatment scr
open the exclusion as described in Paragraph 6. OxyVinyls must:  (A) Submit the data obtained through Paragraph 3 to the Section Chief, EPA Region 6 Corrective Action and Waste Minimization Section, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, Mail Code, (6PD–C) within the time specified.  (B) Compile records of operating conditions and analytical data from Paragraph (3), summa

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(D) Send along with all data a signed copy of the following certification statement, to attest to the truth and accuracy of the data submitted: Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code, which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate and complete. As to the (those) identified section(s) of this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete. If any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if its never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the void exclusion.
		(6) Reopener: (A) If, anytime after disposal of the delisted waste OxyVinyls possesses or is otherwise made aware of any environmental data (including but not limited to leachate data or groundwater monitoring data) or any other data relevant to the delisted waste indicating that any constituent identified for the delisting verification testing is at a level higher than the delisting level allowed by the Regional Administrator or his delegate in granting the petition, then the facility must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (B) If the annual testing of the waste does not meet the delisting requirements in Paragraph 1, OxyVinyls must report the data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator or his delegate within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (C) If OxyVinyls fails to submit the information described in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B) or
		if any other information is received from any source, the Regional Administrator or his delegate will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires EPA action to protect human health or the environment. Further action may include suspending, or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and environment.
		(D) If the Regional Administrator or his delegate determines that the reported information does require action by EPA's Regional Administrator or his delegate will notify the facility in writing of the actions the Regional Administrator or his delegate believes are necessary to protect human health and the environment. The notice shall include a statement of the pro- posed action and a statement providing the facility with an opportunity to present informa- tion as to why the proposed EPA action is not necessary. The facility shall have 10 days from the date of the Regional Administrator or his delegate's notice to present such infor- mation.
		(E) Following the receipt of information from the facility described in paragraph (6)(D) or (of no information is presented under paragraph (6)(D)) the initial receipt of information de- scribed in paragraphs (5), (6)(A) or (6)(B), the Regional Administrator or his delegate will issue a final written determination describing EPA actions that are necessary to protect human health or the environment. Any require action described in the Regional Adminis- trator or his delegate's determination shall become effective immediately, unless the Re- gional Administrator or his delegate provides otherwise.
		<ul> <li>(7) Notification Requirements: OxyVinyIs must do the following before transporting the delisted waste. Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting petition and a possible revocation of the decision.</li> <li>(A) Provide a one-time written notification to any State Regulatory Agency to which or</li> </ul>
		through which it will transport the delisted waste described above for disposal, 60 days be- fore beginning such activities. (B) Update the one-time written notification if it ships the delisted waste into a different dis- posal facility.
		(C) Failure to provide this notification will result in a violation of the delisting variance and a possible revocation of the decision.
erox, Incorporated.	Sharon, Penn- sylvania.	Iron oxide (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K062) generated (at a maximum annual rate of 4800 cubic yards) from a spent hydrochloric acid pickle liquor regeneration plant for spent pickle liquor generated from steel finishing operations. This exclusion was published on November 13, 1990.
rioneer Chlor Alkai Com- pany, Inc. (formerly Stauffer Chemical Company).	St. Gabriel, LA	Brine purification muds, which have been washed and vacuum filtered, generated after August 27, 1985 from their chlor-alkali manufacturing operations (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K071) that have been batch tested for mercury using the EP toxicity procedure and have been found to contain less than 0.05 ppm in mercury in the EP extract. Brine purification muds that exceed this level will be considered a hazardous waste.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
POP Fasteners	Shelton, Connecticut.	Wastewater treatment sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste No. F006) generated from electroplating operations (at a maximum annual rate of 300 cubic yards) after December 7, 1992. In order to confirm that the characteristics of the waste do not change significantly, the facility must, on an annual basis, analyze a representative composite sample for the constituents listed in § 261.24 using the method specified therein. The annual analytical results, including quality control information, must be compiled, certified according to § 260.22(i)(12) of this chapter, maintained on site for a minimum of five years, and made available for inspection upon request by any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Connecticut. Failure to maintain the required records on site will be considered by EPA, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke the exclusion to the extent directed by EPA.
Rhodia	Houston, Texas.	Filter-cake Sludge, (at a maximum generation of 1,200 cubic yards per calendar year) generated by Rhodia using the SARU and AWT treatment process to treat the filter-cake sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. K002–004, K006-K011, K013–K052, K060–K062 K064–K066, K069, K071, K073, K083–K088, K090–K091, K093–K118, K123–K126, K131–K133, K136, K141–K145, K147–K151, K156–K161) generated at Rhodia. Rhodia must implement the testing program described in Table 1. Waste Excluded From Non-Specific Sources for the petition to be valid.
Roanoke Electric Steel Corp.	Roanoke, VA	Fully-cured chemically stabilized electric arc furnace dust/sludge (CSEAFD) treatment residue (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K061) generated from the primary production of steel after March 22, 1989. This exclusion is conditioned upon the data obtained from Roanoke's full scale CSEAFD treatment facility because Roanoke's original data were obtained from a laboratory-scale CSEAFD treatment process. To ensure that hazardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, Roanoke must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion to be valid: (1) Testing:
		(A) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of operation of the full-scale treatment system, Roanoke must collect representative grab samples of each treated batch of the CSEAFC and composite the grab samples daily. The daily composites, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals, nickel and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070C (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. Roanoke must report the analytical test data obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(B) Subsequent Testing: Roanoke must collect representative grab samples from every treated batch of CSEAFD generated daily and composite all of the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. Roanoke then must analyze each weekly composite sample for all of the EP toxic metals and nickel. Analyses must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051,0060,0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B. The analytical data, including all quality control information, must be compiled and maintained on site for a minimum of three years. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection for any employee or representative of EPA or the State of Virginia.  (2) Delisting levels: If the EP extract concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceed 0.315 mg/l; for barium exceeds 6.3 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.063 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.0126 mg/l, for nickel exceeds 3.15 mg/l, or for cyanide exceeds 1.26 mg/l, the waste must either be re-treated or managed and disposed in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA.  (3) Data submittals: Within one week of system start-up, Roanoke must notify the Section Chief, Variances Section (see address below) when their full-scale stabilization system in on-line and waste treatment has begun. All data obtained through the initial testing condition (1)(A), must be submitted to the Section Chief, Variances Section, PSPD/OSW, (OS-343), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified in condition (1)(A). Failure to submit the required data or keep the required records will be considered by the Agency, at its disc
Texas Eastman	Longview, Texas.	Incinerator ash (at a maximum generation of 7,000 cubic yards per calendar year) generated from the incineration of sludge from the wastewater treatment plant (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K009 and K010, and that is disposed of in Subtitle D landfills after September 25, 1996. Texas Eastman must implement a testing program that meets conditions found in Table 1. Wastes Excluded From Non-Specific Sources for the petition to be valid.
United States Department of Energy (Energy).	Richland, Washington.	Treated effluents bearing the waste numbers identified below, from the 200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility (ETF) located at the Hanford Facility, at a maximum generation rate of 210 million liters per year, subject to Conditions 1–7: This conditional exclusion applies to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Hazardous Waste Nos. F001, F002, F003, F004, F005, and F039. This exclusion also applies to EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F006–F012, F019 and F027 provided that the as-generated waste streams bearing these waste numbers prior to treatment in the 200 Area ETF is in the form of dilute wastewater containing a maximum of 1.0 weight percent of any hazardous constituent. In addition, this conditional exclusion applies to all other U- and P-listed waste numbers that meet the following criteria: The U/P listed substance has a treatment standard established for wastewater forms of F039 multi-source leachate under 40 CFR 268.40, "Treatment Standards for Hazardous Wastes"; and the as-generated waste stream prior to treatment in the 200 Area ETF is in the form of dilute wastewater containing a maximum of 1.0 weight percent of any hazardous constituent. This exclusion shall apply at the point of discharge from the 200 Area ETF verification tanks after satisfaction of Conditions 1–7.
		Conditions:
		(1) Waste Influent Characterization and Processing Strategy Preparation (a) Prior to treatment of any waste stream in the 200 Area ETF, Energy must: (i) Complete sufficient characterization of the waste stream to demonstrate that the waste stream is within the treatability envelope of 200 Area ETF as specified in Tables C-1 and C-2 of the delisting petition dated November 29, 2001. Results of the waste stream characterization and the treatability evaluation must be in writing and placed in the facility operating record, along with a copy of the November 29, 2001 petition. Waste stream characterization may be carried out in whole or in part using the waste analysis procedures in the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit, WA7 89000 8967;

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		(ii) Prepare a written waste processing strategy specific to the waste stream, based on the ETF process model documented in the November 29, 2001 petition. For waste processing strategies applicable to waste streams for which inorganic envelope data is provided in Table C-2 of the November 29, 2001 petition, Energy shall use envelope data specific that waste stream, if available. Otherwise, Energy shall use the minimum envelope in Table C-2
		C-2.  (b) Energy may modify the 200 Area ETF treatability envelope specified in Tables C-1 an C-2 of the November 29, 2001 delisting petition to reflect changes in treatment technolog or operating practices upon written approval of the Regional Administrator. Requests for modification shall be accompanied by an engineering report detailing the basis for a modified treatment envelope. Data supporting modified envelopes must be based on at least four influent waste stream characterization data points and corresponding treated effluer verification sample data points for wastes managed under a particular waste processin strategy. Treatment efficiencies must be calculated based on a comparison of upper 9 percent confidence level constituent concentrations. Upon written EPA approval of the ergineering report, the associated inorganic treatment efficiency data may be used in lieu of those in Tables C-1 and C-2 for purposes of condition (1)(a)(i).  (c) Energy shall conduct all 200 Area ETF treatment operations for a particular waste streat according to the written waste processing strategy, as may be modified by Condition (3(b)(i).  (d) The following definitions apply:
		(i) A waste stream is defined as all wastewater received by the 200 Area ETF that meet th 200 Area ETF waste acceptance criteria as defined by the Hanford Facility RCRA Permi WA7 89000 8967 and are managed under the same 200 Area ETF waste processing stra egy.
		(ii) A waste processing strategy is defined as a specific 200 Area ETF unit operation configuration, primary operating parameters and expected maximum influent total dissolved solic (TDS) and total organic carbon (TOC). Each waste processing strategy shall require mor toring and recording of treated effluent conductivity for purposes of Condition (2)(b)(i)(E and for monitoring and recording of primary operating parameters as necessary to den onstrate that 200 Area ETF operations are in accordance with the associated waste processing strategy.
		(iii) Primary operating parameters are defined as ultraviolet oxidation (UV/OX) peroxide addition rate, reverse osmosis reject ratio, and processing flow rate as measured at the 20 Area ETF surge tank outlet.
		<ul><li>(iv) Key unit operations are defined as filtration, UV/OX, reverse osmosis, ion exchange, at secondary waste treatment.</li><li>(2) Testing. Energy shall perform verification testing of treated effluents according to Cond</li></ul>
		tions (a), (b), and (c) below.  (a) No later than 45 days after the effective date of this rule, or such other time as may be approved of in advance and in writing by EPA, Energy shall submit to EPA a report prosing required data quality parameters and data acceptance criteria (parameter value for sampling and analysis which may be conducted pursuant to the requirements of the rule. This report shall explicitly consider verification sampling and analysis for purposes demonstrating compliance with exclusion limits in Condition 5, as well as any sampling an analysis which may be required pursuant to Conditions (1)(a)(i) and (1)(d)(ii). This reposabll contain a detailed justification for the proposed data quality parameters and data a ceptance criteria. Following review and approval of this report, the proposed data quality parameters and data acceptance criteria shall become enforceable conditions of this exclision. Pending EPA approval of this report, Energy may demonstrate compliance with sarpling and analysis requirements of this rule through application of methods appearing EPA Publication SW-846 or equivalent methods. Energy shall maintain a written samplir and analysis plan, including QA/QC requirements and procedures, based upon these e forceable data quality parameters and data acceptance criteria in the facility operatir record, and shall conduct all sampling and analysis; including quality assurant QA/QC information, shall be placed in the facility operating record. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods is corporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0030, 0030, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330.
		9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071 and 9095B.  (b) Initial verification testing. (i) Verification sampling shall consist of a representative sample of one filled effluent d charge tank, analyzed for all constituents in Condition (5), and for conductivity for purpos of establishing a conductivity baseline with respect to Condition (2)(b)(i)(E). Verification sampling shall be required under each of the following conditions:  (A) Any new or modified waste strategy;
		(B) Influent wastewater total dissolved solids or total organic carbon concentration increase by an order of magnitude or more above values established in the waste processing strategy;

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility Address	Waste description
	(C) Changes in primary operating parameters; (D) Changes in influent flow rate outside a range of 150 to 570 liters per minute; (E) Increase greater than a factor of ten (10) in treated effluent conductivity (conductivity changes indicate changes in dissolved ionic constituents, which in turn are a good indicator of 200 Area ETF treatment efficiency).
	(F) Any failure of initial verification required by this condition, or subsequent verification required by Condition (2)(c).
	<ul> <li>(ii) Treated effluents shall be managed according to Condition 3. Once Condition (3)(a) is satisfied, subsequent verification testing shall be performed according to Condition (2)(c).</li> <li>(c) Subsequent Verification: Following successful initial verification associated with a specific waste processing strategy, Energy must continue to monitor primary operating parameters and collect and analyze representative samples from every fifteenth (15th) verification tank filled with 200 Area ETF effluents processed according to the associated waste processing</li> </ul>
	strategy. These representative samples must be analyzed prior to disposal of 200 Area ETF effluents for all constituents in Condition (5). Treated effluent from tanks sampled according to this condition must be managed according to Condition (3).  (3) Waste Holding and Handling: Energy must store as hazardous waste all 200 Area ETF
	effluents subject to verification testing in Condition (2)(b) and (2)(c), that is, until valid analyses demonstrate Condition (5) is satisfied.  (a) If the levels of hazardous constituents in the samples of 200 Area ETF effluent are equal
	to or below the levels set forth in Condition (5), the 200 Area ETF effluents are not listed as hazardous wastes provided they are disposed of in the State Authorized Land Disposal Site (SALDS) (except as provided pursuant to Condition (7)) according to applicable requirements and permits. Subsequent treated effluent batches shall be subject to verification requirements of Condition (2)(c).
	(b) If hazardous constituent levels in any representative sample collected from a verification tank exceed any of the delisting levels set in Condition (5), Energy must:  (i) Review waste characterization data, and review and change accordingly the waste processing strategy as necessary to ensure subsequent batches of treated effluent do not ex-
	ceed delisting criteria;  (ii) Retreat the contents of the failing verification tank;  (iii) Perform verification testing on the retreated effluent. If constituent concentrations are at or
	below delisting levels in Condition (5), the treated effluent are not listed hazardous waste provided they are disposed at SALDS according to applicable requirements and permits (except as provided pursuant to Condition (7)), otherwise repeat the requirements of Condition (3)(b).
	(iv) Perform initial verification sampling according to Condition (2)(b) on the next treated effluent tank once testing required by Condition (3)(b)(iii) demonstrates compliance with delisting requirements.
	(4) Re-opener Language (a) If, anytime before, during, or after treatment of waste in the 200 Area ETF, Energy possesses or is otherwise made aware of any data (including but not limited to groundwater monitoring data, as well as data concerning the accuracy of site conditions or the validity of assumptions upon which the November 29, 2001 petition was based) relevant to the delisted waste indicating that the treated effluent no longer meets delisting criteria (excluding record keeping and data submissions required by Condition (6)), or that groundwater affected by discharge of the treated effluent exhibits hazardous constituent concentrations above health-based limits, Energy must report such data, in writing, to the Regional Administrator, within 10 does of first passessing as heira pedaguage of that data.
	istrator within 10 days of first possessing or being made aware of that data.  (b) Energy shall provide written notification to the Regional Administrator no less than 180 days prior to any planned or proposed substantial modifications to the 200 Area ETF, exclusive of routine maintenance activities, that could affect waste processing strategies or primary operating parameters. This condition shall specifically include, but not be limited to, changes that do or would require Class II or III modification to the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit WA7 89000 8967 (in the case of permittee-initiated modifications) or equivalent modifications in the case of agency-initiated permit modifications operations. Energy may request a modification to the 180-day notification requirement of this condition in the instance of agency-initiated permit modifications for purposes of ensuring coordination with permitting activities.
	(c) Based on the information described in paragraph (4)(a) or (4)(b) or any other relevant information received from any source, the Regional Administrator will make a preliminary determination as to whether the reported information requires Agency action to protect human health or the environment. Further action could include suspending or revoking the exclusion, or other appropriate response necessary to protect human health and the environment.
	(5) Delisting Levels: All total constituent concentrations in treated effluents managed under this exclusion must be equal to or less than the following levels, expressed as mg/L:
	Inorganic Constituents Ammonia—6.0 Barium—1.6 Beryllium—4.5 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
		Nickel—4.5 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Silver—1.1 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Vanadium—1.6 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Zinc—6.8
		Arsenic—1.5 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Cadmium—1.1 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Chromium—6.8 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Lead—9.0 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Mercury— $6.8 \times 10^{-3}$
		Selenium—1.1 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Fluoride—1.2
		Cyanides—4.8 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Organic Constituents:
		Cresol—1.2
		2,4,6 Trichlorophenol—3.6 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Benzene—6.0 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Chrysene—5.6 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Hexachlorobenzne—2.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
		Hexachlorocyclopentadiene—1.8 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Dichloroisopropyl ether
		[Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) either]—6.0 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Di-n-octylphthalate—4.8 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> 1-Butanol—2.4
		Isophorone—4.2
		Diphenylamine—5.6 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		p-Chloroaniline— $1.2 \times 10^{-1}$
		Acetonitrile—1.2
		Carbazole—1.8 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		N-Nitrosodimethylamine—2.0 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Pyridine—2.4 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Lindane [gamma-BHC]—3.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>
		Arochlor [total of Arochlors 1016, 1221, 1232, 1242, 1248, 1254, 1260]—5.0 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>
		Carbon tetrachloride—1.8 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
		Tetrahydrofuran—5.6 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		Acetone—2.4
		Carbon disulfide—2.3
		Tributyl phosphate—1.2 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
		(6) Recordkeeping and Data Submittals.
		(a) Energy shall maintain records of all waste characterization, and waste processing strat gies required by Condition (1), and verification sampling data, including QA/QC results, the facility operating record for a period of no less than three (3) years. However, this p riod is automatically extended during the course of any unresolved enforcement action is garding the 200 Area ETF or as requested by EPA.
		(b) No less than thirty (30) days after receipt of verification data indicating a failure to me delisting criteria of Condition (5), Energy shall notify the Regional Administrator. This not cation shall include a summary of waste characterization data for the associated influe verification data, and any corrective actions taken according to Condition (3)(b)(i). (c) Records required by Condition (6)(a) must be furnished on request by EPA or the State Webshietes and market explaints for insection. All data must be accompanied by a piece.
		Washington and made available for inspection. All data must be accompanied by a sign copy of the following certification statement to attest to the truth and accuracy of the desubmitted:  "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudule
		statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Countries which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 42 U.S.C. 6928). I certify the information contained in or accompanying this document is true, accurate, and coplete.
		As to the (those) identified section(s) of the document for which I cannot personally verify (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the official having supervisory responsibility of persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this informat is true, accurate, and complete.
		In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to false, inaccurate, or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to Energy, I recogn and agree that this exclusion of waste will be void as if it never had effect to the extent rected by EPA and that the Energy will be liable for Energy's reliance on the void exc

TABLE 2—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM SPECIFIC SOURCES—Continued

Facility	Address	Waste description
USX Steel Corporation, USS Division,	Chicago, Illinois.	(7) Treated Effluent Disposal Requirements. Energy may at any time propose alternate reuse practices for treated effluent managed under terms of this exclusion in lieu of disposal at the SALDS. Such proposals must be in writing to the Regional Administrator, and demonstrate that the risks and potential human health or environmental exposures from alternate treated effluent disposal or reuse practices do not warrant retaining the waste as a hazardous waste. Upon written approval by EPA of such a proposal, non-hazardous treated effluents may be managed according to the proposed alternate practices in lieu of the SALDS disposal requirement in paragraph (3)(a). The effect of such approved proposals shall be explicitly limited to approving alternate disposal practices in lieu of the requirements in paragraph (3)(a) to dispose of treated effluent in SALDS.  Fully-cured chemically stabilized electric arc furnace dust/sludge (CSEAFD) treatment residue (EPA Hazardous Waste No. K061) generated from the primary production of steel after April 29, 1991. This exclusion (for 35,000 tons of CSEAFD per year) is conditioned upon the data obtained from USX's full-scale CSEAFD treatment facility. To ensure that haz-
Southworks Plant, Gary Works.		ardous constituents are not present in the waste at levels of regulatory concern once the full-scale treatment facility is in operation, USX must implement a testing program for the petitioned waste. This testing program must meet the following conditions for the exclusion
		to be valid:  (1) Testing: Sample collection and analyses (including quality control (QC) procedures) must be performed using appropriate methods. As applicable to the method-defined parameters of concern, analyses requiring the use of SW-846 methods incorporated by reference in 40 CFR 260.11 must be used without substitution. As applicable, the SW-846 methods might include Methods 0010, 0011, 0020, 0023A, 0030, 0031, 0040, 0050, 0051, 0060, 0061, 1010A, 1020B, 1110A, 1310B, 1311, 1312, 1320, 1330A, 9010C, 9012B, 9040C, 9045D, 9060A, 9070A (uses EPA Method 1664, Rev. A), 9071B, and 9095B.  (A) Initial Testing: During the first four weeks of operation of the full-scale treatment system, USX must collect representative grab samples of each treated batch of the CSEAFD and composite the grab samples daily. The daily composites, prior to disposal, must be analyzed for the EP leachate concentrations of all the EP toxic metals, nickel, and cyanide (using distilled water in the cyanide extractions). USX must report the analytical test data, including quality control information, obtained during this initial period no later than 90 days after the treatment of the first full-scale batch.
		(B) Subsequent Testing: USX must collect representative grab samples from every treated batch of CSEAFD generated daily and composite all of the grab samples to produce a weekly composite sample. USX then must analyze each weekly composite sample for all of the EP toxic metals, and nickel. The analytical data, including quality control information, must be compiled and maintained on site for a minimum of three years. These data must be furnished upon request and made available for inspection by any employee or rep- resentative of EPA or the State of Illinois.
		(2) Delisting levels: If the EP extract concentrations for chromium, lead, arsenic, or silver exceed 0.315 mg/l; for barium exceeds 6.3 mg/l; for cadmium or selenium exceed 0.063 mg/l; for mercury exceeds 0.0126 mg/l; for nickel exceeds 3.15 mg/l; or for cyanide exceeds 4.42 mg/l, the waste must either be re-treated until it meets these levels or managed and disposed in accordance with subtitle C of RCRA.
		(3) Data submittals: Within one week of system start-up USX must notify the Section Chief, Delisting Section (see address below) when their full-scale stabilization system is on-line and waste treatment has begun. The data obtained through condition (1)(A) must be submitted to the Section Chief, Delisting Section, CAD/OSW (OS-333), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 within the time period specified. At the Section Chief's request, USX must submit any other analytical data obtained through conditions (1)(A) or (1)(B) within the time period of specified by the Section Chief. Failure to submit the required data obtained from conditions (1)(A) or (1)(B) within the specified time period or maintain the required records for the specified time will be considered by the Agency, at its discretion, sufficient basis to revoke USX's exclusion to the extent directed by EPA. All data must be accompanied by the following certification statement: "Under civil and criminal penalty of law for the making or submission of false or fraudulent statements or representations (pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Code which include, but may not be limited to, 18 U.S.C. §6928), I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this document for which I cannot personally verify its (their) truth and accuracy, I certify as the company official having supervisory responsibility for the persons who, acting under my direct instructions, made the verification that this information is true, accurate and complete. In the event that any of this information is determined by EPA in its sole discretion to be false, inaccurate or incomplete, and upon conveyance of this fact to the company, I recognize and agree that this exclusion of wastes will be void as if it never had effect or to the extent directed by EPA and that the company will be liable for any actions taken in contravention of the company's RCRA and CERCLA obligations premised upon the company's reliance on the vioid exclusion."

TABLE 3—WASTES EXCLUDED FROM COMMERCIAL CHEMICAL PRODUCTS, OFF-SPECIFICATION SPECIES, CONTAINER RESIDUES, AND SOIL RESIDUES THEREOF

Facility	Address	Waste description
Eastman Chemical Company.	Longview, Texas.	Wastewater treatment sludge, (at a maximum generation of 82,100 cubic yards per calendar year) generated by Eastman (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. U001, U002, U028, U031, U069, U088, U112, U115, U117, U122, U140, U147, U154, U159, U161, U220, U226, U239, U359). Eastman must implement the testing program described in Table 1. Waste Excluded From Non-Specific Sources for the petition to be valid.
Rhodia	Houston, Texas.	Filter-cake Sludge, (at a maximum generation of 1,200 cubic yards per calendar year) generated by Rhodia using the SARU and AWT treatment process to treat the filter-cake sludge (EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. P001–P024, P026-P031, P033–P034, P036–P051, P054, P056-P060, P062–P078, P081–P082, P084–P085, P087–P089, P092–P116, P118–P123, P127-P128, P185, P188–P192, P194, P196–P199, P201–P205, U001–U012, U014–U039, U041–U053, U055–U064, U066–U099, U101–U103, U105–U138, U140–U174, U176–U194, U196-U197, U200–U211, U213–U223, U225–U228, U234–U244, U244–U249, U271, U277–U280, U328, U353, U359, U364–U367, U372–U373, U375–U379, U381–U396, U400-U404, U407, U409–U411) generated at Rhodia. Rhodia must implement the testing program described in Table 1. Waste Excluded From Non-Specific Sources for the petition to be valid.
Texas Eastman	Longview, Texas.	Incinerator ash (at a maximum generation of 7,000 cubic yards per calendar year) generated from the incineration of sludge from the wastewater treatment plant (EPA Hazardous Waste No. U001, U002, U003, U019, U028, U031, U037, U044, U056, U069, U069, U070, U107, U108, U112, U113, U115, U117, U122, U140, U147, U151, U154, U159, U161, U169, U190, U196, U211, U213, U226, U239, and U359, and that is disposed of in Subtitle D landfills after September 25, 1996. Texas Eastman must implement the testing program described in Table 1. Wastes Excluded From Non-Specific Sources for the petition to be valid.
Union Carbide Corp.	Taft, LA	Contaminated soil (approximately 11,000 cubic yards), which contains acrolein in concentrations of less than 9 ppm.

[49 FR 37070, Sept. 21, 1984]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting appendix IX of part 261, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and on GPO Access.

#### PART 262—STANDARDS APPLICA-BLE TO GENERATORS OF HAZ-ARDOUS WASTE

#### Subpart A—General

Sec.

262.10 Purpose, scope, and applicability. 262.11 Hazardous waste determination.

262.12 EPA identification numbers.

Subpart B—The Manifest

262.20 General requirements.

262.21 Manifest tracking numbers, manifest printing, and obtaining manifests.

262.22 Number of copies. 262.23 Use of the manifest.

262.27 Waste minimization certification.

#### Subpart C—Pre-Transport Requirements

262.30 Packaging.

262.31 Labeling. 262.32 Marking

262.33 Placarding.

262.34 Accumulation time.

#### Subpart D—Recordkeeping and Reporting

262.40 Recordkeeping.

262.41 Biennial report.

Exception reporting.

262.43 Additional reporting.

262.44 Special requirements for generators of between 100 and 1000 kg/mo.

#### Subpart E—Exports of Hazardous Waste

262.50 Applicability.

262.51 Definitions.

262.52 General requirements.

262.53 Notification of intent to export.

262.54 Special manifest requirements. 262.55 Exception reports.

262.56 Annual reports.

262.57 Recordkeeping.

262.58 International agreements.

#### Subpart F—Imports of Hazardous Waste

262.60 Imports of hazardous waste.

#### Subpart G—Farmers

262.70 Farmers.

#### Subpart H—Transfrontier shipments of hazardous waste for recovery within the OECD

262.80 Applicability.